

PAPER 73

PHYSICAL COSMOLOGY

*Attempt no more than **THREE** questions.*

*There are **FOUR** questions in total.*

*The questions carry equal weight.*

**STATIONERY REQUIREMENTS**    **SPECIAL REQUIREMENTS**

*Cover sheet*

*None*

*Treasury tag*

*Script paper*

<p><b>You may not start to read the questions printed on the subsequent pages until instructed to do so by the Invigilator.</b></p>
---

- 1 (i) Explain the difference between kinematic and cosmological redshifts.  
(ii) Use the relativistic formula

$$\nu_0 = \nu_e \sqrt{\frac{1 - \frac{v}{c}}{1 + \frac{v}{c}}},$$

where  $\nu_e$  and  $\nu_0$  are the photon emission and reception frequencies respectively, to derive the kinematic redshift of a light source moving at velocity  $v$  relative to the observer, in the limit  $v \ll c$ .

- (iii) Use the Robertson-Walker metric

$$(ds)^2 = (c dt)^2 - a^2(t) \left[ \frac{dr^2}{1 - kr^2} + r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2) \right]$$

to show that the quantity  $(1 + z_{\text{cosm}}) = (\nu_e/\nu_0)$ , where  $z_{\text{cosm}}$  is the cosmological redshift of a light source, is inversely proportional to the scale factor of the universe  $a(t)$ .

- (iv) Consider two galaxies, A and B. As viewed from Earth, galaxy A is at redshift  $z_A = 1$  and galaxy B is at  $z_B = 9$ . What is the redshift of galaxy B as measured by a hypothetical observer on galaxy A?

(v) When observing a distant galaxy, we measure a combination of kinematic and cosmological redshifts, as galaxies respond to the local gravitational field which adds a ‘peculiar’ velocity (of either positive or negative sign) on the uniform Hubble expansion. (a) Show that the combined redshift due to these effects [kinematic and cosmological] is

$$1 + z_{\text{tot}} = (1 + z_{\text{cosm}})(1 + z_{\text{kin}})$$

(b) Typical peculiar velocities of galaxies are  $v_p = \pm 600 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . For a constant Hubble parameter  $H_0 = 100 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$ , what is the minimum distance,  $r_{\text{min}}$ , at which a galaxy must be for its redshift to give an estimate of its true distance accurate to better than 5%?

- (vi) The spectrum of blackbody radiation is described by the Planck function

$$B_\nu(T) = \frac{2h\nu^3/c^2}{e^{h\nu/kT} - 1}$$

where  $\nu$  is the frequency,  $T$  is the temperature and  $h$ ,  $k$  and  $c$  are constants.

(a) Knowing that  $B_\nu(T)$  has a maximum at  $\nu_{\text{max}}$ , deduce Wien’s law:  $\nu_{\text{max}}/T = C$ , where  $C$  is a constant. (b) Given that the Sun’s peculiar velocity relative to the Hubble flow is  $v_p \simeq 300 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , estimate the temperature of the microwave background radiation measured in the direction of the Sun’s motion.

(vii) Explain what is meant by the  $K$ -correction in the calculation of the absolute magnitude  $M$  of an astronomical source at luminosity distance  $d_L$ . Consider two cases where we measure the apparent magnitudes in the  $R$ -band,  $m_R$ , of (a) an elliptical galaxy and (b) a young star-forming galaxy, both at redshift  $z = 3$ . If we neglect their  $K$ -corrections, would we underestimate or overestimate their true absolute magnitudes  $M_R$ ? The  $R$ -band is centred at a wavelength of 660 nm. Give reasons for your answers.

**2** In a spatially flat Universe where radiation makes a negligible contribution to the energy density, the Friedmann equation can be written as:

$$\dot{a}^2 = H_0^2 \Omega_{m,0} a^{-1} + H_0^2 \Omega_{\Lambda,0} a^2$$

where the subscript 0 denotes the present time,  $H$  is the Hubble parameter,  $a$  is the scale factor and  $a_0 = 1$ , and  $\Omega_m$  and  $\Omega_\Lambda$  denote respectively the contributions of matter and the cosmological constant to the critical density.

(i) Derive an expression for the ratio of the Hubble parameter at redshift  $z$  to its value today.

