# M2AA1 Differential Equations Exercise sheet 3 answers

- 1. We have,  $d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = d(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}), d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = 0$  if and only if  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$  and the triangle inequality  $d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) + d(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}) \ge d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})$ . From the latter it follows that  $d(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}) = \frac{1}{2}(d(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{y}) + d(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z})) \ge \frac{1}{2}d(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}) = 0$  for all  $\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}$ .
- 2. A metric space is complete if every Cauchy sequence in it converges. For the mentioned examples, we use the (natural) distance function d(x, y) = |x y|.
  - Q: is not a complete metric space, since Cauchy sequences in  $\mathbb{Q}$  need not converge to have a limit in  $\mathbb{Q}$ . For example the Fibonacci sequence  $\{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  with  $a_0 = 1$  and  $a_n = 1/(1 + a_{n-1})$  converges to  $(\sqrt{5} - 1)/2 \notin \mathbb{Q}$ .
  - $\mathbb{R}$ : the real line is in fact defined as the *completion* of  $\mathbb{Q}$ , i.e.  $\mathbb{R}$  is the smallest complete metric space containing  $\mathbb{Q}$ .
  - $\mathbb{Z}$ : the tail of every Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{Z}$  must be constant, with integer value. Hence, the limit of any such sequence is in  $\mathbb{Z}$  so  $(\mathbb{Z}, d)$  is a complete metric space.
  - [0,1]: If for a Cauchy sequence in ℝ every element lies inside [0,1] then so lies the limit point, so [0,1] is a complete metric space.
  - [0,1): If the interval is half open, we can have a Cauchy sequence in [0,1) that converges to 1. For instance, the sequence  $\{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  with  $a_n = 1 1/n$  is a Cauchy sequence in [0,1) but it does converge to 1 which lies outside [0,1). Hence [0,1) is not a complete metric space.
- 3. First we note that from the condition it immediately follows that if there is a fixed point of F then it must be unique. Namely, if  $x \neq y$ , then if x and y would be fixed points of F it follows that d(x,y) < d(x,y)which is a contradiction.

Define  $x_n = F^n(x)$  and let  $a_n = d(x_{n+1}, x_n)$ . Because F is shrinking,  $a_n$  is decreasing. Since  $a_n$  is bounded from below (by 0),  $a_n$  converges to some  $c \ge 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ :  $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_{n+1}, x_n) = c \ge 0$ . By compactness of X, the sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  has a converging subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$  (with  $n_k$  a strictly increasing function of k). We now consider the sequences  $\{y_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$  and  $\{z_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$  with  $y_k := x_{n_k}$  and  $z_k := x_{n_k+1}$ . As  $\{x_{n_k}\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is by construction converging to some point  $x \in X$ , we have  $\lim_{k\to\infty} y_k = x$  and  $\lim_{k\to\infty} z_k = F(x)$ with d(x, F(x)) = c. Then  $d(F(x), F^2(x)) = c$ , as if  $d(F(x), F^2(x)) < c$  we would have  $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n < c$ as well, by continuity of F and d. But this implies that in case  $x \neq F(x)$  we obtain a contradiction:  $c = d(F(x), F^2(x)) < d(x, F(x)) = c$ . Hence  $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = d(x, F(x)) = c = 0$  so that F(x) = x and the point of convergence x is a fixed point (and the unique fixed point) of F. Hence  $\lim_{n\to\infty} F^n(z) = x$  for all  $z \in X$  where x is the unique fixed point of F in X.

An example that satisfies the theorem, but where convergence is not exponential is  $F:[0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$  with F(x) = x(1-x/2), so that if  $(x,y) \neq (0,0) d(F(x), F(y)) = |x - \frac{1}{2}x^2 - y + \frac{1}{2}y^2| = |(x-y)(1-(x+y)/2)| < |x-y|$  as 1 - (x+y)/2 < 1. Assume that K were a contraction constant for F. But taking x = 0, we would have for all  $1 \geq y > 0$ :  $y(1-y/2) = |y - (y^2)/2| \leq Ky$ . But for y sufficiently close to x = 0 we have 1 - y/2 > K, contradicting the fact that K is a contraction constant.

