1. (a) Define what is meant by a "conservative vector field". If the curl of a field \underline{F} vanishes, then what can one say about the field \underline{F} being conservative or not? [3] The vector fields $\underline{F}_1(x, y, z)$ and $\underline{F}_2(x, y, z)$ are defined, in Cartesian coordinates, by:

$$\underline{F}_1(x, y, z) = (2xy - z^5)\underline{\hat{e}}_x + x^2\underline{\hat{e}}_y - (5xz^4 + 1)\underline{\hat{e}}_z , \underline{F}_2(x, y, z) = (2xy - z^5)\underline{\hat{e}}_x + x^2\underline{\hat{e}}_y + (5xz^4 + 1)\underline{\hat{e}}_z .$$

Is either of these vector fields conservative? Show which one of these field is conservative. For this field determine (up to an additive constant) the scalar potential from which such a field arises.

(b) Stokes' theorem states that the line integral of a vector field <u>G</u> along a closed loop C is equal to the flux of the curl $\underline{\nabla} \times \underline{G}$ through the surface enclosed by C:

$$\oint_C \underline{G} \cdot \mathrm{d}\underline{r} = \int_C (\underline{\nabla} \times \underline{G}) \cdot \mathrm{d}\underline{S} ,$$

where $d\underline{S}$ points towards the region of space from where an observer would see the loop integral as anti-clockwise.

Consider the vector field $\underline{G}(x, y, z)$ given, in Cartesian coordinates, by:

$$\underline{G}(x,y,z) = 2y\underline{\hat{e}}_x - 3x\underline{\hat{e}}_y + z^2\underline{\hat{e}}_z \,.$$

Find the curl $\underline{\nabla} \times \underline{G}$. Evaluate the line integral $\oint \underline{G}(x, y, z) \cdot d\underline{r}$ around the square lying in the xy plane (z = 0) and bounded by the lines x = 3, x = 5, y = 1 and y = 3, either directly or by applying Stokes' theorem (take the line integral anti-clockwise as seen from the positive z semi-space).

(c) By virtue of the divergence theorem, the outward flux of a vector field \underline{G} through any closed surface S is equal to the volume integral of its divergence $\underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{G}$ over the volume V enclosed by the surface:

$$\int_{S} \underline{G} \cdot \mathrm{d}\underline{S} = \int_{V} \underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{G} \,\mathrm{d}V \;,$$

where dS points outward from the closed surface.

Consider the scalar field in Cartesian coordinates:

$$H(x, y, z) = x^3 + xy^2 - z$$
.

QUESTION CONTINUED

[6]

[5]

Express *H* in cylindrical polar coordinates ρ , θ and *z* (where $\rho^2 = x^2 + y^2$ and $\theta = \arctan(y/x)$). Given the expression for the Laplacian in cylindrical polar coordinates

$$\nabla^2 f = \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \left(\rho \frac{\partial f}{\partial \rho} \right) + \frac{1}{\rho^2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \theta^2} + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z^2} ,$$

determine $\nabla^2 H(\rho, \theta, z)$.

Either directly or by applying the divergence theorem, evaluate the outgoing flux of the gradient $\underline{\nabla}H$, given by

$$\int \underline{\nabla} H \cdot \mathrm{d}\underline{S} \; ,$$

over the total surface of a cylinder of radius R and height h with its base lying on the z = 0 plane and centred at the origin. [6]

2. Consider the following second-order linear differential equation

$$x\frac{d^2y}{dx^2} + (2-x)\frac{dy}{dx} + by = 0, \qquad (1)$$

where b is a constant. By writing equation (1) in the form y'' + p(x)y' + q(x)y = 0, or otherwise, determine where this equation is singular. [2]

Solutions of equation (1) can be written in the form:

$$y = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^{n+k}, \quad a_0 \neq 0.$$

Show that k = 0 or k = -1.

Derive the recurrence relation

$$a_{n+1} = \frac{n+k-b}{(n+k+1)(n+k+2)}a_n + \frac{n+k-b}{(n+k+2)}a_n + \frac{n$$

Demonstrate that the series solutions converge for all values of x. [5]

In the special case of b = m, a positive integer, show that the series with k = 0 [2] terminates at n = m to yield a polynomial solution. [3]

Obtain this solution for the case of b = m = 2 and demonstrate that it satisfies the differential equation (1). [4]

CONTINUE

3. If a matrix <u>H</u> is described as Hermitian, what property does it have? Prove that the eigenvalues of a Hermitian matrix are real. What property must the associated eigenvectors have? [7]

The matrix \underline{A} is given by

$$\underline{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & -5 & 1 \\ -5 & 11 & -5 \\ 1 & -5 & 5 \end{pmatrix}$$

Is \underline{A} Hermitian? What is its trace?

