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## STATISTICAL THEORY

Attempt THREE questions. There are $\boldsymbol{F O U R}$ questions in total.

The questions carry equal weight.
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1 State and prove Cochran's theorem.
Explain how Cochran's theorem may be applied to the problem of testing hypotheses in a linear model.
[You should define the model and the hypotheses carefully. You may assume that the likelihood ratio statistic is of the form

$$
w_{L R}\left(H_{0}\right)=n \log \left(1+\frac{A}{B}\right),
$$

but should state explicit expressions for $A$ and $B$. Formal verification of the conditions of Cochran's theorem is not required.]

2 We write $Y \sim I G(\phi, \lambda)$ if the density of $Y$ is $f(y ; \phi, \lambda)=\frac{\lambda^{1 / 2}}{(2 \pi)^{1 / 2} y^{3 / 2}} e^{(\lambda \phi)^{1 / 2}} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\lambda}{y}+\phi y\right)\right\}, \quad y \in(0, \infty), \phi \in(0, \infty), \lambda \in(0, \infty)$.

Let $Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{n}$ be independent $\operatorname{IG}(\phi, \lambda)$ random variables. By first computing the cumulant generating function of $n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{i}$, find the density of $S_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{i}$.

What is meant by a saddlepoint approximation to the density of a sum of independent and identically distributed random variables? [An explicit expression for the $O\left(n^{-1}\right)$ term is not required.]

Compute the saddlepoint approximation to the density of $S_{n}$ defined above. Comment on the accuracy of the approximation.

Now suppose $Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{n}$ are independent with density

$$
g(y)=\frac{5(\sqrt{5}-1)}{4 \pi\left(1+y^{10}\right)}, \quad y \in \mathbb{R} .
$$

Without doing any calculations, explain briefly why it would not be appropriate to try to compute the saddlepoint approximation to the density of $\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{i}$ in this case.

3 Consider a model with two real-valued parameters, where one is of interest and the other is a nuisance parameter. What is meant by saying that the two parameters are orthogonal? What is meant by an interest-respecting reparametrisation? Give an informal derivation of a differential equation that may be used to find an orthogonal, interestrespecting reparametrisation.

Now suppose $Y$ has density

$$
f(y ; \psi, \sigma)=\frac{1}{\sigma}\left(1+\frac{\psi y}{\sigma}\right)^{-\left(1+\frac{1}{\psi}\right)}, \quad y \in(0, \infty), \psi \in(0, \infty), \sigma \in(0, \infty),
$$

where $\psi$ is of interest and $\sigma$ is a nuisance parameter. Show that $\psi$ and $\sigma$ are not orthogonal.
Find an orthogonal, interest-respecting reparametrisation.
[You may assume without proof that if $a$ is a non-negative integer, $b \in(0, \infty)$ satisfies $b-a>1$ and $c \in(0, \infty)$, then

$$
\left.\int_{0}^{\infty} y^{a}(1+c y)^{-b} d y=\frac{a!}{c^{a+1}(b-1)(b-2) \ldots(b-a-1)} .\right]
$$

4 Let $Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{n}$ be independent $N\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right)$ random variables, and suppose that we are interested in testing $H_{0}: \sigma^{2}=\sigma_{0}^{2}$ against $H_{1}: \sigma^{2} \neq \sigma_{0}^{2}$. Write down expressions for the maximum likelihood estimator $\hat{\sigma}^{2}$ of $\sigma^{2}$, and the constrained maximum likelihood estimator $\hat{\mu}_{\sigma^{2}}$ of $\mu$ for a fixed value of $\sigma^{2}$. Show that, under $H_{0}$, the likelihood ratio statistic may be written as

$$
w_{L R}\left(\sigma_{0}^{2}\right)=n\{-\log (1+V)+V\},
$$

where $V=(U-n) / n$ and $U \sim \chi_{n-1}^{2}$.
Define what is meant by the Bartlett correction factor, and the Bartlett-corrected likelihood ratio statistic. By integrating an asymptotic expansion term by term, which you may assume is valid, show that in the example above, the Bartlett correction factor is 11/6.
[You may assume without proof that if $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $U \sim \chi_{n-1}^{2}$, then

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(U^{r}\right)=(n-1+2(r-1))(n-1+2(r-2)) \ldots(n-1) .
$$

You should bound the higher moments of $V$ by using the fact that if $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n-1}$ are independent and identically distributed with $\mathbb{E}\left(T_{i}\right)=0$ and $\mathbb{E}\left(\left|T_{i}\right|^{r}\right)<\infty$ for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$ with $r \geqslant 2$, then there exists a finite constant $C(r)$ such that

$$
\left|\mathbb{E}\left\{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} T_{i}\right)^{r}\right\}\right| \leqslant\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
C(r) n^{r / 2} & \text { if } r \text { is even } \\
C(r) n^{(r-1) / 2} & \text { if } r \text { is odd. }
\end{array}\right]
$$
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