- 4. As in course notes; but also  $F \circ F^{-1}(y) = y$  implies  $\frac{d}{dy}(F \circ F^{-1})(y) = 1$  and by the chain rule  $F'(F^{-1}(y)) \cdot (F^{-1})'(y) = 1$  implying  $(F^{-1})'(y) = 1/F'(x)$  since F(x) = y.
- 5. We first establish the remark in the hint. By definition, since  $\mathbf{x}(t)$  with initial condition  $\mathbf{x}(0) = \mathbf{y}$  satisfies  $\mathbf{x}(t) = \Phi^t(\mathbf{y})$ , we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Phi^t(\mathbf{y}) = f(\Phi^t(\mathbf{y}))$$

We note in this expression that  $\mathbf{y}$  is a constant initial condition (independent of t). Still, of course, the expression holds for all possible initial conditions  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ . Thus we also have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Phi^t = f \circ \Phi^t,$$

where  $\circ$  denotes composition.

We differentiate both sides with respect to  $\mathbf{y}$  in the equilibrium point  $\mathbf{x}_0$ :

$$\frac{d}{dt}D\Phi^t(\mathbf{x}_0) = Df(\Phi^t(x_0))D\Phi^t(\mathbf{x}_0) = Df(x_0)D\Phi^t(\mathbf{x}_0)$$

From this linear (matrix valued) ODE it follows that

$$D\Phi^t(x_0) = \exp(Df(\mathbf{x}_0)t),$$

where we used the fact that  $\Phi^0(\mathbf{y}) = D\Phi^0(\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{y}$  for all  $\mathbf{y}$ .

If all eigenvalues  $\lambda_i$  of  $Df(\mathbf{x}_0)$  have negative real part then all eigenvalues of  $D\Phi^t(\mathbf{x}_0)$  (with t > 0) have eigenvalues  $\exp(\lambda_i)$ , the modulus of which consequently is bounded from above by a number K < 1. We can apply the derivative test with C being equal to a sphere with radius  $\rho$  around  $\mathbf{x}_0$ , with  $\rho$  sufficiently close to zero.

- 6. See attachment.
- 7. See atachments.
- 8. (a) If F and G are linear maps, then the surfaces are linear subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . Let us call the intersection point of these surfaces (without loss of generality) 0 so that F(0) = G(0) = 0. Let H(x, y) = F(x) G(y). It is useful to note that if H is linear we can represent it in matrix form as

$$H = (F, -G) : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^k, \text{ so that } H\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{y} \end{pmatrix} = (F, -G)\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{y} \end{pmatrix} = F\mathbf{x} - G\mathbf{y},$$

where F is a  $k \times n$  matrix and G a  $k \times m$  matrix. Of course, we have H(0) = 0. The matrix representation of H (that is equal to DH since H is linear) consists of column vectors  $\frac{\partial F}{\partial s_i}(0)$  (with  $i = 1, \ldots n$ ) and  $\frac{\partial G}{\partial s_i}(0)$  (with  $i = n + 1, \ldots n + m$ ). Let us assume that these column vectors span  $\mathbb{R}^k$ , so that there are at least k linearly independent column vectors. Let A be the matrix obtained from DH by taking k column such linearly independent column vectors and write  $DH = A(\lambda)$  where  $\lambda = \mathbb{R}^{m+n-k}$  are parameters representing the remaining column vectors. By construction A is invertible so that, by the Implicit Function Theorem, the zeros of H can be continued uniquely as function of  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{n+m-k}$ . We thus have  $\mathbf{x}(\lambda)$  and we can see this solution set as a graph over  $\lambda$  so that locally the dimension of this solution set is equal to the dimension of  $\lambda$ , i.e. n + m - k.

- (b) If the functions F and G are nonlinear, the same argument can be carried through where we observe that the columns of DH are given by the tangent vectors  $\frac{\partial F}{\partial s_i}(0)$  (with i = 1, ..., n) to the surface given by F, and tangent vectors  $\frac{\partial G}{\partial s_i}(0)$  (with i = n + 1, ..., n + m) to the surface given by G. One often refers to the span of these respective sets of tangent vectors as the *tangent space* at 0. If the *sum* of the tangent spaces of the two surfaces in the intersection point is equal to the ambient space  $\mathbb{R}^m$  we can carry through the argument used above to obtain the solution set  $\mathbf{x}(\lambda)$  as a graph over  $\mathbb{R}^{m+n-k}$  so that the dimension of the intersection set is indeed m + n - k.
- (c) If we add additional p parameters, we can include them in  $\lambda$  so that  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{m+n-k+p}$ . By application of the same argument (noting that the transversality is a property that persists under small perturbations (in the  $C^1$  sense, i.e. small deviations in the value of map and it's derivative)) we obtain a (m+n-k+p)-dimensional solution set near 0 which we can also view as a continuation in p directions of the (m+n-k)-dimensional solution set obtained in (b) above.