Verify that $\lambda_1 = 16$ is an eigenvalue of <u>A</u> and that its associated eigenvector can

be written as $\underline{v}_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

Show that $\lambda_2 = 4$ is also an eigenvalue and obtain the third eigenvalue, λ_3 . Find the *normalised* eigenvectors corresponding to eigenvalues λ_2 and λ_3 . [11]

4. A particle of mass m = 1 is moving on a plane. Its position is represented, in Cartesian coordinates, by the two-dimensional vector $\underline{r} = x \underline{\hat{e}}_x + y \underline{\hat{e}}_y$.

The particle is subject to a conservative force field $\underline{F(\underline{r})}$, with potential energy $U(\underline{r})$ given by

$$U(\underline{r}) = \frac{2 - \sqrt{2}}{2}x^2 + \frac{1 - \sqrt{2}}{2}y^2 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x - y)^2$$

Write down the general relation between the force $\underline{F(r)}$ and the potential energy $U(\underline{r})$ and use it to determine the force $\underline{F(r)}$ acting on the particle. [3]

Find the work done against the force $\underline{F(r)}$ when the particle moves from the point (0,0) to the point (1,1) along the line x = y. [2]

Write down the particle's equation of motion and show that it can be written as

$$\underline{\ddot{r}} = \underline{A}\underline{r}$$
,

where \underline{A} is the 2 × 2 real matrix

$$\underline{A} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} -2 & \sqrt{2} \\ \sqrt{2} & -1 \end{array}\right) \ .$$

Find the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of $\underline{\mathbf{A}}$.

Use these eigenvalues and eigenvectors to obtain two uncoupled differential equations describing the motion. Solve these equations for the initial conditions $x = y = \frac{\mathrm{d}y}{\mathrm{d}t} = 0$ and $\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\mathrm{d}t} = \sqrt{2}$ at t = 0. Give explicit solutions for the variables x and y.

TURN OVER

[5]

[6]

[2]

5. In atomic units the Schrödinger equation for the hydrogen atom can be written as

$$\left(-\frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 - \frac{1}{r}\right)\psi = E\psi$$

where, in spherical polar coordinates:

$$\nabla^2 = \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left(\sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right) + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \phi^2}$$

By writing

$$\psi = R(r)\Theta(\theta)\Phi(\phi)$$

show that $\Phi(\phi)$ must satisfy the equation

$$\frac{d^2\Phi}{d\phi^2} = -m^2\Phi \; .$$

What are the solutions of this equation? Explain why m, the constant of separation, must take integer values. [3]

Hence show that R(r) must satisfy the radial equation

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dr}\left(r^2\frac{dR}{dr}\right) + Rr + ERr^2 = \lambda R \,,$$

where λ is another constant of separation. Obtain the corresponding equation for $\Theta(\theta)$.

For the special case of $\lambda = 0$, show that the radial equation can be written as

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d^2U}{dr^2} + \left[\frac{1}{r} + E\right]U = 0$$

where U(r) = rR(r). Show that for large values of r

$$U(r) = A \exp(\alpha r) + B \exp(-\alpha r)$$

where $\alpha = (-2E)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. How can this solution be simplified for bound states of the hydrogen atom? [4]

CONTINUED

[6]

[3]

6. (a) Any continuous function f(x) in $-1 \le x \le 1$ can be expanded in terms of Legendre polynomials as

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n P_n(x)$$
 for $-1 \le x \le +1$.

Given the orthogonality relation

$$\int_{-1}^{+1} P_m(x) P_n(x) \, \mathrm{d}x = \delta_{mn} \frac{2}{2n+1} \, ,$$

derive a formula for the coefficients a_n .

Given the first two Legendre polynomials

$$P_0(x) = 1$$
, $P_1(x) = x$,

find the first two coefficients a_0 and a_1 of the expansion of the function $\alpha e^{\alpha |x|}$, where α is real. [5]

(b) The electrostatic potential of a charge Q located on the z axis at the point (0, 0, d) (in Cartesian coordinates; note that d can be either positive or negative), reads, in spherical polar coordinates:

$$V(r,\theta,\phi) = \frac{Q}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 r} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{d}{r}\right)^l P_l(\cos\theta) \; .$$

Add now a second charge -Q on the z axis at point (0, 0, -d). Write down an expression for the total potential V_T created by the charges Q and -Q in spherical polar coordinates. [4]

Consider a charge q very far from the origin $(d \ll r)$. By approximating the total potential V_T due to charges Q and -Q to the first non-zero term of the expansion in Legendre polynomials, and using the expression of the gradient in spherical polars (given below), find the electrostatic force acting on the charge q in this case.

