

**MATH377201**

This question paper consists of 5 printed pages, each of which is identified by the reference **MATH3772**.

Statistical tables are not provided. Where percentage points are needed, they are given in the question. Only approved basic scientific calculators may be used.

**©UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS**

Examination for the Module MATH3772  
(January 2007)

**Multivariate Analysis**

Time allowed: **2 hours**

Attempt not more than **THREE** questions.  
All questions carry equal marks.

1. (a) Let  $\mathbf{x} \sim N_2(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \Sigma)$  where  $\mathbf{x} = [x_1, x_2]^T$  and  $\Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix}$  for positive real constants  $a, b$ .

Show that the unit eigenvectors of  $\Sigma$  are  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$ .

Use the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of  $\Sigma$  to sketch the contours of the density of  $\mathbf{x}$ . In particular, give equations defining the major and minor axes of the contours in terms of  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  and indicate the relative lengths of the ellipse along the major and minor axes

- (b) Show that the squared Mahalanobis distance of  $\mathbf{x}$  from the origin is

$$d^2(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{0}) = \frac{ax_1^2 - 2bx_1x_2 + ax_2^2}{a^2 - b^2}.$$

Consider points  $\mathbf{x}_+ = [u, u]^T$  and  $\mathbf{x}_- = [u, -u]^T$ . Show that the squared Mahalanobis distance of  $\mathbf{x}_+$  from the origin is a fixed multiple of the squared Mahalanobis distance of  $\mathbf{x}_-$  from the origin, i.e.  $d^2(\mathbf{x}_+, \mathbf{0}) = cd^2(\mathbf{x}_-, \mathbf{0})$  for all  $u$ , and find the constant  $c$ .

- (c) Assume that  $\boldsymbol{\mu} = [3, 1]^T$  and  $\Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$ . Let  $\mathbf{y} = [y_1, y_2]^T$  where  $y_1 = 2x_1 + x_2$  and  $y_2 = x_1 - x_2$ . Find a matrix  $A$  such that  $\mathbf{y} = A\mathbf{x}$  and hence find the distribution of  $\mathbf{y}$ .

2. (a) Let  $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n$  be i.i.d.  $N_p(\boldsymbol{\mu}_x, \Sigma)$  and  $\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_m$  be i.i.d.  $N_p(\boldsymbol{\mu}_y, \Sigma)$ . Given that  $\bar{\mathbf{x}} \sim N_p(\boldsymbol{\mu}_x, \Sigma/n)$  and  $\bar{\mathbf{y}} \sim N_p(\boldsymbol{\mu}_y, \Sigma/m)$ , use the moment generating functions of  $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$  and  $\bar{\mathbf{y}}$  to show that

$$\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \bar{\mathbf{y}} \sim N_p(\boldsymbol{\mu}_x - \boldsymbol{\mu}_y, (n^{-1} + m^{-1})\Sigma).$$

*Hint: You may use the fact that if  $\mathbf{z} \sim N_p(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \Sigma)$  then the moment generating function of  $\mathbf{z}$  is*

$$M_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{t}) = E(\exp\{\mathbf{t}^T \mathbf{x}\}) = \exp\{\mathbf{t}^T \boldsymbol{\mu} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{t}^T \Sigma \mathbf{t}\}.$$

- (b) The weight (in grammes) and humerus length (in millimetres) were measured on 49 female sparrows who were injured in a storm. Of these  $n = 21$  survived and  $m = 28$  died. Denoting measurement on the survivors by  $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{21}$  and on those which died by  $\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_{28}$ , the summary statistics are

$$\bar{\mathbf{x}} = \begin{bmatrix} 24.6 \\ 18.5 \end{bmatrix} \quad \bar{\mathbf{y}} = \begin{bmatrix} 25.3 \\ 18.4 \end{bmatrix} \quad 20S_x = \begin{bmatrix} 22.8 & 4.4 \\ 4.4 & 3.4 \end{bmatrix} \quad 27S_y = \begin{bmatrix} 30.8 & 5.9 \\ 5.9 & 4.6 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Use Hotelling's  $T^2$  test to test the null hypothesis that there is no difference between the two groups of sparrows.

- (c) Calculate simultaneous 95% confidence intervals for the unit vectors  $\mathbf{a}_1 = [1, 0]^T$  and  $\mathbf{a}_2 = [0, 1]^T$ . What do your simultaneous confidence intervals tell you about the differences between the two groups of sparrows?

