

MATHEMATICAL TRIPOS Part III

Monday 7 June, 2004 1.30 to 4.30

PAPER 48

THE STANDARD MODEL

 $Attempt \ \mathbf{THREE} \ questions.$

There are **four** questions in total. The questions carry equal weight.

You may not start to read the questions printed on the subsequent pages until instructed to do so by the Invigilator. $\mathbf{2}$

1 Consider a scalar field theory with a scalar with components ϕ_r . The potential for the field $V(\phi)$ is invariant under infinitesimal transformations

$$\delta \phi = iT_a \chi_a \phi$$
, $a = 1, \dots \dim G$,

where T_a are the dim G generators of invariance group G in the representation defined by ϕ and χ_a are some infinitesimal parameters. The potential has a degenerate vacuum labelled by Φ_0 . t_i are the generators of H, which is the stability group for $\phi_0 \in \Phi_0$, i.e.

$$t_i \phi_0 = 0$$
, $i = 1, \dots \dim H$.

Choosing a basis for the generators such that

$$T_a = (t_i, T_{\hat{a}}),$$

with $T_{\hat{a}}$ orthogonal to t_i , prove, by expanding about the vacuum ϕ_0 , that there are $\dim G - \dim H$ massless scalars.

If G = O(n), the rotation group in n dimensions, and H = O(n-1) then how many massless scalars are there in this case?

The Lagrangian for the gauge-scalar sector of the standard model may be written

as,

$$= -\frac{1}{4} \mathbf{F}^{\mu\nu} \cdot \mathbf{F}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} G^{\mu\nu} G_{\mu\nu} + (D^{\mu}\phi)^{\dagger} D_{\mu}\phi - \frac{1}{2} \lambda \left(\phi^{\dagger}\phi - \frac{v^2}{2}\right)^2,$$

where

 \mathcal{L}

$$\mathbf{F}_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}\mathbf{A}_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}\mathbf{A}_{\mu} + g\,\mathbf{A}_{\mu} \times \mathbf{A}_{\nu} \quad , \quad G_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}B_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}B_{\mu}$$
$$(D^{\mu}\phi) = (\partial^{\mu} + ig\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{A}^{\mu}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \sigma + i\frac{1}{2}g'B^{\mu}(x))\phi,$$

and \mathbf{A}_{μ} is the vector of SU(2) gauge fields, B_{μ} is the $U(1)_Y$ gauge field and ϕ is a complex scalar doublet. σ_i are the Pauli matrices and g' may be written as $g \tan \theta_W$.

Explain why the scalar doublet can be written as

$$\phi(x) = \exp(-i\mathbf{n}(x).\sigma + in_3(x))\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ v + H(x) \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, n_2, n_3)$, and why in unitary gauge we can eliminate the fields in $\exp(-i\mathbf{n}(x).\sigma + in_3(x))$ completely.

Determine the simultaneous mass and charge eigenstates for the gauge fields by writing the scalar-boson interactions in terms of the physical fields Z_{μ} , W_{μ}^{\pm} , and show that the photon field A_{μ} decouples from the scalar and is massless. Find also the mass of the scalar field and the the relationship between the masses m_W and m_Z .

Paper 48



2 The covariant derivative in the electroweak sector of the Standard Model is defined by

$$D^{\mu} = (\partial^{\mu} + ig\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{A}^{\mu}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \sigma + iYg'B^{\mu}(x)),$$

where g is the SU(2) coupling constant, g' is the $U(1)_Y$ coupling constant, σ_i are the Pauli matrices and Y is the hypercharge. Describe the SU(2) and hypercharge representations and quantum numbers for the leptons. Using

$$W^{+\mu} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (A_1^{\mu} - iA_2^{\mu}), \qquad W^{-\mu} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (A_1^{\mu} + iA_2^{\mu}),$$

show that the interaction of the W^+ boson with the lepton fields may be written as

$$\mathcal{L}_{W+lep} = -\frac{g}{2\sqrt{2}}W^{+\mu}\bar{\nu}_l\gamma_\mu(1-\gamma^5)l,$$

for each family of leptons. Write the corresponding term for the W^- boson. Explain briefly why the corresponding interaction term is more complicated for quarks.

