

## 2002 - Number Theory Solutions

*[[ Comments are written like this. ]]*

### Question 1

(i) (4 marks)

Let  $P(n)$  be the proposition  $1 + 5 + 12 + 22 + \dots + \frac{1}{2}n(3n-1) = \frac{1}{2}n^2(n+1)$ .

$P(1)$  is  $1 = \frac{1}{2} * 1^2 * (1+1)$ . As  $P(1)$  is true then we have the basis for induction.

Assume  $P(k)$  is true for some positive integer  $k$ .

$$\begin{aligned} & 1 + 5 + 12 + 22 + \dots + \frac{1}{2}k(3k-1) + \frac{1}{2}(k+1)[3(k+1)-1] \\ &= \frac{1}{2}k^2(k+1) + \frac{1}{2}(k+1)(3k+2) \quad (\text{using the induction hypothesis}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(k+1)(k^2+3k+2) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(k+1)^2(k+2). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore if  $P(k)$  is true then  $P(k+1)$  is true. This completes the induction step.  
The result then follows from the Principle of Mathematical Induction.

(ii) (4 marks)

$$\begin{aligned} 211 &= 1 * 160 + 51 \\ 160 &= 3 * 51 + 7 \\ 51 &= 7 * 7 + 2 \\ 7 &= 3 * 2 + 1 \\ 2 &= 2 * 1 + 0 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\gcd(211, 160) = 1$ .

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= 7 - 3 * 2 = 7 - 3 * (51 - 7 * 7) = 22 * 7 - 3 * 51 \\ &= 22 * (160 - 3 * 51) - 3 * 51 = 22 * 160 - 69 * 51 \\ &= 22 * 160 - 69 * (211 - 160) = 211 * (-69) - 160 * (-91) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore solutions of  $211x - 160y = 1$  are of the form

$$x = -69 + \frac{160}{\gcd(211,160)}t = -69 + 160t, \text{ and } y = -91 + \frac{211}{\gcd(211,160)}t = -91 + 211t,$$

where  $t$  is an integer.

Hence  $x = -69 + 160 = 91$ , and  $y = -91 + 211 = 120$  are an appropriate pair of positive integers.

*[[ Check.  $211 * 91 = 211 * (100 - 9) = 21,100 - 1899 = 19,201$ .  $160 * 120 = 19200$ . ]]*

(iii) (3 marks)

Since  $n$  has remainder 3 when divided by 12 then  $n$  is odd.  
As  $m = 3n + 4$  then

$$\begin{aligned} \gcd(m, n) &= \gcd(n, 4) && \text{Euclidean Algorithm} \\ &= 1 && \text{as } n \text{ is odd.} \end{aligned}$$

## Question 2

(i)

4 is of the form  $3k + 1$  but  $4 = 2 * 2$ , and 2 is not of this form.

The statement is **false**.

(ii) *[[ Need this result in part( iv) so it must be true ]]*.

By the Division Algorithm every number has one of the forms  $3k$ ,  $3k + 1$ , or  $3k - 1$ .

The only prime of the form  $3k$  is 3. 3 does not divide a positive integer of the form  $3k + 1$ .

If  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  are primes of the form  $3k + 1$  then as

$$p_1 p_2 \equiv 1 * 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$$

then the product of two numbers of this type also has the same form.

Therefore a number of the form  $3k - 1$  must have a prime factor of the same form so the statement is **true**.

(iii)

If  $m = n = 1$ , then  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$  and  $\gcd(3m + 1, 3n + 1) = \gcd(4, 4) = 4$ .

Therefore the statement is **false**.

(iv) *[[ Since  $\gcd(3, -1) = 1$  then Dirichlet's theorem tells us there are an infinite number. ]]*

The statement is **true**.

Assume there are a finite number of primes of the form  $3k - 1$  and these are  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n$ .

Let  $N = 3(p_1 p_2 \dots p_n) - 1$ . Since this is of the form  $3k - 1$  then it must have a prime factor of the form  $3k - 1$  (part ii). Assume this prime is  $p_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ).

Since  $p_i$  divides  $N$  and  $3(p_1 p_2 \dots p_n)$  then it divides  $N - 3(p_1 p_2 \dots p_n) = 1$ .

Since  $p_i$  does not divide 1 then the assumption that there are a finite number of primes of the form  $3k - 1$  must be false. Therefore there are an infinite number of primes of this form.

### Question 3

**(i) (2 marks)**

Since  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{6}$  then  $n = 6k + 2$  for some integer  $k$ .  
Therefore  $12n + 7 = 12(6k + 2) + 7 = 72k + 31$ .