In the particular case of the intersection of two two-dimensional surfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  we thus find that transversality implies that (locally) the intersection consists of a curve. Transversality implies for the intersection of a curve and a two-dimensional surface in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  that the intersection is isolated (i.e. there is a small ball around the intersection point in which no other intersection point lies).

9. See atachments.

Consider the polar coordinate transformation

 $\varphi \, : \mathbb{R}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 \quad \text{where} \quad \varphi(r,\theta) \; = \; (r \, \cos \theta, r \, \sin \theta) \, .$ 

By the inverse function theorem, the transformation  $\varphi$  is invertible near a point  $\alpha = (r, \theta)$  if its derivative  $\varphi'(\alpha)$  is also invertible.

Now

$$\varphi'(r,\theta) : \mathbb{R}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$$

is defined by

$$\begin{bmatrix} r\\ \theta \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial r}(r,\theta) & \frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial \theta}(r,\theta) \\ \frac{\partial \varphi_2}{\partial r}(r,\theta) & \frac{\partial \varphi_2}{\partial \theta}(r,\theta) \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} r\\ \theta \end{bmatrix}$$

where

$$\varphi_1(r,\theta) = r \cos \theta$$
  
 $\varphi_2(r,\theta) = r \sin \theta.$ 

Therefore, since

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial r} &=& \cos \theta & \quad \frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial \theta} &=& -r \sin \theta \\ \frac{\partial \varphi_2}{\partial r} &=& \sin \theta & \quad \frac{\partial \varphi_2}{\partial \theta} &=& r \cos \theta \,, \end{array}$$

we conclude that

$$\varphi'(r,\theta) \begin{bmatrix} r\\ \theta \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos\theta & -r\sin\theta\\ \sin\theta & r\cos\theta \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} r\\ \theta \end{bmatrix}.$$

Because

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & -r \sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & r \cos \theta \end{bmatrix} = r \left( \cos^2 \theta + \sin^2 \theta \right) = r,$$

the matrix is invertible for all points  $\alpha = (r, \theta)$  provided  $r \neq 0$ .

By the inverse function theorem, therefore, the polar coordinate transformation  $\varphi$  is invertible in a neighbourhood of each of those points  $(r, \theta) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  for which  $r \neq 0$ .

Consider the spherical coordinate transformation

 $\Sigma : \mathbb{R}^3 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^3, \qquad \Sigma(\rho, \theta, \phi) = \rho(\sin \phi \ \cos \theta, \sin \phi \ \sin \theta, \cos \phi).$ 

By the inverse function theorem, the transformation  $\Sigma$  is invertible near a point  $\alpha = (\rho, \theta, \phi)$  if its derivative  $\Sigma'(\alpha)$  is also invertible.

Now

$$\Sigma'(\rho, \theta, \phi) : \mathbb{R}^3 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$$

is represented by the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial \Sigma_1}{\partial \rho}(\rho, \theta, \phi) & \frac{\partial \Sigma_1}{\partial \theta}(\rho, \theta, \phi) & \frac{\partial \Sigma_1}{\partial \phi}(\rho, \theta, \phi) \\ \frac{\partial \Sigma_2}{\partial \rho}(\rho, \theta, \phi) & \frac{\partial \Sigma_2}{\partial \theta}(\rho, \theta, \phi) & \frac{\partial \Sigma_2}{\partial \phi}(\rho, \theta, \phi) \\ \frac{\partial \Sigma_3}{\partial \rho}(\rho, \theta, \phi) & \frac{\partial \Sigma_3}{\partial \theta}(\rho, \theta, \phi) & \frac{\partial \Sigma_3}{\partial \phi}(\rho, \theta, \phi) \end{bmatrix}$$

where

$$\Sigma_1(\rho, \theta, \phi) = \rho \sin \phi \, \cos \theta$$
  

$$\Sigma_2(\rho, \theta, \phi) = \rho \sin \phi \, \sin \theta$$
  

$$\Sigma_3(\rho, \theta, \phi) = \rho \cos \phi.$$

We conclude that

$$\Sigma'(\rho,\theta,\phi) = \begin{bmatrix} \sin\phi \, \cos\theta & -\rho\sin\phi \, \sin\theta \, \rho\cos\phi \, \cos\theta \\ \sin\phi \, \sin\theta \, \rho\sin\phi \, \cos\theta \, \rho\cos\phi \, \sin\theta \\ \cos\phi \, 0 & -\rho\sin\phi \end{bmatrix}$$

