

### B. Sc. Undergraduate Programmes in Mathematical Studies

Level HE3 Examination

Module MS308 NUMERICAL SOLUTION OF PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

Time allowed – 2 hours

Spring Semester 2007

Attempt THREE questions. If any candidate attempts more than THREE questions, only the best THREE solutions will be taken into account.

SEE NEXT PAGE

where  $r = \Delta t / \Delta x$ 

## Question 1

Suppose that u(x, y) is a solution of the PDE

$$u_t = u_{xx} - u$$
 for  $x \in (0,1)$  and  $t > 0$ ,

satisfying the boundary conditions u(0,t) = 1, u(1,t) = 0 and the initial condition u(x,0) = 1 - x.

(a) Show that a Forward Time Central Space (FTCS) finite difference scheme for this PDE may be written

$$u_j^{n+1} = u_j^n (1 - \Delta t - 2r) + r \left( u_{j+1}^n + u_{j-1}^n \right),$$
<sup>2</sup>. [3]

- (b) Determine for what values of  $\Delta t$  the FTCS numerical method is stable.
- (c) Given a space mesh-size  $\Delta x = 1/3$  and a timestep  $\Delta t = 1/10$ , use the FTCS method to find a numerical solution of the PDE at t = 1/10. [8]
- (d) Make a rough sketch of the numerical solution at t = 0 and t = 1/10. What would you expect the solution to look like after many timesteps? Why? [5]

[9]

# Question 2

Suppose that u(x,t) is a solution of the PDE

 $u_t = u_{xx}$  for  $x \in (0,1)$  and t > 0,

satisfying the boundary conditions  $u_x(0,t) = 1, u(1,t) = -1$  and the initial condition u(x,0) = x(1-2x).

The Du Fort-Frankel finite difference scheme for solving this PDE using a space meshsize of  $\Delta x$  and timestep  $\Delta t$  is

$$\frac{u_j^{n+1} - u_j^{n-1}}{2\Delta t} = \frac{1}{\Delta x^2} \left( u_{j-1}^n - \left( u_j^{n-1} + u_j^{n+1} \right) + u_{j+1}^n \right).$$

(a) Show that the local truncation error (LTE) of the Du Fort-Frankel scheme is given by

$$\left(r^2 - \frac{1}{12}\right)u_{tt}\Delta x^2 + \frac{\Delta t^2}{6}u_{ttt} + O\left(\Delta t^4, \Delta x^4, r^4\Delta x^6\right),$$

where  $r = \Delta t / \Delta x^2$ .

- (b) What conditions on  $\Delta x$  and  $\Delta t$  must be satisfied for the method to be consistent? [4]
- (c) Using a 'ghost' point write down an approximation for the derivative boundary condition at x = 0. [2]
- (d) Show that the Du Fort-Frankel scheme may be written in the form

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{u}^{\mathbf{n}+1} = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{u}^{\mathbf{n}-1} + \mathbf{C}\mathbf{u}^{\mathbf{n}} + \mathbf{a},$$

stating clearly what the matrices  $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}$  and  $\mathbf{C}$ , and the vectors  $\mathbf{u}^{\mathbf{n}}$  and  $\mathbf{a}$  are. Is the method implicit or explicit? Give a reason for your answer. [10]

[9]

#### MS308/5/SS07

#### Question 3

(a) Suppose that u(x,t) satisfies the PDE

$$u_t + au_x = 0, \quad a > 0.$$

(i) Show that a numerical scheme that uses a Forward Time Backward Space (FTBS) finite difference approximation may be written as

$$u_j^{n+1} = (1-p)u_j^n + pu_{j-1}^n,$$

where  $p = a\Delta t / \Delta x$ .

- (ii) Calculate the local truncation error (LTE) of the numerical scheme.
- (b) The FTBS method for solving the equation for u(x, y, t)

$$u_t + au_x + bu_y = 0, \quad a > 0, b > 0,$$

is

$$u_{j,k}^{n+1} = (1 - p - q)u_{j,k}^n + pu_{j-1,k}^n + qu_{j,k-1}^n$$

where  $p = a\Delta t / \Delta x$  and  $q = b\Delta t / \Delta y$ .

(i) By considering solutions to the FTBS method of the form  $\xi^n \exp(ij\omega_1) \exp(ik\omega_2)$ , show that the amplification factor  $\xi$  satisfies

$$|\xi|^2 = 1 + 4F(\omega_1, \omega_2),$$

where

$$F(\omega_1, \omega_2) = (p^2 - p)S_1^2 + (q^2 - q)S_2^2 + 2pqS_1S_2c,$$
  
and  $S_1 = \sin(\omega_1/2), S_2 = \sin(\omega_2/2), C_1 = \cos(\omega_1/2)$  and  $C_2 = \cos(\omega_2/2)$  and

- c = cos ((ω<sub>1</sub> − ω<sub>2</sub>) /2). [9]
  (ii) Use suitable choices of the frequencies ω<sub>1</sub> and ω<sub>2</sub> to show that the conditions 0 ≤ p ≤ 1 and 0 ≤ q ≤ 1 are both necessary for stability. [4]
- (iii) Show by completing the square or otherwise that

$$F(\omega_1, \omega_2) = -p(1-p) \left\{ \left( S_1 - \frac{qc}{(1-p)} S_2 \right)^2 + \left( \frac{q(1-q)}{p(1-p)} - \frac{q^2 c^2}{(1-p)^2} \right) S_2^2 \right\}.$$
[2]

(iv) Hence show that the scheme is stable if p and q additionally satisfy  $p + q \le 1$ . [3]

#### SEE NEXT PAGE

[2]

[5]

### Question 4

Consider the equation

$$\frac{d^2u}{dx^2} + u = -f(x), \quad \text{for} \quad x \in [0,1],$$

with u(0) = u(1) = 0.

- (a) Write down a weak formulation of this differential equation, including a definition of the inner product and the function space V used. [6]
- (b) Consider a finite element approximation,  $u_h(x)$ , to the solution of the weak form of the differential equation, where

$$u_h(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} U_j \phi_j(x)$$

and the functions  $\phi_j(x)$  form a basis for the test space  $V_h \subset V$ . Using the weak form, write down the general structure of the Galerkin matrix system. [6]

- (c) For N = 2 sketch the domain and the graph of the relevant linear hat basis function. [2]
- (d) If f(x) = 1, calculate the required elements of the Galerkin matrix system found in (b) and hence find the finite element solution. [9]
- (e) Find the analytical solution to the differential equation for f(x) = 1 and compare your answer with your numerical solution. [2]