**(i)(a)**  $12n + 7 = 72k + 31 \equiv 31 \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$

**(i)(b)**  $12n + 7 = 72k + 31 \equiv 31 \equiv 4 \pmod{9}$

**(ii) (3 marks)**

$$19x \equiv 9 \pmod{61} \Leftrightarrow 57x \equiv -4x \equiv 27 \pmod{61}$$
$$\Leftrightarrow -60x \equiv x \equiv 405 \equiv 39 \pmod{61}.$$

Therefore  $x \equiv 39 \pmod{61}$ .

*[[ Check.  $19 * 39 = (20 - 1) * (40 - 1) = 800 - 20 - 40 + 1 = 741 = 610 + 131 = 610 + 122 + 9. ]]$*

*[[ A solution using the Euclidean Algorithm.  $61 = 3 * 19 + 4$ ,  $19 = 4 * 4 + 3$ ,  $4 = 1 * 3 + 1$ .  
 $1 = 4 - 3 = 4 - (19 - 4 * 4) = 5 * 4 - 19 = 5 * (61 - 3 * 19) - 19 = 5 * 61 - 16 * 19$ .  
Therefore  $19^{-1} \equiv -16 \pmod{61}$  so  $x \equiv (-16) * 9 \equiv -144 \equiv 39 \pmod{61}$ . ]]*

**(iii) (6 marks)**

By the Chinese remainder theorem the congruences

$$x \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \quad x \equiv 2 \pmod{5} \quad x \equiv 3 \pmod{13}$$

have a unique solution modulo  $3 * 5 * 13 = 195$ .

Integers which satisfy the congruence  $x \equiv 3 \pmod{13}$  are 3, 16, 29, 42, ...

Integers which also satisfy the congruence  $x \equiv 2 \pmod{5}$  are 42, 107, 172 ...

172 also satisfies the congruence  $x \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ .

Hence 172 is the unique solution modulo 195.

Therefore the least positive integer which satisfies the congruences is 172.

#### Question 4

(i) (5 marks)

When  $p = 2$  then  $(2 - 1)! \equiv 1 \equiv -1 \pmod{2}$

Let  $p \geq 3$  be a prime.

If  $a$  is one of the least positive residues then the equation  $ax \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  has a unique solution.

If  $ab \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  then if  $a \equiv b \pmod{p}$  then  $p^2 - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ .

By Lagrange's theorem there are a maximum of 2 solutions when  $p$  is a prime. Since 1 and  $p - 1$  are solutions then these are the only solutions.

Therefore the remaining  $p - 3$  least positive residues (2, 3, ...,  $p - 2$ ) must have an inverse which is congruent to another residue in the list. Since the remaining  $p - 3$  values can be put into  $(p - 3)/2$  pairs which are inverses of each other we have

$$\begin{aligned} & 1 * [ 2 * 3 * \dots * (p - 2) ] * (p - 1) \\ & \equiv 1 * 1^{(p-3)/2} * (p - 1) \\ & \equiv (p - 1) \equiv -1 \pmod{p}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $(p - 1)! \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$  if  $p$  is a prime.

*[[ You might prefer the proof in the unit. ]]*

(ii) (6 marks)

(ii)(a) By FLT  $40^6 \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$ .

Therefore  $40^{65} \equiv 40^{66} * 40^{-1} \equiv (40^6)^{11} * 5^{-1} \equiv 1^{11} * 3 \equiv 3 \pmod{7}$ .

(ii)(b) *[[ Solution by Linda Brown. ]]*

*[[ The original incorrect solution used the FLT. This is NOT valid as  $a$  is not always relatively prime to 3, 5, and 13]]*

$195 = 3 * 5 * 13$ .

Alternative form of FLT gives  $a^3 \equiv a \pmod{3}$ ,  $a^5 \equiv a \pmod{5}$  and  $a^{13} \equiv a \pmod{13}$ .

Hence  $a^{25} \equiv (a^3)^8 * a \equiv a^8 * a \equiv (a^3)^3 \equiv a^3 \equiv a \pmod{3}$ ,

$$a^{25} \equiv (a^5)^5 \equiv a^5 \equiv a \pmod{5},$$

and  $a^{25} \equiv a^{13} * a^{12} \equiv a * a^{12} \equiv a^{13} \equiv a \pmod{13}$ .

Hence by the Corollary to Theorem 1.3, with 3, 5 & 13 prime,

$$a^{25} \equiv a \pmod{195}, \text{ for all integers } a.$$

### Question 5

**(i) (4 marks)**

As  $2^p - 1$  is odd then  $\gcd(2^{p-1}, 2^p - 1) = 1$ .

