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## REGRESSION AND SMOOTHING

Time allowed: $\mathbf{3}$ hours
Do not attempt more than four questions.
All questions carry equal marks.

1. Suppose we have data $\left\{\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right), i=1, \ldots, n\right\}$ and that we want to obtain a smooth estimate of $m(x)=\mathrm{E}(Y \mid X=x)$. Consider the Nadaraya-Watson estimator given by

$$
\hat{m}_{h}(x)=\frac{\hat{r}_{h}(x)}{\hat{f}_{h}(x)}=\frac{(1 / n) \sum K_{h}\left(x-x_{i}\right) y_{i}}{(1 / n) \sum K_{h}\left(x-x_{i}\right)}
$$

with $K_{h}(x)=\frac{1}{h} K\left(\frac{x}{h}\right)$ a symmetric kernel function.
(i) Discuss the role of the smoothing parameter $h$. What is the limiting behaviour as
(a) $h \rightarrow 0$, and
(b) $h \rightarrow \infty$ ?
(ii) Show that $\mathrm{E}\left[\hat{r}_{h}(x)\right]$ can be written as $\int K_{h}(x-u) r(u) d u$, where $r(x)=m(x) f(x)$.
(iii) By making a change of variable and expanding as a Taylor series, show that

$$
\mathrm{E}\left[\hat{r}_{h}(x)\right]=r(x)+\frac{h^{2}}{2} r^{\prime \prime}(x) \mu_{2}(K)+o\left(h^{2}\right) \quad \text { as } h \rightarrow 0
$$

where $\mu_{2}(K)$ should be defined.
(iv) Given that the expected value of $\hat{f}_{h}(x)$ can similarly be written as

$$
\mathrm{E}\left[\hat{f}_{h}(x)\right]=f(x)+\frac{h^{2}}{2} f^{\prime \prime}(x) \mu_{2}(K)+o\left(h^{2}\right) \quad \text { as } h \rightarrow 0
$$

find, in terms of $m(\cdot)$ and $f(\cdot)$ (but not $r(\cdot)$ ), an asymptotic expression for the expected value of $\hat{m}_{h}(x)$
(v) Discuss how the smoothing parameter $h$ could be chosen in practice.
2. In the multiple linear regression model given by

$$
\boldsymbol{y}=\boldsymbol{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}+\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\boldsymbol{y} & \text { is } n \times 1 \text { vector of observations } \\
\boldsymbol{X} & \text { is } n \times(p+1) \text { full rank matrix of explanatory variables } \\
\boldsymbol{\beta} & \text { is }(p+1) \times 1 \text { vector of regression coefficients } \\
\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} & \text { is } n \times 1 \text { vector of random errors, with } \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \sim N\left(\mathbf{0}, \sigma^{2} \boldsymbol{I}_{n}\right) .
\end{array}
$$

you may assume that the fitted values of $\boldsymbol{y}$ are given by $\hat{\boldsymbol{y}}=\boldsymbol{X} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ where $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}=\left(\boldsymbol{X}^{T} \boldsymbol{X}\right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}^{T} \boldsymbol{y}$.
(a) Show that the residual sum of squares $\sum\left(y_{i}-\hat{y}_{i}\right)^{2}$ can be expressed as $\boldsymbol{y}^{T} \boldsymbol{y}-\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{T} \boldsymbol{X}^{T} \boldsymbol{y}$ and use this to obtain an estimate of $\sigma^{2}$.
Prove, stating explicitly any results that you make use of, that your estimate is unbiased.
(b) In the above model, suppose that $p=4$ and $\boldsymbol{\beta}^{T}=\left(\beta_{0}, \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{4}\right)$. Describe in detail how you would test

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{0} & : \beta_{1}=\beta_{2}, \beta_{3}=\beta_{4} \\
\text { vs } & H_{1}
\end{aligned}: \begin{aligned}
& \text { at least one of } \beta_{1} \neq \beta_{2}, \beta_{3} \neq \beta_{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