The gradient operator in spherical polar coordinates is

$$\underline{\nabla} = \underline{\hat{e}}_r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \underline{\hat{e}}_\theta \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \underline{\hat{e}}_\phi \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}$$

TURN OVER

[5]

[6]

7. (a) Let f(x) be a function of period π defined by

$$f(x) = \sin(x)$$
 for $-\frac{\pi}{2} < x < +\frac{\pi}{2}$.

Is f(x) an even or odd function?

Show that the Fourier expansion of f(x) can be written as

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n \sin(2nx)$$

where the coefficients b_n are given by

$$b_n = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \sin(2nx) \sin(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \; .$$

Determine the coefficients b_n and hence show that

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{8n}{1-4n^2} \sin(2nx) \; .$$

Parseval's identity for a function f(x) with general period 2L reads

$$\frac{1}{2L} \int_{-L}^{+L} [f(x)]^2 \, \mathrm{d}x = (a_0/2)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n^2 + b_n^2) \, .$$

Apply Parseval's identity to prove

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^2}{(1-4n^2)^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{64}$$

(b) The function g(x) is defined by

$$\begin{array}{rcl} g(x) &=& \sin(x) & {\rm for} & -l < x < +l \; , \\ g(x) &=& 0 & {\rm for} & |x| \geq l \; , \end{array}$$

where l is real and positive. The Fourier transform $\tilde{g}(k)$ of g(x) is defined as

$$\tilde{g}(k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-ikx} g(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \, .$$

Give the general way to obtain the original function g(x) from its Fourier transform $\tilde{g}(k)$ (*i.e.*, to obtain the inverse Fourier transform of $\tilde{g}(k)$). [3]

Prove that, in the limit $l \to +\infty$, one has

$$\lim_{l \to \infty} \tilde{g}(k) = i \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \left[\delta(k+1) - \delta(k-1) \right] \,,$$

where δ stands for the Dirac delta function.

END OF PAPER

[3]

[5]

[5]

PHAS2246: Mathematical Methods III

2008/2009

Model solutions. A more detailed PROVISIONAL marking scheme is given here in square brackets in the right-hand margin.

1. (a) A vector field $\underline{F(r)}$ is conservative if and only if there exists a scalar potential $U(\underline{r})$ such that $\underline{F}(\underline{r}) = -\underline{\nabla}U(\underline{r})$ (book work). [1]

If $\underline{\nabla} \times \underline{F} = 0$ then the field \underline{F} is conservative (book work). The opposite impli-[2]cation (<u>*F*</u> conservative then $\underline{\nabla} \times \underline{F} = 0$) is also true.

$$\underline{\nabla} \times \underline{F}_1 = (\partial_y F_{1z} - \partial_z F_{1y}) \underline{\hat{e}}_x + (\partial_z F_{1x} - \partial_x F_{1z}) \underline{\hat{e}}_y + (\partial_x F_{1y} - \partial_y F_{1x}) \underline{\hat{e}}_z = 0 ,$$

$$\underline{\nabla} \times \underline{F}_2 = -10z^4 \underline{\hat{e}}_y .$$

Hence, \underline{F}_1 is conservative but \underline{F}_2 is not.

The scalar potential U(x, y, z) such that $\underline{F}_1 = -\underline{\nabla}U$ can be found by integrating the components of \underline{F}_1 with respect to the corresponding variables and by comparing the results obtained:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} &= -(2xy - z^5) \quad \Rightarrow \quad U = -\int (2xy - z^5) \, \mathrm{d}x + f_x(y, z) = -x^2y + xz^5 + f_x(y, z) \,, \\ \frac{\partial U}{\partial y} &= -x^2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad U = -\int x^2 \, \mathrm{d}y + f_y(x, z) = -x^2y + f_y(x, z) \,, \\ \frac{\partial U}{\partial z} &= (5xz^4 + 1) \quad \Rightarrow \quad U = \int (5xz^4 + 1) \, \mathrm{d}z + f_z(x, y) = xz^5 + z + f_z(x, y) \,, \end{aligned}$$

where the three functions f_x , f_y and f_z have to be determined by consistency. The only consistent option is $f_x(y,z) = z$, $f_y(x,z) = xz^5 + z$ and $f_z(x,y) = -x^2y$, yielding [4]1

$$U(x, y, z) = -x^2y + xz^5 + z$$
.

As can be directly verified, the negative gradient of this potential U is equal to the field \underline{F}_1 .