Since

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} \sin\phi \,\cos\theta & -\rho\sin\phi \,\sin\theta \,\rho\cos\phi \,\cos\theta \\ \sin\phi \,\sin\theta \,\rho\sin\phi \,\cos\theta \,\rho\cos\phi \,\sin\theta \\ \cos\phi \, 0 \, -\rho\sin\phi \end{bmatrix} = -\rho^2 \sin\phi,$$

 $\Sigma'(\rho, \theta, \phi)$  is a linear isomorphism for all points  $\alpha = (\rho, \theta, \phi)$  provided  $\rho \neq 0$  and  $\phi \neq \pm k\pi$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

By the inverse function theorem, therefore, the spherical coordinate transformation  $\Sigma$  is invertible in a neighbourhood of each of those points  $(\rho, \theta, \phi) \in \mathbb{R}^3$  for which  $\rho \neq 0$  and  $\phi \neq \pm k\pi$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

# Exercise 2.

Determine whether the function

 $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $f(x, y) = (\cos x, xy)$ 

has a differentiable inverse in a neighbourhood of the point  $\alpha = (\pi, -1)$ .

If so, find the derivative of its inverse function at  $f(\pi, -1)$ .

Solution We first let

$$\eta := f(\pi, -1) = (\cos \pi, \pi(-1)) = (-1, -\pi)$$
$$f_1(x, y) := \cos x$$
$$f_2(x, y) := xy.$$

By the inverse function theorem, f is invertible in a neighbourhood of  $\alpha = (\pi, -1)$  if its derivative function  $f'(\pi, -1)$  is onto. Now the linear map f'(x, y) is represented by the matrix

$$f'(x,y) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial y} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\sin x & 0 \\ y & x \end{bmatrix}$$

Therefore,  $f'(\pi, -1)$  is represented by the matrix

$$f'(\pi,-1) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -1 & \pi \end{bmatrix},$$

and is defined as the map

$$f'(\pi, -1): \mathbb{R}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^2, \quad (x, y) \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -1 & \pi \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now  $f'(\pi, -1)$  is not onto as the rows of the matrix  $f'(\pi, -1)$  are not linearly independent (row rank  $= 1 \neq 2 = \dim \mathbb{R}^2$ ). Therefore, f does not have a differentiable inverse in a neighbourhood of  $\alpha = (\pi, -1)$ .

# **Answer** No, the function

 $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $f(x, y) = (\cos y, xy)$ ,

does not have a differentiable inverse in a neighbourhood of the point  $\alpha = (\pi, -1)$ .

#### Exercise 3.

Determine whether the function

$$f: \mathbb{R}^3 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$$
,  $f(x, y, z) = (3x + y, z - 3y, x + z)$ ,

has a differentiable inverse in a neighbourhood of the point  $\alpha = (2, -3, 5)$ .

If so, find the derivative of its inverse function at f(2, -3, 5).

**Solution** Guided by the inverse function theorem, we compute

$$f'(x,y,z) = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -3 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = f'(2,-3,5).$$

Thus f has a differentiable inverse near (2, -3, 5) if and only if  $det(f'(2, -3, 5)) \neq 0$ . We find

$$\det A = \det \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -3 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = -8 \neq 0$$

and conclude that f has a differentiable inverse near the point (2, -3, 5). Its derivative at

$$\eta := f(2, -3, 5) = (-1, 14, 7)$$

is

$$(f^{-1})'(\eta) = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -3 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{3}{8} & \frac{1}{8} & \frac{-1}{8} \\ \frac{-1}{8} & \frac{-3}{8} & \frac{3}{8} \\ \frac{-3}{8} & \frac{-1}{8} & \frac{9}{8} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus far the conclusions we can draw by depending solely upon the inverse function theorem. In this particular case, we can obtain additional insight by observing that f itself is a linear map whose matrix is

$$A := \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -3 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

is also its derivative at each point of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ : f'(x, y, z) = A. Now the linear function f is invertible exactly when  $\det(A) \neq 0$ . We saw above that  $\det(A) = -8$ . Therefore, we conclude that f is an isomorphism of vector spaces whose inverse is given by the matrix

$$A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{3}{8} & \frac{1}{8} & \frac{-1}{8} \\ \frac{-1}{8} & \frac{-3}{8} & \frac{3}{8} \\ \frac{-3}{8} & \frac{-1}{8} & \frac{9}{8} \end{bmatrix}$$

