

To solve the simultaneous eqns we can try

$$x = 4, 15, 26, 37, 48, 59, 70, 81, 92 \pmod{11}$$

$$\begin{matrix} 3 & 14 & 2 & 13 & 1 & 12 & 0 \\ \text{mod } 23 \end{matrix}$$

See the pattern: 6 more 'double' steps gives $92 + 132$

$$\text{But this is even so add } 253 : 477 = 224$$

OR remember the Chinese remainder theorem and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{write } \bar{x} &= a_1 N_1 x_1 + a_2 N_2 x_2 \quad \text{for } N = n_1 \dots n_r \\ &= 4 \cdot 23 \cdot 1 + 17 \cdot 11 \cdot 21 \quad N_1 x_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{n_2} \\ &= 92 + 3553 + 374 \end{aligned}$$

(4) (i) Determine remainder when 11^{33} is divided by 155
(Hint: $155 = 5 \times 31$; find remainders for 5, 31 first)

$$\begin{aligned} 11^{33} &= 11^{31} \cdot 11^2 = 11 \cdot 11^2 \pmod{31} \quad \text{Cor. to F.L.T} \\ &= 11 \cdot -3 = -33 = 29 \pmod{31} = -2 \pmod{31} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 11^{33} &= (11^5)^6 \cdot 11^3 = 11^6 \cdot 11^3 = 11^9 = 11 \pmod{5} \quad \text{Cor F.L.T} \\ &= 1 \pmod{5} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } \left. \begin{aligned} 11^{33} + 13 \cdot 5 &= 1 \pmod{31} \\ 11^{33} + 13 \cdot 5 &= 1 \pmod{5} \end{aligned} \right\} \begin{aligned} 11^{33} + 13 \cdot 5 &= 1 \pmod{155} \\ 11^{33} &= -64 \pmod{155} \\ &= 91 \pmod{155} \end{aligned}$$

My argument here was: $a \equiv b \pmod{n} \Rightarrow a = b \pmod{nm}$

$$\text{So I wanted } 11^{33} + \square = 29 + \square = 1 \pmod{31}$$

$$11^{33} + \square = 1 + \square = 1 \pmod{5}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{thus } \square \text{ is } 5K \text{ so solve } 29 + 5K &= 1 \pmod{31} \\ 5K &= 3 \pmod{31} \\ K &= 13 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Alternatively: say: } x &= -2 \pmod{31} = 29, 60, 91 \\ \text{and } &= 1 \pmod{5} \end{aligned} \quad \text{so } 91 \pmod{155}$$

(ii) Prove the converse of Wilson's Theorem.

Assume $(n-1)! \equiv -1 \pmod{n}$, n not prime.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{then } d|n \quad (1 < d < n) &\Rightarrow d|(n-1)! \text{ and } d|(n-1)! + 1 \\ &\Rightarrow d|1 \quad \times \end{aligned}$$

(i) Prove $\phi(n) = n \prod_{p|n} (1 - \frac{1}{p})$ (you may assume ϕ multiplicative)

$\phi(n)$ = no. of pos. integers $\leq n$ rel. prime to n
 $\phi(p) = p-1$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \phi(n) &= \prod_{p|n} \phi(p) = \prod_{p|n} (p-1) = \prod_{p|n} p \cdot \frac{(p-1)}{p} \\ &= n \prod_{p|n} \frac{(p-1)}{p} \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Determine $\tau(180), \sigma(180)$

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(n) &= \text{no. of pos. divisors of } n = \sum_{d|n} 1 \\ &= (K_1+1) \cdot \dots \cdot (K_m+1) \end{aligned}$$

$$180 = 2 \times 2 \times 3 \times 3 \times 5 \quad \therefore \tau(180) = 3 \cdot 3 \cdot 2 = 18$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(n) &= \text{sum of pos. divisors of } n = \sum_{d|n} d \\ &= \frac{p_1^{K_1+1}-1}{p_1-1} \cdot \dots \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \sigma(180) = \frac{2^4-1}{2-1} \cdot \frac{3^3-1}{3-1} \cdot \frac{5^2-1}{5-1} = 15 \cdot 13 \cdot 6 = 1170$$

If $\sigma(n) < 2n$ then n is said to be deficient. $\frac{15}{180}$ deficient? Show $n = p_1 p_2$ is deficient except $n=6$
180 not deficient - from the defn.

$$\sigma(n) = (p_1+1)(p_2+1) = p_1 p_2 + p_1 + p_2 + 1$$

$$\sigma(n) < 2n \Leftrightarrow p_1 + p_2 + 1 < p_1 p_2$$

$$\Leftrightarrow p_1 p_2 - p_1 - p_2 - 1 > 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (p_1-1)(p_2-1) - 2 > 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (p_1-1)(p_2-1) > 2$$

Clearly since $p_1 p_2 \geq 2$ this holds unless $p_1=2, p_2=3$ then $n=6$