(b) Evaluate the curl:

$$\underline{\nabla} \times \underline{G} = (\partial_y G_z - \partial_z G_y) \underline{\hat{e}}_x + (\partial_z G_x - \partial_x G_z) \underline{\hat{e}}_y + (\partial_x G_y - \partial_y G_x) \underline{\hat{e}}_z$$
$$= -5 \underline{\hat{e}}_z .$$

Because of Stokes' theorem, since the area of the square is 4 and the curl $\underline{\nabla} \times \underline{G}$ points towards the negative direction, one has [3]

$$\oint \underline{G}(x, y, z) \cdot d\underline{r} = -5 \times 4 = -20 .$$

(c) In polar coordinates:

$$x = \rho \cos \theta$$
, $x^2 + y^2 = \rho^2 \Rightarrow H = x(x^2 + y^2) - z = \rho^3 \cos \theta - z$
[1]

[2]

[2]

$$\Rightarrow \quad \nabla^2 H(\rho, \theta, z) = 9\rho \cos \theta - \rho \cos \theta = 8\rho \cos \theta \,.$$

Now, because the Laplacian $\nabla^2 H$ is just the divergence of the gradient $\underline{\nabla} H$, we can apply the divergence theorem and evaluate the surface integral by integrating $\nabla^2 H(\rho, \theta, z)$ over the volume of the cylinder: [2]

[1]

$$\int \underline{\nabla} H \cdot \mathrm{d}\underline{S} = \int_0^h \mathrm{d}z \int_0^R \mathrm{d}\rho \int_0^{2\pi} \rho \,\mathrm{d}\theta 8\rho \cos\theta = h \frac{8R^3}{3} \int_0^{2\pi} \cos\theta \,\mathrm{d}\theta = 0 \,.$$
[2]

2. Look for a solution of the second-order differential equation

$$x\frac{d^2y}{dx^2} + (2-x)\frac{dy}{dx} + by = 0$$

$$y = \frac{b}{x}.$$
[1]

Gives $p(x) = \frac{2-x}{x}$ and $q(x) = \frac{b}{x}$.

This means the equation is singular at x = 0 only.

At x = 0, $p_0 = 2$ and $q_0 = 0$, hence the indicial equation is written as

$$k(k-1) + 2k = 0$$

 $k^2 + k = 0$ [3]

[1]

So k = 0 or -1.

$$y = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^{n+k} ,$$

$$y' = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (n+k) x^{n+k-1} ,$$

$$y'' = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (n+k) (n+k-1) x^{n+k-2} .$$
[2]

Inserting these into the equation, we obtain

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \left[(n+k)(n+k-1)x^{n+k-1} + 2(n+k)x^{n+k-1} - (n+k)x^{n+k} + bx^{n+k} \right] = 0,$$

which can be grouped as

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \, (n+k)(n+k+1)x^{n+k-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \, (n+k-b) \, x^{n+k}$$

Rearranging the left hand side so that we get the same powers of x everywhere, we find

$$\sum_{n=-1}^{\infty} a_{n+1} \left(n+k+1 \right) (n+k+2) x^{n+k} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \left(n+k-b \right) x^{n+k} \,.$$
[4]

The recurrence relation can be read off directly and gives

$$\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} = \frac{n+k-b}{(n+k+1)(n+k+2)} \cdot$$

To check for convergence, we use the d'Alembert ratio test, which requires that

$$R = \left| \frac{a_{n+1} x^{n+1}}{a_n x^n} \right| < 1$$

in the $n \to \infty$ limit. From the recurrence relation, we see that

$$R \to \frac{|x|}{n}$$
 as $n \to \infty$.

Clearly, in the limit of large n, we always have R < 1 so that the series converges for all values of x.

Or state that there are no poles in the complex plane apart from x = 0 so the solutions converges for all values of x. [2]

For k = 0 and b = m, a positive integer, the recurrence relation becomes

$$\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} = \frac{n-m}{(n+1)(n+2)} \, \cdot \,$$

The right hand side vanishes when n = m so that $a_{m+1} = 0$. By repeated use of the recurrence relation, all the subsequent terms are then also zero. (This last bit is crucial.) [3]

For
$$b = m = 2$$

$$a_{1} = \frac{-2}{1 \times 2} a_{0} = -a_{0}$$

$$a_{2} = \frac{1-2}{2 \times 3} a_{1} = \frac{a_{0}}{6}$$

$$a_{3} = 0$$

$$y = (\frac{x^{2}}{c} - x + 1)a_{0}$$
[2]

$$= (\frac{x}{6} - x + 1)a_0$$

$$y' = (\frac{x}{3} - 1)a_0$$

$$y'' = \frac{1}{3}a_0$$

which satisfies the equation.

