# **Question 10**

- (4 marks) Similar to Unit 1, Example 3.3. **(i)**
- h(3, 0) = f(3) = 4.(a)
- h(3, 0+1) = g(3, 0, h(3, 0)) = add (5, 1) = 6.**(b)** h(3, 1 + 1) = g(3, 1, h(3, 1)) = add(7, 2) = 9.
- (ii) (a) (2 marks)

See 2003 Qu. 10 (ii)(a) where it was worth 3 marks.

(ii) (b) (2 marks)

See 2003 Qu. 10 (ii)(b).

(iii) (3 marks)

$$(x_1, x_2)$$
 where  $x_1 \le x_2$ . [[  $y = 0$  ]]  
 $(x_1, x_2)$  where  $x_2 \le 9$  and  $x_1 > x_2$ . [[  $y > 0$ ]

 $(x_1, x_2)$  where  $x_2 \le 9$  and  $x_1 > x_2$ . [[ y > 0]]

# **Question 11**

#### (i) (3 marks)

Topic not covered in post-2003 course.

See 2002 Qu. 11 (ii)(a).

$$Min(x, y) = x \div (x \div y).$$

Min is a primitive recursive function since it is defined by substitution using the primitive recursive function  $\dot{-}$ .

#### (ii)(c) $(3\frac{1}{2} \text{ marks})$

Define the functions

$$g_1(x_1, x_2, x_3) = 2x_2 = \text{mult}(2, x_2),$$
  
 $g_2(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_1^{x_3} = \exp(x_1, x_3),$   
 $g_3(x_1, x_2, x_3) = 5 = C_5^3(x_1, x_2, x_3),$ 

and the relations

$$R_1(x_1, x_2, x_3) \Leftrightarrow Min(x_1, x_3) = 30 + x_2,$$
  
 $R_2(x_1, x_2, x_3) \Leftrightarrow 3x_1 + 2x_2 + x_3 \le 100,$   
 $R_3(x_1, x_2, x_3) \Leftrightarrow \text{not } R_1(x_1, x_2, x_3) \text{ and not } R_2(x_1, x_2, x_3).$ 

Then we can write

$$f(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \begin{cases} g_1(x_1, x_2, x_3) & \text{if } R_1(x_1, x_2, x_3) \\ g_2(x_1, x_2, x_3) & \text{if } R_2(x_1, x_2, x_3) \\ g_3(x_1, x_2, x_3) & \text{if } R_3(x_1, x_2, x_3) \end{cases}$$

As  $g_1$ ,  $g_2$ , and  $g_3$  can be written the primitive recursive functions mult, exp and  $C_5^3$ , using constants then  $g_1$ ,  $g_2$ , and  $g_3$  are primitive recursive functions.

The characteristic function of the relation  $R_1$ ,  $\chi_{R_1}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \chi_{eq}(Min(x_1, x_3), 30 + x_2)$ . As  $\chi_{R_1}$  is obtained by substitution from the primitive recursive functions  $\chi_{eq}$ , Min and add using constants, then it is a primitive recursive function. Hence  $R_1$  is a primitive recursive relation.

The characteristic function of the relation  $R_2$ ,  $\chi_{R_2}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \chi_{\leq}(3x_1 + 2x_2 + x_3, 100)$ . As  $\chi_{R_2}$  is obtained by substitution from the primitive recursive functions  $\chi_{\leq}$ , mult and add using constants, then it is a primitive recursive function. Hence  $R_2$  is a primitive recursive relation.

Using the result of Unit 2 Problem 1.10, then  $R_3$  is also a primitive recursive relation. From the definition of  $R_3$  it follows that the set of relations  $R_1$ ,  $R_2$ , and  $R_3$  are exhaustive.

If the relation  $R_1$  holds then  $x_1 \ge 30 + x_2$  and  $x_3 \ge 30 + x_2$ . Therefore  $3x_1 + 2x_2 + x_3 \ge 120 + 6x_2$ . Since  $x_2 \ge 0$  then  $R_2$  does not hold so  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  are mutually exclusive. From the definition of  $R_3$ , if the relation  $R_3$  holds then neither  $R_1$  or  $R_2$  holds. Therefore  $R_1$ ,  $R_2$  and  $R_3$  are mutually exclusive.

Since all the conditions required for the use of Theorem 1.5 of Unit 2 hold then it follows that f is primitive recursive.

### **Question 12**

(i)  $(2\frac{1}{2} \text{ marks})$ 

Let 
$$c(x, y) = \overline{sg} (exp(2, y) - x)$$
.

c is primitive recursive since it is defined by substitution using the primitive recursive functions  $\frac{1}{2}$  signals,  $\frac{1}{2}$  and explusing constants.

