

STRATEGY

- Write down ass's
remove $\forall x$
Want $(A \rightarrow B)$, intro A
introd. X if get $\exists x X$
Want $\neg A$, intro A
remove $\forall x$
- 1 (1) $\forall x (\phi \leftrightarrow \neg \psi)$ Ass
1 (2) $(\phi \leftrightarrow \neg \psi)$ UE(1)
3 (3) $\exists x (\psi \vee \theta)$ Ass
4 (4) $(\psi \vee \theta)$ Ass
5 (5) $\forall x (\phi \leftrightarrow \neg \theta)$ Ass
5 (6) $(\phi \leftrightarrow \neg \theta)$ UE(5)
4,5 (7) ψ Taut (4)(6)
1,5 (8) $\neg \psi$ Taut (5)(6)
1,4,5 (9) $\psi \leftrightarrow \neg \psi$ Taut (7)(8)
1,4 (10) $(\forall x (\phi \leftrightarrow \neg \theta) \rightarrow (\psi \leftrightarrow \neg \psi))$ CP (9) CP + Taut
1,4 (11) $\neg \forall x (\phi \leftrightarrow \neg \theta)$ Taut (10) allow $\neg A$
1,3 (12) $\neg \forall x (\phi \leftrightarrow \neg \theta)$ EH (11) use EH to change ass
1 (13) $(\exists x (\psi \vee \theta) \rightarrow \neg \forall x (\phi \leftrightarrow \neg \theta))$ CP (12)

(15) We wish to know whether the following is a theorem

- not (i) $\forall x \forall y ((x \cdot y) + 0) = ((x + 0) \cdot y)$
(ii) $\forall y \forall z (0 + (y \cdot z)) = ((0 + y) \cdot z)$

Intuitively: (i) looks O.K. (ii) looks wrong
try for a proof try for a counter-example.

(ii) is NOT a theorem as there is an interpretation
N** where it is false.

$$\begin{aligned} 0 + (0 \cdot \beta) &= 0 + \beta = \alpha \\ (0 + 0) \cdot \beta &= 0 \cdot \beta = \beta \end{aligned}$$

(i) is a theorem: the informal argument is

$$\begin{aligned} \forall x (x + 0) &= x \quad \text{and} \quad (x + 0) = x \\ (x + 0) &= x \\ ((x \cdot y) + 0) &= (x \cdot y) \\ &= (x + 0) \cdot y \\ &= (x + 0) \cdot y \end{aligned}$$