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma(m) &= \sigma(2^{p-1}(2^p - 1)) \\ &= \sigma(2^{p-1})\sigma(2^p - 1) \\ &= (2^p - 1)2^p \\ &= 2m\end{aligned}$$

As  $\sigma$  is a multiplicative function and  $\gcd(2^{p-1}, 2^p - 1) = 1$ .  
 $\sigma(2^p - 1) = 2^p$  as  $2^p - 1$  is prime,  $\sigma(2^{p-1}) = (2^p - 1)$  as  $p > 1$ .

As  $\sigma(m) = 2m$  then  $m$  is perfect if  $2^p - 1$  is prime.

**(ii) (7 marks)**

**(ii)(a)** If  $n$  is prime then  $\sigma(n) = n + 1$ .

Therefore  $\sigma(n) - n = (n + 1) - n = 1$ .

As 1 is not divisible by 3 then  $n$  cannot be a prime.

**(ii)(b)** If  $n = p^2$  where  $p$  is a prime then  $\sigma(n) = 1 + p + p^2$ .

$$\begin{aligned}3 \mid \sigma(n) - n &\Rightarrow 3 \mid (1 + p + p^2) - p^2 \\ &\Rightarrow 3 \mid p + 1 \\ &\Rightarrow p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}\end{aligned}$$

**(iii)(c)**

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma(n) &= \sigma(pq) = \sigma(p)\sigma(q) && \text{since } p \text{ and } q \text{ are distinct primes} \\ &= (1 + p)(1 + q) && \text{as } p \text{ and } q \text{ are prime.} \\ &= 1 + p + q + pq.\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}3 \mid \sigma(n) - n &\Rightarrow 3 \mid 1 + p + q \\ &\Rightarrow p + q \equiv 2 \pmod{3}\end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\sigma(n) - n$  is divisible by 3 only if

$p = 3$  and  $q \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ , or  
 $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$  and  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$  where  $p \neq q$ ,  $p$  and  $q$  both prime, or  
 $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$  and  $q = 3$ .

*[[ Check.  $\sigma(6) - 6 = 1 + 2 + 3 = 6$ .  $\sigma(7 * 13) - 7 * 13 = 1 + 7 + 13 = 21$ . ]]*

## 2002 Question 6

### (i) (4 marks)

The quadratic congruence has solutions if  $5^2 - 4 * 2 * 6 = 25 - 48 = -23$  is a quadratic residue of 17.

$$\begin{aligned}(-23/17) &= (-6/17) && \text{Th. 2.1(a), } -23 \equiv -6 \pmod{17} \\ &= (-1/17)(2/17)(3/17) && \text{Th. 2.1(c).} \\ &= 1 * 1 * (-1) = -1 && \text{Th. 2.1(e), Th. 3.2, and Th. 4.4.}\end{aligned}$$

Therefore the congruence does not have solutions.

### (ii) (3 marks)

$$\begin{aligned}(-37/59) &= (22/59) && \text{Th. 2.1(a), } -37 \equiv 22 \pmod{59} \\ &= (2/59)(11/59) && \text{Th. 2.1(c).} \\ &= (-1) \{ -(59/11) \} && \text{Th. 3.2. LQR. } 59 \equiv 11 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \\ &= (4/11) && 59 \equiv 4 \pmod{11} \\ &= 1 && \text{Th. 2.1(b).}\end{aligned}$$

*[[ Alternatively not using the LQR.*

$$(-37/59) = (-96/59) = (-1/59)(16/59)(3/59)(2/59) = (-1) * 1 * 1 * (-1) = 1. ]]$$

### (iii) (4 marks)

When  $a = 2$  and  $p = 8k + 5$  the set  $S$  in Gauss' Lemma is  $S = \{2, 4, 6, \dots, 8k + 4\}$

If  $2\alpha > p/2$  then  $\alpha > p/4 = 2k + 5/4$ .

As  $\alpha$  is an integer then  $\alpha \geq 2k + 2$ .

The number of values in the set  $S$  where the value exceeds  $p/2$  is

$$\{(p - 1)/2 - (2k + 2)\} + 1 = (4k + 2) - (2k + 2) + 1 = 2k + 1.$$

Since  $(-1)^{2k+1} = -1$  then, by Gauss' Lemma, 2 is a quadratic non-residue of any prime of the form  $8k + 5$ .

*[[ You might find it easier to find number of values  $\leq p/2$  and deduce the number  $> p/2$ .*

*If  $2\alpha \leq p/2$  then  $\alpha \leq p/4 = 2k + 5/4$ . As  $\alpha$  is an integer then  $\alpha \leq 2k + 1$ .*

*The number of values in the set  $S$  where the value exceeds  $p/2$  is*

$$\{(p - 1)/2 - (2k + 1)\} = (4k + 2) - (2k + 1) = 2k + 1. ]]$$

### Question 7

(i) (5 marks)

$$172 = 2 * 79 + 14$$

$$79 = 5 * 14 + 9$$

$$14 = 1 * 9 + 5$$

$$9 = 1 * 5 + 4$$

$$5 = 1 * 4 + 1$$

$$4 = 4 * 1 + 0$$

Therefore  $172/79 = [2, 5, 1, 1, 1, 4]$ .