3. Consider the multiple linear regression model described in Question 2.

Prove that the least squares estimator of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is given by

$$
\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}=\left(\boldsymbol{X}^{T} \boldsymbol{X}\right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}^{T} \boldsymbol{y}
$$

Derive the mean and variance of $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$, taking care to quote any general results that you use. What is the distribution of $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$, and why?
By considering the residual sum of squares, show that the total (uncorrected) sum of squares can be partitioned into a model sum of squares, $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{T} \boldsymbol{X}^{T} \boldsymbol{y}$, and a residual sum of squares $\boldsymbol{y}^{T}\left\{\boldsymbol{I}_{n}-\boldsymbol{X}\left(\boldsymbol{X}^{T} \boldsymbol{X}\right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}^{T}\right\} \boldsymbol{y}$. Quoting any general results that you use, prove that the model sum of squares and the residual sum of squares are independent.
4. (a) Suppose $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n_{1}}$ observations are taken from group 1, and $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n_{2}}$ observations from group 2 , with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{i} \sim N\left(\mu_{U}, \sigma_{U}^{2}\right) \\
& v_{i} \sim N\left(\mu_{V}, \sigma_{V}^{2}\right) \\
& i=1, \ldots, n_{1} \\
&
\end{aligned}
$$

and we want to test $H_{0}: \mu_{V}=\mu_{U}$.
Write down the usual test statistic under the assumption that $\sigma_{U}=\sigma_{V}$, state its distribution, and provide equations so that the test statistic is completely defined in terms of $u_{i}, v_{i}$, and $n_{1}, n_{2}$.
Reformulate the problem as a linear regression model

$$
\boldsymbol{y}=\boldsymbol{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}+\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}
$$

by giving the form of $\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{X}$ and $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ in terms of $\mu_{U}, \mu_{V}$ and $u_{i}, v_{i}$.
Show that the ordinary least squares estimate of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is

$$
\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}=\binom{\hat{\beta}_{0}}{\hat{\beta}_{1}}=\binom{*}{\bar{u}-\bar{v}},
$$

for some suitable *.
Explain how you would use this linear model to test the null hypothesis above.
Show the equivalence of this method (the test statistic, and the distribution) with the usual two-sample t -test.
(b) Define the coefficient of multiple determination $R^{2}$, and briefly describe its role and limitations as a multiple regression diagnostic aid.
State up to three distinct criteria for selecting subset regression models. In each case, provide a definition and describe how the criterion would be used in practice.
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5. The following computer output concerns data on results of a survey to investigate teenage gambling in Britain. The variables in the data frame teengamb are:
sex $0=$ male, $1=$ female
status Socioeconomic status score based on parents' occupation
income in pounds per week
verbal verbal score in words out of 12 correctly defined
gamble expenditure on gambling in pounds per year
```
> lm1=lm(gamble ~ ., data=teengamb)
> summary(aov(lm1))
    Df Sum Sq Mean Sq F value Pr(>F)
sex 1 7598.4 7598.4 14.7584 0.0004066 ***
status 1 3613.0 3613.0 7.0175 0.0113254 *
income 1 11898.6 11898.6 23.1108 1.985e-05 ***
verbal 1 955.7 955.7 A 0.1803109
Residuals B 21623.8 C
---
Signif. codes: 0 r***' 0.001 r**' 0.01 r*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' r 1
> summary(lm1)
Call:
lm(formula = gamble ~ ., data = teengamb)
Residuals:
\begin{tabular}{rrrrr} 
Min & \(1 Q\) & Median & \(3 Q\) & Max \\
-51.082 & -11.320 & -1.451 & 9.452 & 94.252
\end{tabular}
Coefficients:
    Estimate Std. Error t value Pr (>|t|)
(Intercept) 22.55565 17.19680 D E
sex -22.11833 8.21111 -2.694 0.0101 *
status 0.05223 0.28111 0.186 0.8535
income 4.96198 1.02539 4.839 1.79e-05 ***
verbal -2.95949 2.17215 -1.362 0.1803
---
Signif. codes: 0 r***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
Residual standard error: F on 42 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-Squared: 0.5267, Adjusted R-squared: G
F-statistic: H on 4 and 42 DF, p-value: 1.815e-06
```

Calculate the missing values at A-H.
The graphs below show some plots based on the fitted model. Fully describe each of these plots giving mathematical expressions for the quantities in the $x$ and $y$ axes, and interpret the information they provide. In addition, explain why it is necessary to standardize the (raw) residuals.
State what further steps you would take in the analysis of these data, and briefly justify your choice.