(ii) (3 marks)

See 2003 Qu. 12 (ii)

(iii) (4 marks)

Define the function lo by lo(x) = g(x, x) where

$$g(x, v) = \begin{cases} \sum_{z=1}^{v} c(x, z) & \text{if } v \ge 1\\ 0 & \text{if } v = 0 \end{cases}$$

lo(0) = g(0, 0) = 0 as required.

If x > 0 then  $lo(x) = g(x, x) = \sum_{z=1}^{x} c(x, z)$ . Since  $2^x > x$  then we must eventually come to a value of z where  $2^z > x$ . As 1 is added to the sum for each value of z where  $2^z \le x$  then the sum will be the value required.

Since c is a primitive recursive function of 2 variables then by part (ii) we know that g is also primitive recursive. Therefore, using the result of Unit 2 Problem 1.4, lo is also primitive recursive.

(iv) (1½ marks)

$$k(x) = \exp(2, \log(x)).$$

k is primitive recursive since it is defined by substitution using the primitive recursive functions lo and exp using constants.

## **QUESTION 13**

### (i) (3 marks)

Let  $\theta$  be the sub-formula x = 0;  $\phi$  be the sub-formula  $\forall x \ x = 0$ ;  $\psi$  be the sub-formula  $\exists x \ (x = 0 \lor \forall x \ x = 0)$ .

The given formula can be written as  $(((\theta \lor \phi) \to \psi) \to (\neg \psi \to \neg \theta))$ 

θ	ф	Ψ	$(((\theta \lor \phi) \to \psi) \to (\neg \psi \to \neg \theta))$
1	1	1	1 1 1 1 1 1 01 1 01
1	1	0	1 1 1 0 0 1 10 0 01
1	0	1	1 1 0 1 1 1 01 1 01
1	0	0	1 1 0 0 0 1 10 0 01
0	1	1	0 1 1 1 1 1 01 1 10
0	1	0	01100110110
0	0	1	0 0 0 1 1 1 01 1 10
0	0	0	0 0 0 1 0 1 10 1 10
			(2) (3) (4) (2) (3) (2)

Since column 4 is all ones then the formula takes the truth value 1 under all interpretations.

## (ii)(b) (2½ marks)

Line	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Ass.	1	2	1	4	1,2	1,2	1,4	1	1,4

### (ii)(b) (½ mark)

$$(((\phi \rightarrow \theta) \& (\theta \& \psi)) \rightarrow (\phi \rightarrow \theta))$$

(ii)(c) (2 marks)

(A) **NO** (B) **YES**.

### (iii) 3 marks

This solution has been copied from Unit 5 Section 3.2.

1 (1) 
$$\exists v \ v = 0'$$
 Ass  
2 (2)  $v = 0'$  Ass  
1 (3)  $v = 0'$  EH, 2  
1 (4)  $\forall v \ v = 0'$  UI, 3

# **QUESTION 14**

[[ Note that - is used instead of  $\neg$  in papers prior to 2004. ]]

#### **(i)** (2 marks)

$$\exists \mathbf{z} (\forall x \exists y (x + t) = z \& \forall \mathbf{t}(\mathbf{x}.t) = y)$$

- (a) NO. [[ z becomes bound ]] (b) NO. [[ t becomes bound ]]
- (c) YES

#### (3 marks) (ii) (a)

-	(1)	$(\mathbf{x}.\mathbf{x}) = (\mathbf{x}.\mathbf{x})$	II
2	(2)	x = y	Ass
2	(3)	(x.y) = (y.x)	Sub, 1, 2
-	(4)	$(x = y \rightarrow (x.y) = (y.x))$	CP, 3
-	(5)	$\forall y(x = y \rightarrow (x.y) = (y.x))$	UI, 4
-	(6)	$\forall x \forall y (x = y \rightarrow (x.y) = (y.x))$	UI, 5

#### (ii) (b) (6 marks)

1	(1)	$(\phi \& \forall x(\neg \phi \lor \psi))$	Ass
2	(2)	$\exists x \neg \theta$	Ass
3	(3)	$\neg \theta$	Ass
4	(4)	$\forall x(\psi \rightarrow \theta)$	Ass. Contradiction
4	(5)	$(\psi \rightarrow \theta)$	UE, 4
3,4	(6)	$\neg \psi$	Taut, 3, 5
1	(7)	$\forall x(\neg \phi \lor \psi)$	Taut, 1
1	(8)	$(\neg \phi \lor \psi)$	UE, 7
1,3,4	(9)	¬ф	Taut, 6, 8
1	(10)	ф	Taut, 1
1,3,4	(11)	$(\phi \& \neg \phi)$	Taut, 9, 10
1,2,4	(12)	$(\phi \& \neg \phi)$	EH, 11
1,2	(13)	$(\forall x(\psi \rightarrow \theta) \rightarrow (\phi \& \neg \phi))$	CP, 12
1,2	(14)	$\neg \forall x(\psi \rightarrow \theta)$	Taut, 13
1	(15)	$(\exists x \neg \theta \rightarrow \neg \forall x(\psi \rightarrow \theta))$	CP, 14