[2]

3. A Hermitian matrix is one for which $\underline{A}^{\dagger} = (\underline{A}^{T})^{*} = (\underline{A}^{*})^{T} = \underline{A}$ [1] Consider the eigenvalue equation

$$\underline{HX} = \lambda \underline{X},$$

Take its Hermitian conjugate:

$$(\underline{H} \underline{X})^{\dagger} = (\lambda \underline{X})^{\dagger},$$

$$\underline{X}^{\dagger} \underline{H}^{\dagger} = \underline{X}^{\dagger} \underline{H} = \lambda^{*} \underline{X}^{\dagger}.$$
 (1)

Multiply eq. (1) from the right by \underline{X}

$$\underline{X}^{\dagger}\underline{H}\underline{X} = \lambda^{*}\underline{X}^{\dagger}\underline{X}.$$
(2)

Go back to first Eq. and multiply it on the left by \underline{X}^\dagger

$$\underline{X}^{\dagger}\underline{H}\underline{X} = \lambda \underline{X}^{\dagger}\underline{X} \,. \tag{3}$$

The left hand sides of Eqs. (2) and (3) are identical and so the right hand sides have to be as well;

$$(\lambda^* - \lambda) \underline{X}^{\dagger} \underline{X} = 0.$$
⁽⁴⁾

But since all $\underline{X}^{\dagger} \underline{X} = X^2$ are non-zero

$$\lambda_i^* - \lambda_i = 0 , \qquad (5)$$

which means that all the eigenvalues are <u>real</u> (adaptation of bookwork).	[4]
Eigenvectors for non-degenerate eigenvalues are orthogonal.	[2]
\underline{A} is real and symmetric and hence Hermitian.	[1]
The trace of \underline{A} is $5+11+5=21$.	[1]

The characteristic equation is given by

$$|\underline{A} - \lambda \underline{I}| = \begin{vmatrix} 5 - \lambda & -5 & 1 \\ -5 & 11 - \lambda & -5 \\ 1 & -5 & 5 - \lambda \end{vmatrix}.$$
[1]

To verify that $\lambda_1 = 16$, evaluate

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc} -11 & -5 & 1 \\ -5 & -5 & -5 \\ 1 & -5 & -11 \end{array}$$

This equals zero since adding row 1 and row 3 gives twice row 2. [1]

To verify that \underline{v}_1 is the associated eigenvector,

$$(\underline{A} - \lambda_1 \underline{I}) \, \underline{v}_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \begin{pmatrix} -11 & -5 & 1\\ -5 & -5 & -5\\ 1 & -5 & -11 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1\\ -2\\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \begin{pmatrix} -11 + 10 + 1\\ -5 + 10 - 5\\ 1 + 10 - 11 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ 0\\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

as required. It is a normalised eigenvector by inspection. [1] To verify that $\lambda_2 = 4$, evaluate

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1 & -5 & 1 \\ -5 & 7 & -5 \\ 1 & -5 & 1 \end{vmatrix}.$$

This equals zero since the first and third rows are the same.

The sum of the eigenvalues equals the trace of the matrix, so

$$\lambda_3 = 21 - 16 - 4 = 1$$

Other methods of demonstrating eigenvalues and eigenvector accept- [1] able.

For the second eigenvalue,

$$(\underline{A} - \lambda_2 \underline{I}) \, \underline{v}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -5 & 1 \\ -5 & 7 & -5 \\ 1 & -5 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v_{12} \\ v_{22} \\ v_{32} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \,,$$

so that $v_{12} = -v_{32}$, hence $v_{22} = 0$. The normalised eigenvector is therefore (to [2] within a phase).

$$\underline{v}_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1\\0\\-1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot$$
^[1]

[1]

Similarly for the third eigenvalue,

$$(\underline{A} - \lambda_3 \underline{I}) \, \underline{v}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & -5 & 1 \\ -5 & 10 & -5 \\ 1 & -5 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v_{12} \\ v_{22} \\ v_{32} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \,,$$

so that $v_{12} = v_{32}$ and hence $v_{22} = v_{12} = v_{32}$. The normalised eigenvector is therefore [2] (to within a phase).

$$\underline{v}_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} 1\\1\\1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot$$
[1]

4. Relation between force \underline{F} and potential U (book work):

$$\underline{F}(\underline{r}) = -\underline{\nabla}U(\underline{r})$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \underline{F}(\underline{r}) = -(2x - \sqrt{2}y)\underline{\hat{e}}_x - (y - \sqrt{2}x)\underline{\hat{e}}_y \ .$$