**Answer** Yes, the function

$$f: \mathbb{R}^3 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$$
,  $f(x, y, z) = (3x + y, z - 3y, x + z)$ ,

is linear and is invertible as a whole. Its inverse

$$f^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{3}{8} & \frac{1}{8} & \frac{-1}{8} \\ \frac{-1}{8} & \frac{-3}{8} & \frac{3}{8} \\ \frac{-3}{8} & \frac{-1}{8} & \frac{9}{8} \end{bmatrix}$$

again linear, hence satisfies  $(f^{-1})'(\eta) = f^{-1}$  for each  $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^3$ .

# Exercise 4.

Determine whether the function

$$f: \mathbb{R}^3 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$$
,  $f(x, y, z) = (xy, yz, xz)$ ,

has a differntiable inverse in a neighbourhood of the point  $\alpha = (1, 0, -1)$ .

If so, find the derivative of its inverse function at f(1, 0, -1).

# Solution We first let

$$\eta := f(1, 0, -1) = (0, 0, -1)$$
  

$$f_1(x, y, z) := xy$$
  

$$f_2(x, y, z) := yz$$
  

$$f_3(x, y, z) := xz.$$

By the inverse function theorem, f is invertible in a neighbourhood of  $\alpha = (1, 0, -1)$  if its derivative function f'(1, 0, -1) is onto. Now the linear map f'(x, y, z) is represented by the matrix

$$f'(x, y, z) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial z} \\ \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial z} \\ \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial z} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} y & x & 0 \\ 0 & z & y \\ z & 0 & x \end{bmatrix}$$

Therefore,

$$f'(1,0,-1) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

As row 1 and 2 are multiples of one another, the row rank of  $f'(1,0,-1) = 2 \neq 3 = \dim \mathbb{R}^3$ . This implies that f'(1,0,-1) is not onto, and, hence, f is not invertible in a neighbourhood of the point (1,0,-1).

# Answer The function

 $f: \mathbb{R}^3 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ , f(x, y, z) = (xy, yz, xz),

does not have a differentiable inverse in a neighbourhood of the point  $\alpha = (1, 0, -1)$ .

### Exercise 5.

Determine whether the function

 $f: \mathbb{R}^3 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^3\,, \qquad f(x,y,z) \;=\; \left(xe^y, xyz, \ln |z|\right),$ 

has a differentiable inverse in a neighbourhood of the point  $\alpha = (2, 0, 1)$ .

If so, find the derivative of its inverse function at f(2, 0, 1).

Solution We first let

$$\eta := f(2,0,1) = (2,0,0)$$
  

$$f_1(x,y,z) := xe^y$$
  

$$f_2(x,y,z) := xyz$$
  

$$f_3(x,y,z) := \ln |z|.$$

By the inverse function theorem, f has a differentiable inverse in a neighbourhood of  $\alpha = (2, 0, 1)$  if its derivative function f'(2, 0, 1) is onto. Now the linear map f'(x, y, z) is represented by the matrix

$$f'(x, y, z) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial z} \\ \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial z} \\ \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial z} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} e^y & xe^y & 0 \\ yz & xz & xy \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{z} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore,

$$f'(2,0,1) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

As f'(2,0,1) is in echelon form, we conclude that f'(2,0,1) is of full rank and is onto. Hence, f is invertible in a neighbourhood of the point (2,0,1), and the derivative function of its inverse at f(2,0,1) is

$$(f|^{-1})'(2,0,0) = [f'(2,0,1)]^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Answer The function

$$f: \mathbb{R}^3 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$$
,  $f(x, y, z) = (xe^y, xyz, \ln|z|)$ ,

is invertible in a neighbourhood of the point  $\alpha = (2, 0, 1)$ , and the derivative function of its inverse at f(2, 0, 1) is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

ynamics as a Tool



 $x' \in W$ . e to b := f(a). Let

 $\frac{\epsilon}{2};$ 

 $i \in V$  produces a

:)  $\in V$  we want to

U is open. Take

 $\geq |h| - \left|\frac{k}{A}\right|,$ 

1/B, we therefore

 $\xrightarrow{2|k|/\alpha\to 0} 0,$ 

that end assume  $f'(g(y)) \in C^k$  and

#### 9.2 Implicit- and Inverse-Function Theorems

Now we adapt this argument to  $\mathbb{R}^n$ :