*Hints:*

- (i) You may use the fact that the  $T^2$  and  $F$  distributions are related by

$$T^2(p, \nu) = \frac{\nu p}{\nu - p + 1} F(p, \nu - p + 1).$$

- (ii) Simultaneous confidence intervals for this problem are of the form

$$\mathbf{a}^T (\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \bar{\mathbf{y}}) \pm \sqrt{T^2(p, n + m - 2, P\%)(n^{-1} + m^{-1})\mathbf{a}^T S \mathbf{a}},$$

where  $T^2(p, n + m - 2, P\%)$  is the upper  $P\%$  point of the  $T^2(p, n + m - 2)$  distribution and  $S$  is a pooled estimate of  $\Sigma$ .

- (iii) You may find the percentage points of  $F$  distributions in the following R output helpful.

```
> qf(0.05, df1=2, df2=c(20, 46, 49, 94), lower=F)
[1] 3.493 3.200 3.187 3.093
```

3. (a) Let  $\mathbf{x}$  be a random vector with mean vector  $\boldsymbol{\mu}$  and variance matrix  $\Sigma$ . Let  $\mathbf{y}$  be the vector of principal components of  $\mathbf{x}$ .

Define  $\mathbf{y}$  in terms of the eigenvalues and standardised eigenvectors of  $\Sigma$ .

If  $\mathbf{x} \sim N_p(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \Sigma)$ , find the distribution of  $\mathbf{y}$ .

- (b) Scientists measured the carapace length ( $x_1$ ), carapace width ( $x_2$ ), mean leg length ( $x_3$ ), and mean antenna length ( $x_4$ ) in millimetres of 57 adult male stag beetles. The mean vector was  $\bar{\mathbf{x}} = [25.3, 14.1, 8.9, 7.1]^T$ . The sample variance matrix has eigenvalues 7.21, 3.14, 0.75, and 0.22 with corresponding unit eigenvectors

$$\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.55 \\ 0.60 \\ 0.45 \\ 0.35 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{(2)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.74 \\ -0.66 \\ 0.07 \\ 0.11 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{(3)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.44 \\ 0.57 \\ -0.69 \\ 0.06 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{(4)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.64 \\ 0.57 \\ 0.07 \\ -0.50 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We can reduce the dimension of a data set by retaining only some of the principal components. By considering proportions of total variation and the average of the eigenvalues, suggest how many principal components should be retained for this data set.

Use the eigenvalues and eigenvectors above to interpret the principal components analysis of these data.

- (c) Two beetles had observed data vectors

$$\mathbf{x}_1 = [28.1, 17.3, 9.7, 8.2]^T \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{x}_2 = [27.9, 13.1, 9.1, 6.9]^T.$$

Calculate the values of the first two principal components for these beetles. What do the values tell you about how these beetles differ from a “typical” adult male stag beetle?

4. (a) Consider  $p$ -dimensional observations from populations  $\Pi_1$  and  $\Pi_2$ . Assume that observations from population  $i$  have distribution  $N_p(\boldsymbol{\mu}_i, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$  with density function  $f_i(\boldsymbol{x})$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Assume that the prior probability of an observation being from population  $i$  is  $\pi_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  with  $\pi_1 + \pi_2 = 1$ .

Define the Bayesian rule to allocate an observation  $\boldsymbol{x}$  to population  $\Pi_1$  in terms of the densities and prior probabilities of the populations. Show that the allocation rule for the normally distributed populations defined above is to allocate an observation  $\boldsymbol{x}$  to  $\Pi_1$  if

$$(\boldsymbol{\mu}_1 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_2)^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \left( \boldsymbol{x} - \frac{\boldsymbol{\mu}_1 + \boldsymbol{\mu}_2}{2} \right) \geq c,$$

giving an explicit expression for  $c$  in terms of the prior probabilities. Interpret the probabilities  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  that give rise to  $c = 0$ .

- (b) Thirty two skulls were collected at two sites in Tibet, believed to be from two different ethnic groups (17 skulls of type I and 15 of type II). The length (variable 1) and breadth (variable 2) of each skull were measured in millimetres. The mean vectors of types I and II are  $\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}$  and  $\bar{\boldsymbol{y}}$  respectively and the pooled variance matrix is  $S_p$  where

$$\bar{\boldsymbol{x}} = \begin{bmatrix} 175 \\ 140 \end{bmatrix} \quad \bar{\boldsymbol{y}} = \begin{bmatrix} 186 \\ 139 \end{bmatrix} \quad S_p = \begin{bmatrix} 59 & 9 \\ 9 & 48 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Assuming that the prior probabilities of the two types are  $\pi_1 = \pi_2 = 0.5$ , find the Bayesian allocation rule. Sketch a diagram showing the contours of the densities and the boundary defining the allocation regions.

To which type would you allocate a new skull with length 190mm and breadth 152mm?