## Imperial College London

## Department of Mathematics

## BSc and MSci EXAMINATIONS (MATHEMATICS) MAY–JUNE 2006

This paper is also taken for the relevant examination for the Associateship.

## M3S8/M4S8 Time Series

DATE: Wednesday, 24th May 2006 TIME: 10 am - 12

Credit will be given for all questions attempted but extra credit will be given for complete or nearly complete answers.

Calculators may not be used. Statistical tables will not be available.

© 2006 University of London

M3S8/M4S8

<u>Note</u>: Throughout this paper  $\{\epsilon_t\}$  is a sequence of uncorrelated random variables (white noise) having zero mean and variance  $\sigma_{\epsilon}^2$ , unless stated otherwise. The term "stationary" will always be taken to mean second-order stationary. All processes are real-valued unless stated otherwise.

- **1.** a) i) What is meant by saying that a stochastic process is stationary?
  - *ii)* For a stationary stochastic process  $\{Y_t\}$  with non-zero mean, variance  $\sigma^2$ , and autocovariance sequence  $s_{\tau}$ , show that

$$E\{(Y_{t+\tau} - Y_t)^2\} = 2[\sigma^2 - s_\tau].$$

b) Consider the following MA(2) process:

$$X_{t} = \epsilon_{t} - \frac{5}{6}\epsilon_{t-1} + \frac{1}{6}\epsilon_{t-2}.$$

- i) Is this MA(2) process invertible?
- *ii)* What does it mean to say that a moving average process is invertible?
- *iii)* Now let  $Y_t = X_t + a_t$ , where  $\{a_t\}$  is a white noise process with zero mean and variance  $\sigma_a^2$ , uncorrelated with  $\{\epsilon_t\}$ . Show that  $\rho_{Y,\tau} < \rho_{X,\tau}$ , for all  $\tau$ , where  $\{\rho_{Y,\tau}\}$  and  $\{\rho_{X,\tau}\}$  are the autocorrelation sequences of the processes  $\{Y_t\}$  and  $\{X_t\}$ , respectively.
- c) Consider the AR(2) process:

$$X_t - \phi_{1,2} X_{t-1} - \phi_{2,2} X_{t-2} = \epsilon_t.$$

where  $\phi_{1,2} = -\alpha$ ,  $\phi_{2,2} = -1$  and  $\alpha \neq 2$ .

- *i)* What is the product of the roots of the corresponding characteristic equation, and what does this imply about the roots relative to the unit circle?
- ii) Is this AR(2) process stationary?

**2.** Let

$$X_t = \cos(2\pi f_0 t + \phi) + \epsilon_t,$$

where  $f_0$  is a fixed frequency.

- a) Show that  $\{X_t\}$  is non-stationary if  $\phi$  is constant.
- b) Now suppose henceforth that  $\phi$  is uniformly distributed on  $(-\pi, \pi)$  and is independent of  $\{\epsilon_t\}$ .
  - *i)* Find the mean, variance and covariance  $s_{\tau}$  of  $\{X_t\}$  and hence prove that  $\{X_t\}$  is stationary.
  - *ii)* Contrast the behaviour of the autocovariance sequence for  $\{X_t\}$  with the behaviour of the autocovariance sequence of a process with a purely continuous spectrum.
  - *iii)* By looking at the form of  $\{X_t\}$ , describe the form of the spectrum of  $\{X_t\}$  and classify it as one of: purely continuous, purely discrete, discrete or mixed.

**3.** a) A certain type of series  $\{Y_t\}$  can be modelled as the sum of a primary signal  $\{X_t\}$  plus a delayed echo of that signal:

$$Y_t = X_t + aX_{t-d},$$

where d > 0 is an integer constant, and a is a real constant.

- i) Show that the filter defined by  $L\{X_t\} = X_t + aX_{t-d}$  satisfies the three defining properties of a linear time-invariant digital filter.
- *ii)* Show that

$$S_Y(f) = [1 + a^2 + 2a\cos(2\pi fd)] S_X(f),$$

where  $S_Y(f)$  and  $S_X(f)$  are the spectral density functions of  $\{Y_t\}$  and  $\{X_t\}$ , respectively.

b) Consider the ARMA(p,q) process

$$\Phi(B)X_t = \Theta(B)\epsilon_t.$$

- i) Derive the form of its spectral density function S(f).
- *ii)* Hence, or otherwise, identify the *stationary* and *invertible process*  $\{X_t\}$  having the spectral density

$$S(f) = \frac{5 - 4\cos 2\pi f}{10 + 6\cos 2\pi f},$$

obtaining values for any autoregressive parameters  $\{\phi_{j,p}\}$ , any moving average parameters  $\{\theta_{j,q}\}$ , and  $\sigma_{\epsilon}^2$ .

[Hint: Think carefully about the roots of any autoregressive and moving average characteristic polynomials.]