Show that at low energies it is equivalent to using an effective Lagrangian density

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Weff}} = -\frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} (J^{\mu}(x)^{\dagger} J_{\mu}(x)) \,,$$

where $G_F = \sqrt{2}g^2/8m_W^2$.

Consider the decay

$$\pi^{-}(p) \rightarrow e^{-}(k) + \overline{\nu}_{e}(q).$$

The matrix element for this decay is

$$\mathcal{M} = -\frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \langle e^-(k) \,\overline{\nu}_e(q) | \overline{e} \gamma^\alpha (1 - \gamma_5) \nu_e | 0 \rangle \, \langle 0 | J_\alpha^{\text{had.}} | \pi^-(p) \rangle.$$

Explain why only the axial part of the hadronic current contributes to $\langle 0|J_{\alpha}^{\text{had.}}|\pi^{-}(p)\rangle$.

By considering this matrix element prove that the decay rate contains a factor $m_e^2(m_\pi^2 - m_e^2)$, and hence vanishes in the limit $m_e \to 0$. (It is useful to use the Dirac equation for the spinors in momentum space.) Explain physically why the matrix element must vanish in this limit.

[You may use

$$\operatorname{tr} \{\gamma . k\gamma . q\} = 4k \cdot q$$
$$\operatorname{tr} \{\gamma^5 \gamma . k\gamma . q\} = 0$$
$$\operatorname{tr} \{\gamma^{\mu}\} = \operatorname{tr} \{\gamma^5 \gamma^{\mu}\} = 0.]$$

[TURN OVER

4

3 Under charge conjugation we assume

$$\psi(x) \longrightarrow \psi^C(x) , \quad \psi^C(x) = C\overline{\psi}(x)^t ,$$

with t denoting transpose. The matrix C is then chosen to ensure $\psi^{C}(x)$ satisfies the Dirac equation. Prove that $C(\gamma^{\mu})^{t}C^{-1} = -\gamma^{\mu}$. Show also that under charge conjugation $\bar{\psi}(x) \to -\psi^{t}(x)C^{-1}$. (Assume $C^{\dagger} = C^{-1}$.)

Explain why a current interaction

$$J^{\mu}V_{\mu} = \bar{\psi}(x)\gamma^{\mu}(1-\gamma^5)\psi(x)V_{\mu},$$

is not invariant under parity or charge conjugation separately but is invariant under the combined transformation.

Under a time reversal transformation $\hat{T}\psi(x)\hat{T}^{-1} = B^{-1}\psi(x_T)$ and $\hat{T}\overline{\psi}(x)\hat{T}^{-1} = \overline{\psi}(x_T)B$ where $B = \gamma_5 C$ and \hat{T} is an antilinear transformation, i.e. it takes the complex conjugate of numbers. Show that $B(\gamma^{0*}, -\gamma^*)B^{-1} = (\gamma^0, \gamma)$, and that the above current interaction is invariant under time reversal.

The K^0 and its anti-particle \bar{K}^0 are pseudoscalar mesons with dominant quark structure $\bar{s}d$ and $\bar{d}s$. Under CP we can define

$$\hat{C}\hat{P}|K^0\rangle = |\bar{K}^0\rangle, \qquad \hat{C}\hat{P}|\bar{K}^0\rangle = |K^0\rangle.$$

The mass eigenstates of the system are the eigenvectors of the matrix

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} \langle K^0 | H' | K^0 \rangle & \langle K^0 | H' | \bar{K}^0 \rangle \\ \langle \bar{K}^0 | H' | K^0 \rangle & \langle \bar{K}^0 | H' | \bar{K}^0 \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} M_{11} & M_{12} \\ M_{21} & M_{22} \end{pmatrix},$$

where H' is an effective Hamiltonian arising from weak processes that mix $|K^0\rangle$ and $|\overline{K}^0\rangle$, and $M_{11} = M_{22}$. Draw a Feynman diagram representing one such mixing process. Show that if H' is not invariant under CP then $M_{12} \neq M_{21}$ and that the mass eigenstates are equal to the CP = +1 and -1 eigenstates

$$|K_1^{0}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(|K^0\rangle + |\bar{K}^0\rangle \right), \qquad |K_2^{0}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(|K^0\rangle - |\bar{K}^0\rangle \right),$$

up to small corrections proportional to

$$\epsilon = \frac{\sqrt{M_{12}} - \sqrt{M_{21}}}{\sqrt{M_{12}} + \sqrt{M_{21}}}.$$