The force field is conservative (admits a potential U). The work W done against [2]the force is just given by the difference in the potential at the initial and final point:

$$W = U(1,1) - U(0,0) = \frac{3}{2} - \sqrt{2} - 0 = \frac{3}{2} - \sqrt{2} .$$
^[2]

Newton's equation of motion:

$$\underline{F}(\underline{r}) = m\underline{\ddot{r}} = \underline{\ddot{r}} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \underline{\ddot{r}} = -(2x - \sqrt{2}y)\underline{\hat{e}}_x - (y - \sqrt{2}x)\underline{\hat{e}}_y \,,$$

which can be written in terms of components and matrices as:

$$\frac{\ddot{r}}{\ddot{y}} = \begin{pmatrix} \ddot{x} \\ \ddot{y} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -2x + \sqrt{2}y \\ -y + \sqrt{2}x \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -2 & \sqrt{2} \\ \sqrt{2} & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \underline{A}\underline{r}.$$

Characteristic equation of \underline{A} :

$$\lambda^2 + 3\lambda = 0$$

 \Rightarrow eigenvalues are 0 and -3.

Eigenvector related to 0:

$$\begin{pmatrix} -2 & \sqrt{2} \\ \sqrt{2} & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \implies a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$$

Up to normalisation, the eigenvector $\underline{r_0}$ corresponding to the eigenvalue 0 is [1]

$$\underline{r_0} = \left(\begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 1 \end{array}\right) \ .$$

Eigenvector related to -3:

$$\begin{pmatrix} -2 & \sqrt{2} \\ \sqrt{2} & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -3a \\ -3 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow a = -\sqrt{2}$$

Up to normalisation, the eigenvector $\underline{r_{-3}}$ corresponding to the eigenvalue -3 is [1]

$$\underline{r_{-3}} = \left(\begin{array}{c} -\sqrt{2} \\ 1 \end{array}\right) \ .$$

In the new variables $\tilde{x} = x/\sqrt{2} + y$ and $\tilde{y} = -\sqrt{2}x + y$, dictated by the eigenvectors above, the second-order differential equations of motion decouple as [2]

[1]

[2]

[2]

[2]

[1]

$$\ddot{\tilde{x}} = 0, \ddot{\tilde{y}} = -3\tilde{y},$$
(6)

•

with general solutions

$$\tilde{x} = At + B,
\tilde{y} = C\sin(\sqrt{3}t) + D\cos(\sqrt{3}t).$$
(7)

The requested initial conditions read, in terms of the new variables:

$$\tilde{x}(0) = \tilde{y}(0) = 0$$
, $\dot{\tilde{x}} = 1$, $\dot{\tilde{y}} = -2$,

which imply

$$B = 0$$
, $D = 0$, $A = 1$, $C = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}$,

The requested solutions are thus

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{x} &= t , \\ \tilde{y} &= -\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}\sin(\sqrt{3}t) , \end{aligned}$$

which can be expressed in terms of the original variables x and y by noting that: [1]

$$x = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}(\tilde{x} - \tilde{y}) ,$$

$$y = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}(\sqrt{2}\tilde{x} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\tilde{y}) .$$

Finally:

$$x = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} \left(t + \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \sin(\sqrt{3}t) \right) ,$$

$$y = \frac{2}{3} \left(t - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \sin(\sqrt{3}t) \right) .$$

[1]

[1]

[1]

5. (This question is similar to material covered in the lectures where they did separation of variables for Laplace's equation)

$$\psi(r, \theta, \phi) = R(r) \times \Theta(\theta) \times \Phi(\phi)$$

$$\Theta \Phi \frac{1}{2r^2} \frac{d}{dr} \left(r^2 \frac{dR}{dr} \right) + R \Phi \frac{1}{2r^2 \sin \theta} \frac{d}{d\theta} \left(\sin \theta \frac{d\Theta}{d\theta} \right) + R \Theta \frac{1}{2r^2 \sin^2 \theta} \left(\frac{d^2 \Phi}{d\phi^2} \right) + (\frac{1}{r} + E) R \Theta \Phi = 0.$$

Divide by $R \Theta \Phi$ and multiply by $2r^2 \sin^2 \theta$ [2]

Divide by $R \Theta \Phi$ and multiply by $2r^2 \sin^2 \theta$

$$\frac{\sin^2\theta}{R}\frac{d}{dr}\left(r^2\frac{dR}{dr}\right) + \frac{1}{\Theta}\sin\theta\frac{d}{d\theta}\left(\sin\theta\frac{d\Theta}{d\theta}\right) + 2r\sin^2\theta(1+Er) + \frac{1}{\Phi}\left(\frac{d^2\Phi}{d\phi^2}\right) = 0$$