The assumption that x does not occur free in  $\phi$  is required for the use of EH on line (12). [[Note that assumption 1 also contains  $\phi$ . ]]

### **QUESTION 15**

(i) [ Both sides of the equation look as if they equal (0 + x). ]]

- (1) 
$$(\mathbf{0} + (\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{0})) = (\mathbf{0} + (\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{0}))$$
 II  
2 (2)  $\forall \mathbf{x} (\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{x}$  Ass. Q4  
2 (3)  $(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{x}$  UE, 2  
2 (4)  $(\mathbf{0} + (\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{0})) = (\mathbf{0} + \mathbf{x})$  Sub, 1, 3  
- (5)  $((\mathbf{0} + \mathbf{0}) + \mathbf{x}) = ((\mathbf{0} + \mathbf{0}) + \mathbf{x})$  II  
2 (6)  $(\mathbf{0} + \mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0}$  UE, 2  
2 (7)  $(\mathbf{0} + \mathbf{x}) = ((\mathbf{0} + \mathbf{0}) + \mathbf{x})$  Sub, 5, 6  
2 (8)  $(\mathbf{0} + (\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{0})) = ((\mathbf{0} + \mathbf{0}) + \mathbf{x})$  Sub, 4, 7  
2 (9)  $\forall \mathbf{x} (\mathbf{0} + (\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{0})) = ((\mathbf{0} + \mathbf{0}) + \mathbf{x})$  UI, 8

As the assumption is axiom Q4 of Q then the sentence is a theorem of Q.

(ii) [[ If this is a theorem then so is (iii). Therefore unlikely to be one. ]]

In 
$$\mathcal{N}^{**}$$
 let  $x = \alpha$ , and  $y = \alpha$ . Then 
$$(x.(y.x)) = (\alpha.(\alpha.\alpha)) = (\alpha.\beta) = \beta$$
, and 
$$((x.y).x) = ((\alpha.\alpha).\alpha) = (\beta.\alpha) = \alpha$$
.

All the axioms of Q hold in  $\mathscr{N}^{**}$ . As  $\forall x \forall y(x.(y.x)) = ((x.y).x)$  does not hold in the interpretation  $\mathscr{N}^{**}$  then, it follows by the Correctness Theorem, the sentence is not a theorem of Q.

(iii)

- (1) 
$$(\mathbf{0}.(\mathbf{y}.\mathbf{0})) = (\mathbf{0}.(\mathbf{y}.\mathbf{0}))$$
 II

2 (2)  $\forall \mathbf{x} (\mathbf{x}.\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0}$  Ass. Q6

2 (3)  $(\mathbf{y}.\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0}$  UE, 2

2 (4)  $(\mathbf{0}.(\mathbf{y}.\mathbf{0})) = (\mathbf{0}.\mathbf{0})$  Sub, 1, 3

2 (5)  $(\mathbf{0}.\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0}$  UE, 2

2 (6)  $(\mathbf{0}.(\mathbf{y}.\mathbf{0})) = \mathbf{0}$  Sub, 4, 5

- (7)  $((\mathbf{0}.\mathbf{y}).\mathbf{0}) = ((\mathbf{0}.\mathbf{y}).\mathbf{0})$  II

2 (8)  $((\mathbf{0}.\mathbf{y}).\mathbf{0}) = ((\mathbf{0}.\mathbf{y}).\mathbf{0})$  UE, 2

2 (9)  $\mathbf{0} = ((\mathbf{0}.\mathbf{y}).\mathbf{0})$  Sub, 7, 8

2 (10)  $(\mathbf{0}.(\mathbf{y}.\mathbf{0})) = ((\mathbf{0}.\mathbf{y}).\mathbf{0})$  Sub, 7, 8

2 (11)  $\forall \mathbf{y} (\mathbf{0}.(\mathbf{y}.\mathbf{0})) = ((\mathbf{0}.\mathbf{y}).\mathbf{0})$  UI, 10

2 (12)  $\exists \mathbf{x} \forall \mathbf{y} (\mathbf{x}.(\mathbf{y}.\mathbf{x})) = ((\mathbf{x}.\mathbf{y}).\mathbf{x})$  EI, 11

As the assumption is axiom Q6 of Q then the sentence is a theorem of Q.

### **END OF PART II SOLUTIONS**