The convergents are  $C_1 = 2/1$ ;  $C_2 = 11/5$ ;  $C_3 = 13/6$ ;  $C_4 = 24/11$ ;  $C_5 = 37/17$ ;  $C_6 = 172/79$ .

By Theorem 1.3(a) we have  $172 * 17 - 37 * 79 = (-1)^6 = 1$ .

So one solution of the linear Diophantine equation  $172x - 79y = 1$  is

$$x = 17, y = 37.$$

*[[ Check.  $172 * 17 - 79 * 37 = 172 * (20 - 3) - (80 - 1) * 37 = 3440 - 516 - 2960 + 37 = 3477 - 3476. ]]$*

(ii) (6 marks)

Let  $y = [2, 3, x]$  where  $x = [<3, 2>] = [3, 2, x]$ .

The convergents of  $[3, 2, x]$  are  $3/1, 7/2, (7x + 3)/(2x + 1) = x$ .

So  $2x^2 - 6x - 3 = 0$  and the positive solution is  $x = \frac{6 + \sqrt{36 + 24}}{4} = \frac{3 + \sqrt{15}}{2}$ .

The convergents of  $[2, 3, x]$  are  $2/1, 7/3, (7x + 2)/(3x + 1) = (14x + 4)/(6x + 2) = y$ .

$$\begin{aligned} [2, 3, <3, 2>] &= y \\ &= \frac{25 + 7\sqrt{15}}{11 + 3\sqrt{15}} = \frac{(25 + 7\sqrt{15})(11 - 3\sqrt{15})}{121 - 135} = \frac{(275 - 315) + \sqrt{15}(-75 + 77)}{-14} = \frac{20 - \sqrt{15}}{7}. \end{aligned}$$

### Question 8

**(i) (4 marks)**

A primitive Pythagorean triple is of the form  $(2mn, m^2 - n^2, m^2 + n^2)$ , where  $m$  and  $n$  are positive integers,  $m > n$ ,  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ , and  $m$  and  $n$  have opposite parity (Th. 2.1).

**(i)(a) Side 20**

As the 2nd and 3rd sides are odd then we must have  $2mn = 20$ .

As  $mn = 10$  then its possible values are  $m = 10, n = 1$ ;  $m = 5, n = 2$ .

Therefore the possible primitive Pythagorean triples are  $(20, 99, 101)$  and  $(20, 21, 29)$ .

**(i)(b) Side 22**

Similarly we must have  $2mn = 22$ . As  $mn = 11$  then it is not possible to choose  $m$  and  $n$  of opposite parity. Therefore there are no primitive Pythagorean triples with a side of 22.

**(ii) (3 marks)**

$360 = 6 * 6 * 10 = 2^3 * 3^2 * 5$ . Since no factor of the form  $4k + 3$  occurs to an odd power then 360 can be expressed as the sum of 2 squares (Th. 4.3).

$364 = 4 * 91 = 4 * 7 * 13$ . Since a factor of the form  $4k + 3$  occurs to an odd power then 364 cannot be expressed as the sum of 2 squares (Th. 4.3).

$$360 = 36 * 10 = 6^2 * (3^2 + 1^2) = 18^2 + 6^2.$$

**(iii) (4 marks)**

*[[ Correction by Peter Monk. 08/10/05 ]]*

$$\sqrt{8} = \sqrt{8} \frac{\sqrt{8} - 2}{\sqrt{8} - 2} = \frac{8 - 2(\frac{m}{n})}{(\frac{m}{n}) - 2} = \frac{8n - 2m}{m - 2n}. \quad \text{[[ Probably easier to work right to left. ]]$$

Let  $\sqrt{8} = m_1/n_1$ .

Let  $m_2 = 8n_1 - 2m_1$ , and  $n_2 = m_1 - 2n_1$  then  $\sqrt{8} = m_2/n_2$ .

Since  $2 < \sqrt{8} = m_1/n_1 < 3$  then  $2n_1 < m_1 < 3n_1$ . Therefore  $0 < m_1 - 2n_1 = n_2 < n_1$ .

As the denominator is smaller then the descent step has been established. Hence by the method of infinite descent it is not possible to write  $\sqrt{8}$  in the given form.

Therefore  $\sqrt{8}$  is irrational.

**END OF NUMBER THEORY SOLUTIONS**