First 3 terms here depend upon r and θ but last is a function purely of ϕ . Since r, [1] θ and ϕ are independent variables, the third term must be some constant, denoted by $-m^2$. [1]

$$\frac{d^2\Phi}{d\phi^2} = -m^2 \, \Phi \; , \label{eq:phi}$$

which has solutions $e^{\pm im\phi}$ or, alternatively, $\cos m\phi$ and $\sin m\phi$. When ϕ increases by 2π , the solution returns to the same point; expect same physical solution. Thus $\Phi(\phi + 2\pi) = \Phi(\phi)$. Can only be accomplished if m is a real integer. Then $\Phi(\phi)$ is clearly a periodic function.

The remainder of the equation can be manipulated (divide by $2\sin^2\theta$ and rearrange) into

$$\frac{1}{2R}\frac{d}{dr}\left(r^2\frac{dR}{dr}\right) + r(1+Er) = \frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{m^2}{\sin^2\theta} - \frac{1}{\Theta}\frac{1}{\sin\theta}\frac{d}{d\theta}\left(\sin\theta\frac{d\Theta}{d\theta}\right)\right]$$

Left hand side is function only of r, while right hand side depends only on θ . [2] Means that both sides must be equal to some constant, denote by λ . Results in [2] two ordinary DEs:

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dr}\left(r^{2}\frac{dR}{dr}\right) + r(1+Er)R = \lambda R,$$

$$\frac{d}{d\theta}\left(\sin\theta \frac{d\Theta}{d\theta}\right) + \left(2\lambda\sin\theta - \frac{m^{2}}{\sin\theta}\right)\Theta = 0.$$

[2]

Let U = rR and $\lambda = 0$.

$$R' = -r^{-2}U + r^{-1}U'$$

$$r^{2}R' = -U + rU'$$

$$\frac{d}{dr}\left(r^{2}\frac{dR}{dr}\right) = -U' + U' + rU''$$
[1]

[3]

D/

$$rU'' + 2U + 2ErU = 0$$
^[1]

[1]

[1]

gives

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d^2U}{dr^2} + \left[\frac{1}{r} + E\right]U = 0$$

For large r, $\frac{1}{r}$ tends to zero so

$$U'' = -2EU$$

which has solution for $\alpha = (-2E)^{\frac{1}{2}}$

$$U(r) = A \exp(\alpha r) + B \exp(-\alpha r).$$

For bound states this solution must be normalisable, however $\exp(\alpha r)$ is infinite [1] at $r = \infty$ so cannot be normalised, therefore A = 0. [2]

6. (a) Multiplying the expansion of f(x) in terms of Fourier polynomials by $P_m(x)$ and integrating over x yields [2]

$$\int_{-1}^{+1} P_m(x) f(x) \, \mathrm{d}x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \int_{-1}^{+1} P_m(x) P_n(x) \, \mathrm{d}x$$

Substituting the orthogonality relation into the RHS of the previous equation gives:

$$\int_{-1}^{+1} P_m(x) f(x) \, \mathrm{d}x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \delta_{mn} \frac{2}{2n+1} = a_m \frac{2}{2m+1} \,.$$

Now, rearranging terms and renaming the label gives the general formula for the coefficients a_n (book work) [1]

$$a_n = \frac{2n+1}{2} \int_{-1}^{+1} P_n(x) f(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \; .$$

Notice that $\alpha e^{\alpha |x|}$ is even. Applying the formula above, splitting the integration domain and noting that $P_n(x)$ is even or odd for even or odd n, one has: [2]

$$a_0 = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{+1} \alpha \, \mathrm{e}^{\alpha |x|} \, \mathrm{d}x = \int_0^{+1} \alpha \, \mathrm{e}^{\alpha x} \, \mathrm{d}x = [\mathrm{e}^{\alpha x}]_0^1 = \mathrm{e}^{\alpha} - 1 \, .$$

 $a_1 = 0$ because $P_1(x) \alpha e^{\alpha |x|}$ is odd.