**Theorem 9.2.2 (Inverse-Function Theorem)** Suppose  $O \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  is open,  $f: O \to \mathbb{R}^m$  is differentiable, and Df is invertible at a point  $a \in O$  and continuous at a. Then there exist neighborhoods  $U \subset O$  of a and V of  $b := f(a) \in \mathbb{R}^m$  such that f is a bijection from U to V [that is, f is one-to-one on U and f(U) = V]. The inverse  $g: V \to U$  of f is differentiable with  $Dg(y) = (Df(g(y)))^{-1}$ . Furthermore, if f is  $C^r$  (that is, all partial derivatives of f up to order r exist and are continuous) on U, then so is its inverse.

**Proof** The proof is actually the same as before. We only need to replace various numbers by linear maps and some absolute values by norms.

The space. The contraction acts in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ .

*The map.* For any given  $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , consider the map

$$\varphi_{y}(x) := x + Df(a)^{-1}(y - f(x))$$

on *O*. Notice that  $\varphi_y(x) = x$  if and only if f(x) = y, so we try to find a unique fixed point for  $\varphi_y$ . We need a set *W* on which it is a contraction.

The contraction property. Let A := Df(a),  $\alpha < ||A^{-1}||^{-1}/2$ , and, using continuity of Df at a, take  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $||Df(x) - A|| < \alpha$  for x in the closure of  $W := B(a, \epsilon)$ . To see that  $\varphi_{Y}$  is a contraction, note that

$$||D\varphi_{\nu}(x)|| = ||Id - A^{-1}Df(x)|| = ||A^{-1}(A - Df(x))|| < ||A^{-1}||\alpha =: \lambda < 1/2$$

for  $x \in W$  and apply Corollary 2.2.15 to get  $\|\varphi_y(x) - \varphi_y(x')\| \le \lambda \|x - x'\|$  for  $x, x' \in W$ . Therefore, by Proposition 2.2.20 there is a neighborhood V of b such that  $\varphi_y$  is a contraction of  $\overline{W}$  for all  $y \in V$  and has a unique fixed point  $g(y) \in W$  (which depends continuously on y).  $U := g(V) = W \cap f^{-1}(V)$  is open.

The determinant of Df(x) depends continuously on Df and hence is continuous at a as a function of x. Thus, by taking V (and hence U) smaller, if necessary, we may assume det  $Df \neq 0$  on U and therefore that Df(x) is invertible on U.

For  $y = f(x) \in V$  we want to show that Dg(y) exists and is the inverse of B := Df(g(y)). Take  $y + k = f(x + h) \in V$ . Then

$$2.1) \qquad \frac{\|h\|}{2} \ge \|\varphi_y(x+h) - \varphi_y(x)\| = \|h + A^{-1}(f(x) - f(x+h))\|$$
$$= \|h - A^{-1}k\| \ge \|h\| - \|A^{-1}\|\|k\|,$$

SO

(9

$$\frac{\|k\|}{lpha} > \|A^{-1}\| \|k\| \ge \frac{\|h\|}{2}$$
 and  $\frac{1}{\|k\|} < \frac{2}{lpha \|h\|}$ 

Since  $g(y + k) - g(y) - B^{-1}k = h - B^{-1}k = -B^{-1}(f(x + h) - f(x) - Bh)$ , we get

$$\frac{\|g(y+k)-g(y)-B^{-1}k\|}{\|k\|} < \frac{\|B^{-1}\|}{\alpha/2} \frac{\|f(x+h)-f(x)-Bh\|}{\|h\|} \xrightarrow[\|h\| \le 2\|k\|/\alpha \to 0]{} 0,$$

which proves  $Dg(y) = B^{-1}$ .

Finally, suppose  $f \in C^r$  and  $g \in C^k$  for some k < r. Then  $Df(g(y)) \in C^k$  and so is its inverse Dg by using the formula for matrix inverses (the entries of  $A^{-1}$  are polynomials in those of A divided by det  $A \neq 0$ ). Thus,  $g \in C^{k+1}$ .  $\Box$ 

263