## **UNIVERSITY COLLEGE LONDON**

University of London

## **EXAMINATION FOR INTERNAL STUDENTS**

For The Following Qualification:-

B.A.

Italian X255: Issues in Italian Syntax

COURSE CODE

: ITALX255

UNIT VALUE

: 0.50

DATE

: 23-MAY-05

TIME

: 10.00

TIME ALLOWED

: 3 Hours

## ANSWER QUESTIONS FROM ALL SECTIONS AS INSTRUCTED BELOW.

₽	Section A -	Phrasal	Constituency	and	<b>Thematic</b>	Assignment.
---	-------------	---------	--------------	-----	-----------------	-------------

Answer ALL questions (1, 2, 3). (Questions 1-3 should be answered in the spaces provided below.)

1.	Determine whether the <i>underlined</i> express constituent, and if so provide its syntactic cate to distinguish VP from IP. You may restrict y VP, IP, PP.	egory and its	head as in t	he examples	given. Ren	nember
	·-,,	NO:	YES:	Category:	Head:	
	E.g.1: John <u>likes Mary</u> .	-	<u>Yes</u>	<u>VP</u>	<u>like</u>	

E.g.2: The dog bit a cat.

a. They have always visited Rome.

b. They have always visited Rome.

c. They have always visited Rome.

d. We arrived from Italy by train.

2. Determine whether the *underlined* expression in the following FOUR sentences is a phrasal constituent, and if so provide its syntactic category and its head as in the examples given. Remember to distinguish VP from IP. You may restrict your choice to the following phrasal categories: DP, AP, PP, VP, and IP.

NO:

			-	
E.g.1: Gli uomini hanno sempre bevuto vino.		<u>Yes</u>	<u>IP</u>	<u>hanno</u>
E.g.2: Gli uomini <u>hanno sempre</u> bevuto vino.	<u>No</u>			
a. Gianni è orgoglioso di sua figlia.		<del></del>		
b. Gianni è orgoglioso di sua figlia.				
c. Arrivare sempre in orario non è facile.				<del></del>
d. I bambini hanno mangiato tutti pollo.				

(TURN OVER)

Head:

YES: Category:

3. Determine whether the *underlined* expression in the following FOUR sentences is an *argument* of one of the available verbs or simply an *adjunct*, as in the examples given. Pay attention to any potential movement operation displacing arguments from their base-generated position.

	An argument:	An adjunct:
E.g.1: I never eat <u>frozen beans</u> in the morning.	Yes	
E.g.2: I never eat frozen beans in the morning.		Yes
a. We do not like this restaurant.		
b. We do not like to eat in this restaurant.		
c. The wedding date has been chosen by Mark.		
d. I visit my parents every Friday.	<del></del>	

- Section B Syntactic Movement. Answer ALL questions (4, 5, and 6) in the answer book.
- 4. Provide the syntactic tree-structure representation for EITHER sentence (a) OR sentence (b) below. You may restrict your phrasal categories to DP, NP, VP, IP, and Past-ParticipleP (PPP). You can parse the quantifier 'tutti' under the node-label Q (for 'quantifier') and the adverb under the node-label ADV.

Remember to label each word and each tree-node with the appropriate syntactic category. Whenever a movement operation applies, properly represent all traces left behind by the moving item.

- a. I bambini hanno tutti riso.
- b. Quegli uomini mangiano sempre.
- 5. Provide the syntactic tree-structure representation for EITHER the Italian sentence in (a) OR the English sentence in (b) below.

This exercise concerns the 'split-Infl hypothesis': you MUST use the phrasal categories AgrP and TP and clearly indicate the content of their head. Use these categories together with the usual categories NP, DP, PP, and VP. You may analyse the neg-markers 'non', 'not' as Neg (for 'negation') and the particle 'più' as a neg-particle analogous to 'mica'.

Remember to label each word and each tree-node with the appropriate syntactic category. Whenever a movement operation applies, properly represent all traces left behind by the moving item.

- a. Maria non vuole più caramelle.
- b. We do not believe you.

(CONTINUED)

6. Provide the syntactic tree-structure representation for EITHER the Italian sentence in (a) OR the English sentence in (b) below. The Italian sentence involves a contrastively focused interpretation for the subject, i.e. the speaker is asking to whom did *Luca* speak rather than -say- to whom did *Mary* speak.

You may restrict your phrasal categories to DP, PP, VP, IP, and CP. You may also analyse the interrogative operators 'Chi' and 'What' as DPs. Remember to label each word and each tree-node with the appropriate syntactic category. Whenever a movement operation applies, properly represent all traces left behind by the moving item.

- a. A chi ha parlato [Luca] focus?
- b. What did you see?
- Section C Passives, Ergatives, and Raising Structures.

Answer BOTH questions (7 and 8) in the answer book. When providing tree-structure representations, always remember to label each word and tree-node with the appropriate syntactic category. Whenever a movement operation applies, properly represent all traces left behind by the moving item.

- 7. Provide the appropriate syntactic tree-structure representation for the sentence in (a) below. You may restrict your phrasal categories to NP, DP, PP, VP, and IP. You may use PPP to represent past-participles.
  - a. Luca sembrava aver parlato per ore.
- 8. Provide the appropriate syntactic tree-structure representation for the sentence in (a) below. You may restrict your phrasal categories to NP, DP, PP, VP, and IP. You may use PPP to represent past-participles, and the label Q for the quantifier 'tutti'.
  - a. I bambini sono andati tutti a letto.

(TURN OVER)

.

. .

## Section D - Case-theory and Null-subjects.

Answer BOTH questions (9 and 10).

9. For each of the following FOUR sentences, determine which head assigns case to the DP in **bold**, and provide its syntactic category, as in the example given.

	Case-assigning head	Category
E.g.: John likes Mary.	like	$V^o$
a. Abbiamo regalato tutti i soldi a Gianni.		
b. Maria sembra sempre più stanca.		
c. I hope that she will win.		
d. John was believed to have survived the earthq	quake.	

- 10. Answer this question in the answer book. Consider the grammatical sentence in (a) below, involving a finite embedded clause, and the corresponding ungrammatical sentence in (b), involving a non-finite clausal complement. Explain what condition on expletive null subjects is satisfied in (a) but failed in (b).
  - a. Noi vogliamo che nevichi.We want that snow'We wish for it to snow'
  - b. \* Noi vogliamo nevicare.We want to-snow'We wish for it to snow'

**END OF PAPER**