(b) If the second charge is added, the total potential is:

$$V_T(r,\theta,\phi) = \frac{Q}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 r} \left[\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{d}{r}\right)^l P_l(\cos\theta) - \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{-d}{r}\right)^l P_l(\cos\theta) \right]$$
$$= \frac{Q}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 r} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{d}{r}\right)^l P_l(\cos\theta) + \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{l+1} \left(\frac{d}{r}\right)^l P_l(\cos\theta)$$
$$= \frac{2Q}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 r} \sum_{l \text{ odd}}^{\infty} \left(\frac{d}{r}\right)^l P_l(\cos\theta)$$

(the even terms in the two expansions cancel each other out). Hence, l = 1 is the first non-vanishing term ("dipole" term) and, for $d \ll r$, the potential can be approximated as [2]

$$V_T(r,\theta,\phi) \simeq \frac{Q}{2\pi\varepsilon_0 r} \frac{d}{r} P_1(\cos\theta) = \frac{Qd}{2\pi\varepsilon_0 r^2} \cos\theta$$

The resulting electrostatic force is thus given by

$$\underline{F} = -q\underline{\nabla}V_T = \frac{Qqd}{2\pi\varepsilon_0} \left(-\partial_r \frac{\cos\theta}{r^2} \underline{\hat{e}}_r - \frac{1}{r} \partial_\theta \frac{\cos\theta}{r^2} \underline{\hat{e}}_\theta \right) = \frac{Qqd}{2\pi\varepsilon_0 r^3} (2\cos\theta \underline{\hat{e}}_r + \sin\theta \underline{\hat{e}}_\theta).$$

This represents the interaction of an electric dipole with a charge. Notice that this force is one order weaker (proportional to $1/r^3$) than that of a single charge (as the net charge of the present configuration vanishes and thus there is no "monopole" contribution).

[4]

[2]

[3]

7. (a) The function f(x) is odd because $\sin x$ is odd. [1]

Fourier series of a function with generic period 2L (book work): [1]

$$f(x) = \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \cos(n\frac{\pi}{L}x) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n \sin(n\frac{\pi}{L}x) ,$$

where the coefficients of the expansion are given by (book work):

$$a_n = \frac{1}{L} \int_{-L}^{+L} \cos(n\frac{\pi}{L}x) f(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \,,$$

$$a_n = \frac{1}{L} \int_{-L}^{+L} \cos(n\frac{\pi}{L}x) f(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \,.$$

All the a_n 's vanish because f(x) is odd. Hence, replacing L with $\pi/2$ yields [1]

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n \sin(2nx) \; ,$$

with

$$b_n = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{-\pi/2}^{+\pi/2} \sin(2nx) f(x) \, \mathrm{d}x = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{-\pi/2}^{+\pi/2} \sin(2nx) \sin(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \, .$$

To solve the previous integral, apply the goniometric identity:

$$\sin(\alpha)\sin(\beta) = \frac{\cos(\alpha - \beta) - \cos(\alpha + \beta)}{2},$$

so that

$$b_n = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi/2}^{+\pi/2} \cos((2n-1)x) - \cos((2n+1)x) \, dx$$
$$= \frac{1}{\pi} \left(\left[\frac{\sin((2n-1)x)}{2n-1} \right]_{-\pi/2}^{+\pi/2} - \left[\frac{\sin((2n+1)x)}{2n+1} \right]_{-\pi/2}^{+\pi/2} \right)$$

Note now that $\sin((2n+1)\pi/2) = (-1)^n$ (and, thus, $\sin((2n-1)\pi/2) = (-1)^{n+1}$): [2]

$$b_n = \frac{(-1)^n}{\pi} \left(-\frac{2}{2n-1} - \frac{2}{2n+1} \right) = \frac{(-1)^n}{\pi} \frac{8n}{1-4n^2} \,.$$

Substituting into the Fourier series:

[2]

•

[1]

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{8n}{1-4n^2} \sin(2nx) .$$
^[1]

Applying Parseval's identity to our case:

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi/2}^{+\pi/2} \sin^2(x) \, \mathrm{d}x = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi/2}^{+\pi/2} 1 - \cos(2x) \, \mathrm{d}x = \frac{1}{2} - 0 = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\pi^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{64n^2}{(1-4n^2)^2} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^2}{(1-4n^2)^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{64}.$$
[1]

(b) In general one has (book work):

$$g(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \tilde{g}(k) e^{ikx} dk .$$

Substituting $\tilde{g}(k) = i\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \left[\delta(k+1) - \delta(k-1)\right]$ into the RHS of the previous equation, and using the properties of the delta function, yields

$$\frac{i}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left[\delta(k+1) - \delta(k-1) \right] e^{ikx} dk = \frac{1}{2i} \left[e^{ix} - e^{-ix} \right] = \sin x = \lim_{l \to \infty} g(x) \,,$$

which proves the requested identity. Note that the limit $l \to \infty$ is essential, as $g(x) = \sin x$ holds everywhere only in this limit.

[3]

[5]