- **4.** a) i) What is meant by saying two discrete time stochastic processes  $\{X_t\}$  and  $\{Y_t\}$  are jointly stationary stochastic processes?
  - *ii)* Suppose  $\{X_t\}$  and  $\{Y_t\}$  are zero mean stationary processes such that  $\{Y_t\}$  is a linear filtering of  $\{X_t\}$  plus zero mean stationary noise:

$$Y_t = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} a_l X_{t-l} + \eta_t,$$

where  $\{a_l\}$  is a real-valued filter sequence, and  $\{\eta_t\}$  is noise, uncorrelated with  $\{X_t\}$ . Use the spectral representations of  $\{X_t\}, \{Y_t\}$ and  $\{\eta_t\}$  to show that the spectra are related by

$$S_Y(f) = |A(f)|^2 S_X(f) + S_\eta(f),$$

where A(f) is the transfer function or frequency response function of  $\{a_l\}$ , and  $S_Y(f), S_X(f)$  and  $S_\eta(f)$  are the spectral density functions, (all assumed to exist), of  $\{Y_t\}, \{X_t\}$  and  $\{\eta_t\}$ , respectively.

b) Two zero mean stationary processes are defined in terms of a filtering of the zero mean stationary process  $\{X_t\}$  plus zero mean stationary noise:

$$Y_t = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} a_l X_{t-l} + \eta_t \quad \text{and} \quad Z_t = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} b_m X_{t-m} + \nu_t,$$

where  $\{b_m\}$  is a real-valued filter sequence, the noise  $\{\eta_t\}$  is uncorrelated with  $\{X_t\}$ , and the noise  $\{\nu_t\}$  is uncorrelated with both  $\{X_t\}$  and  $\{\eta_t\}$ , and has spectrum  $S_{\nu}(f)$ .

i) Show that the cross-covariance sequence  $\{s_{YZ,\tau}\}$  is given by

$$s_{YZ,\tau} = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} a_l b_m s_{X,\tau-m+l},$$

where  $\{s_{X,\tau}\}$  is the autocovariance sequence of  $\{X_t\}$ .

*ii)* Hence show that the cross-spectrum  $S_{YZ}(f)$  is given by

$$S_{YZ}(f) = A^*(f)B(f)S_X(f),$$

where B(f) is the transfer function or frequency response function of  $\{b_m\}$ .

iii) Find the value of the magnitude squared coherence,  $\gamma_{YZ}^2(f)$ , when  $S_{\eta}(f)$  and  $S_{\nu}(f)$  are zero, and  $S_X(f), S_Y(f)$  and  $S_Z(f)$  are non-zero, for all  $|f| \leq 1/2$ . Explain why  $\gamma_{YZ}^2(f)$  takes this value in this case.

**5.** a) i) Suppose  $\{X_t\}$  has an AR(p) representation:

$$X_t - \phi_{1,p} X_{t-1} - \ldots - \phi_{p,p} X_{t-p} = \epsilon_t.$$

Show that if  $s_j$  denotes the lag-j autocovariance, then

$$\boldsymbol{\gamma}_p = \Gamma_p \boldsymbol{\phi}_p \quad \text{and} \quad \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\epsilon}^2 = s_0 - \sum_{j=1}^p \boldsymbol{\phi}_{j,p} s_j,$$
  
where  $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_p = [s_1, s_2, \dots, s_p]^T; \quad \boldsymbol{\phi}_p = [\boldsymbol{\phi}_{1,p}, \boldsymbol{\phi}_{2,p}, \dots, \boldsymbol{\phi}_{p,p}]^T$  and  
$$\Gamma_p = \begin{bmatrix} s_0 & s_1 & \dots & s_{p-1} \\ s_1 & s_0 & \dots & s_{p-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ s_{p-1} & s_{p-2} & \dots & s_0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- *ii)* Find the parameter values  $\phi_{1,2}, \phi_{2,2}$  and  $\sigma_{\epsilon}^2$  of the stationary AR(2) process for which  $s_0 = 21/10, s_1 = 3/2$  and  $s_2 = 9/10$ .
- b) Suppose that a realization  $X_1, \ldots, X_N$  is available of a stationary Gaussian AR(p) process. The vector  $\hat{\phi}_{FB}$  that minimizes

$$SS_F(\boldsymbol{\phi}) + SS_B(\boldsymbol{\phi}),$$

where  $SS_F(\phi)$  is the forward sum of squares, and  $SS_B(\phi)$  is the backward sum of squares, is called the forward/backward least squares estimator for the  $\{\phi_{j,p}\}$ .

- *i)* Why does it make sense to use the combined forward/backward method, rather than just forward or backward least squares for parameter estimation?
- *ii)* For an AR(1) process, show that the forward/backward least squares estimator of  $\phi_{1,1}$  is given by

$$\widehat{\phi}_{1,1;FB} = \frac{\sum_{t=2}^{N} X_t X_{t-1}}{\frac{1}{2} (X_1^2 + X_N^2) + \sum_{t=2}^{N-1} X_t^2},$$

- *iii)* Find the forward least squares estimator  $\hat{\phi}_{1,1;F}$  and backward least squares estimator  $\hat{\phi}_{1,1;B}$ , and compare with the forward/backward least squares estimator. Interpret the differences.
- *iv)* For the unrealistic case of N = 2, show that if  $X_1 \neq X_2$ , then  $|\widehat{\phi}_{1,1;FB}| < 1$ , while  $\widehat{\phi}_{1,1;F}$  and  $\widehat{\phi}_{1,1;B}$  can be arbitrarily large in magnitude.
- © 2006 University of London M3S8/M4S8 Page 6 of 6