## Percentage Points of the $\boldsymbol{t}$-Distribution

This table gives the percentage points $t_{\nu}(P)$ for various values of $P$ and degrees of freedom $\nu$, as indicated by the figure to the right.
The lower percentage points are given by symmetry as $-t_{\nu}(P)$, and the probability that $|t| \geq$ $t_{\nu}(P)$ is $2 P / 100$.

The limiting distribution of $t$ as $\nu \rightarrow \infty$ is the normal distribution with zero mean and unit variance.


|  | Percentage points $P$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 5}$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 3.078 | 6.314 | 12.706 | 31.821 | 63.657 | 318.309 | 636.619 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 1.886 | 2.920 | 4.303 | 6.965 | 9.925 | 22.327 | 31.599 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 1.638 | 2.353 | 3.182 | 4.541 | 5.841 | 10.215 | 12.924 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 1.533 | 2.132 | 2.776 | 3.747 | 4.604 | 7.173 | 8.610 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 1.476 | 2.015 | 2.571 | 3.365 | 4.032 | 5.893 | 6.869 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 1.440 | 1.943 | 2.447 | 3.143 | 3.707 | 5.208 | 5.959 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 1.415 | 1.895 | 2.365 | 2.998 | 3.499 | 4.785 | 5.408 |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | 1.397 | 1.860 | 2.306 | 2.896 | 3.355 | 4.501 | 5.041 |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | 1.383 | 1.833 | 2.262 | 2.821 | 3.250 | 4.297 | 4.781 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 1.372 | 1.812 | 2.228 | 2.764 | 3.169 | 4.144 | 4.587 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | 1.363 | 1.796 | 2.201 | 2.718 | 3.106 | 4.025 | 4.437 |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | 1.356 | 1.782 | 2.179 | 2.681 | 3.055 | 3.930 | 4.318 |
| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | 1.350 | 1.771 | 2.160 | 2.650 | 3.012 | 3.852 | 4.221 |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | 1.345 | 1.761 | 2.145 | 2.624 | 2.977 | 3.787 | 4.140 |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | 1.341 | 1.753 | 2.131 | 2.602 | 2.947 | 3.733 | 4.073 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{1 6}$ | 1.337 | 1.746 | 2.120 | 2.583 | 2.921 | 3.686 | 4.015 |
| $\mathbf{1 8}$ | 1.330 | 1.734 | 2.101 | 2.552 | 2.878 | 3.610 | 3.922 |
| $\mathbf{2 1}$ | 1.323 | 1.721 | 2.080 | 2.518 | 2.831 | 3.527 | 3.819 |
| $\mathbf{2 5}$ | 1.316 | 1.708 | 2.060 | 2.485 | 2.787 | 3.450 | 3.725 |
| $\mathbf{3 0}$ | 1.310 | 1.697 | 2.042 | 2.457 | 2.750 | 3.385 | 3.646 |
| $\mathbf{4 0}$ | 1.303 | 1.684 | 2.021 | 2.423 | 2.704 | 3.307 | 3.551 |
| $\mathbf{5 0}$ | 1.299 | 1.676 | 2.009 | 2.403 | 2.678 | 3.261 | 3.496 |
| $\mathbf{7 0}$ | 1.294 | 1.667 | 1.994 | 2.381 | 2.648 | 3.211 | 3.435 |
| $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | 1.290 | 1.660 | 1.984 | 2.364 | 2.626 | 3.174 | 3.390 |
| $\mathbf{\infty}$ | 1.282 | 1.645 | 1.960 | 2.326 | 2.576 | 3.090 | 3.291 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

