UNIVERSITY OF LONDON

BA EXAMINATION 2002

for Internal Students

This paper is also taken by Combined Studies Students

PHILOSOPHY

Optional subject (c): <u>Philosophy of Mind</u>

Monday, 13 May 2002: 10.00 - 1.00

Answer <u>THREE</u> questions. Avoid overlap in your answers.

- 1. What might it mean to say that mental properties supervene on physical properties? Does any version of this claim constitute an acceptable form of physicalism?
- 2. 'Consciousness renders the mind/body problem insoluble.' Discuss.
- 3. EITHER (a) 'If the type identity theory were correct, we could in principle build a device—a "Cerebroscope"—that would read off our mental states from our brain states. But this is absurd. So, the type identity theory must be false.' Discuss.
 - OR (b) 'Pain cannot be identified with C-fibre activity, because one can conceive of pain occurring without C-fibre activity.' Explain and assess this objection to the type identity theory.
- 4. 'If non-reductive physicalism is true, then mental properties can play no causal role in the production of behaviour.' Discuss.
- 5. EITHER (a) 'Mental states are functional states.' What does this mean? Is it true?
 - OR (b) 'Though functionalism provides a correct account of intentional states, it is inadequate as an account of consciousness.' Discuss.
- 6. In what sense, if any, is holism true of the mental?
- 7. 'Introspection is a form of perception.' Explain and assess this claim.

- 8. EITHER (a) In what way can a pain be located in your toe?
 - OR (b) "Only I can feel my pains" is a metaphysical truth, "I can feel pains only in my body" is a contingent truth.' Discuss.
- 9. Explain and assess the claim that perceptual states have non-conceptual content.
- 10. What, if anything, do Putnam- and Burge-style thought-experiments tell us about the nature of our minds?
- 11. Is the claim that the content of our thoughts depends on our environment compatible with the claim that we have immediate, authoritative knowledge of our own thoughts?
- 12. What is intentionality? Can it be explained naturalistically?
- 13. EITHER (a) Are emotions defined by the propositional attitudes that they involve?
 - OR (b) To what extent, if any, are emotional responses rational?
- 14. Is self-deception possible?
- 15. EITHER (a) 'Intentional actions are actions which are intended.' Discuss.
 - OR (b) Does intentional action occur as a practical mode of exercising rationality?
- 16. Do non-human animals possess wills?
- 17. In what sense, if any, are there mental images?

END OF PAPER