[Under parity transformations P

$$\psi(x) \to \gamma^0 \psi(x_P) \qquad \bar{\psi}(x) \to \bar{\psi}(x_P) \gamma_0 \qquad V_\mu(x) \to V^\mu(x_P) \gamma_0$$

Under charge conjugation $C V_{\mu}(x) \rightarrow -V_{\mu}(x)$, and under time reversal $V_{\mu}(x) \rightarrow V^{\mu}(x_T)$]

Paper 48



4 Show that the total cross-section for $e^-(p_1) + e^+(p_2) \rightarrow \gamma^*(p_1 + p_2 = q) \rightarrow q(k_1) + \overline{q}(k_2)$ at lowest order is equal to

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{e^-e^+\to q\bar{q}}}{\mathrm{d}\Omega} = \frac{\alpha^2}{4q^2} \, Q_q^2 \left(1 + \cos^2\theta\right),$$

where $\alpha = e^2/4\pi$, θ is the angle between the outgoing quark and the axis of the incoming electron and positron in the centre of mass frame, and Q_q is the fractional quark charge. Show that integrating over the solid angle

$$\sigma_{e^-e^+ \to q\overline{q}} = \frac{4\pi\alpha^2}{3q^2} Q_q^2 \,.$$

You may assume that $\sqrt{q^2} \gg m_q, m_e$.

Explain why at leading order this means that (to a good approximation)

$$\sigma_{e^-e^+ \to \text{hadrons}} = \frac{4\pi\alpha^2}{3q^2} \, 3\sum_f Q_f^2 \,,$$

Discuss why beyond leading order the cross-section for quark-antiquark production is not a well-defined physical quantity, and how one may calculate the total hadron cross-section.

Beyond LO the cross-section may be written as

$$\sigma_{e^-e^+ \to \text{hadrons}} = \frac{4\pi\alpha^2}{3q^2} \, 3\sum_f Q_f^2 \, K(\alpha_s(\mu^2), q^2/\mu^2) \,,$$

where at $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$

$$K(\alpha_s(\mu^2), q^2/\mu^2) = 1 + \frac{\alpha_s(\mu^2)}{\pi} + \frac{\alpha_s^2(\mu^2)}{\pi^2} \left(1.99 - 0.11n_f - \pi \frac{\beta_0}{4\pi} \ln(q^2/\mu^2)\right).$$

One way of choosing the arbitrary scale μ is to demand that

$$\frac{dK(\alpha_s(\mu^2), q^2/\mu^2)}{d\ln\mu^2} = 0.$$

Using the renormalization group equation for the strong coupling

$$\frac{d\alpha_s}{d\ln\mu^2} = -\frac{\beta_0}{4\pi}\alpha_s^2,$$

where $\beta_0 = 11 - 2/3n_f$, and n_f is the number of quark flavours, determine the value of μ^2 this prescription imposes.

[You may use tr $(\gamma_{\alpha}\gamma_{\beta}\gamma_{\gamma}\gamma_{\delta}) = 4(g_{\alpha\beta}g_{\gamma\delta} + g_{\alpha\delta}g_{\beta\gamma} - g_{\alpha\gamma}g_{\beta\delta})$, and

$$\sigma = \frac{1}{4F} \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\text{spins}} \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{k_1}}{(2\pi)^3 2E_{\mathbf{k_1}}} \frac{d^3 \mathbf{k_2}}{(2\pi)^3 2E_{\mathbf{k_2}}} (2\pi)^4 \delta^4 (p_1 + p_2 - k_1 - k_2) |M|^2$$

where the flux factor $F = 4\sqrt{(p_1.p_2)^2 - m_1^2 m_2^2} = 2q^2$, where we let $m_1, m_2 \to 0$.]

Paper 48