UNIVERSITY OF LONDON
ARTS FACULTY
MA EXAMINATION
for Internal Students
PHILOSOPHY NEW REGULATIONS

POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY

Answer THREE questions.

- 1. Is the original position the correct set of circumstances in which to choose principles of justice?
- 2. Is the lexical ordering of Rawls' principles of justice defensible?
- 3. EITHER (a) Does Equality of Opportunity for Welfare solve the problems faced by

Equality of Welfare?

 \mbox{OR} $\,$ (b) Evaluate the treatment of 'ambition' and 'endowment' in Dworkin's

equality of resources.

- 4. Can egalitarians answer the 'leveling down' objection?
- 5. Is the conception of rights as side-constraints consistent with a justification of rights

that is based on the value of autonomy?

6. If I mix my labour with unowned land do I come to own it? If not, how might I come

to own it?

- 7. Can voluntary transactions result in injustice? Is Nozick's Wilt Chamberlain example a helpful focus for arguing that issue?
- 8. Can a person have a right only if she has a corresponding capacity?
- 9. Evaluate the use to which Locke puts the concept of tacit consent.
- 10. Is there a paradox in democracy?

11. What is meant by 'political liberalism'? Can it be adequately distinguished from

 ${\'e} comprehensive\ liberalism\'e?$

PLEASE TURN OVER

12. What, in your view, is the best way of characterising the debate between liberalism

and communitarianism? Which position is to be preferred?

END OF PAPER