(ii) Show that in this cosmology the age of the Universe at redshift  $z$  can be written as:

$$t(z) = \frac{2}{3H_0\Omega_{\Lambda,0}^{1/2}} \ln \left[ \frac{1 + \cos \theta}{\sin \theta} \right]$$

where

$$\tan \theta = \left( \frac{\Omega_{m,0}}{\Omega_{\Lambda,0}} \right)^{1/2} (1+z)^{3/2}$$

You may use the identity:

$$\int \frac{dx}{\sin x} = \ln \left[ \frac{\sin x}{1 + \cos x} \right]$$

(iii) Hence show that the present age of the Universe is given by

$$t_0 = \frac{2}{3H_0\Omega_{\Lambda,0}^{1/2}} \ln \left[ \frac{1 + \Omega_{\Lambda,0}^{1/2}}{(1 - \Omega_{\Lambda,0})^{1/2}} \right]$$

(iv) Recently a team of astronomers announced the discovery of a galaxy at redshift  $z = 8$ . This claim generated some controversy partly because, if true, it would imply that galaxies formed only a short time after the big bang. Assuming an Einstein-de Sitter universe ( $\Omega_{m,0} = 1$ ,  $\Omega_{\Lambda,0} = \Omega_{k,0} = 0$ ), calculate (a) the fraction of the current age of the universe at which this galaxy was observed; (b) the time interval available from the big bang to  $z = 8$  to form this galaxy. (c) Would the interval available be longer or shorter in a  $\Omega_{m,0} = 0.3$ ,  $\Omega_{\Lambda,0} = 0.7$ ,  $\Omega_{k,0} = 0$  cosmology? (Use  $1/H_0 = 1 \times 10^{10} h^{-1}$  years for parts (a), (b) and (c) of this question.)

**3** (i) Define the distribution of the neutral hydrogen column densities,  $N(\text{HI})$ , of Lyman alpha absorbers. Show its functional form with the aid of a sketch, indicating the ranges of  $N(\text{HI})$  which are referred to as ‘Lyman alpha forest’, ‘Lyman limit systems’ and ‘damped Lyman alpha systems’. Briefly explain the meaning of this terminology.

(ii) From the Friedmann equation:

$$\frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2} + \frac{kc^2}{a^2} - \frac{\Lambda c^2}{3} = \frac{8\pi G}{3c^2}\rho,$$

where  $a$  is the scale factor,  $k$  is the curvature,  $\Lambda$  is the cosmological constant,  $\rho$  is the density, the other symbols have their usual meaning and a dot denotes differentiation with respect to the proper time, show that for a uniform comoving population of absorbers, each with constant cross-section, the probability that the line of sight to a distant quasar intersects such an absorber per unit redshift at redshift  $z$  is proportional to:

$$\frac{(1+z)^2}{[\Omega_{m,0}(1+z)^3 + \Omega_{k,0}(1+z)^2 + \Omega_{\Lambda,0}]^{1/2}}$$

where  $\Omega_{m,0}$  and  $\Omega_{k,0}$  and  $\Omega_{\Lambda,0}$  denote respectively the contributions of matter, curvature and the cosmological constant to the critical density at the present epoch.

(iii) In an Einstein-de Sitter universe, with  $\Omega_{m,0} = 1$ ,  $\Omega_{k,0} = \Omega_{\Lambda,0} = 0$ , how would you expect, on the basis of your answer to (ii), the number of Lyman alpha absorbers—per unit redshift interval and with neutral hydrogen column density above a given threshold value—to change between  $z = 1.5$  and  $z = 4$ ? Briefly discuss how this compares with what is observed.

(iv) Explain with the aid of physical arguments how you would measure the temperature of the intergalactic medium at redshift  $z = 3$  from the Lyman alpha lines seen in quasar spectra.

- 4 (i) Show that the primordial abundance of helium by mass is

$$Y_p = 2 \left( 1 + \frac{n_p}{n_n} \right)^{-1}$$

where  $n_p/n_n$  is the ratio (by number) of protons to neutrons, if all the baryons are in H and He.

(ii) Imagine another universe, described by the same cosmological parameters as our own, but with the one difference that a force of unknown origin decelerated the universal expansion between times  $t_1 = 1$  s and  $t_2 = 300$  s. Would you expect the mass fraction of hydrogen in this alternate universe to be larger than, smaller than, or the same as, that in our universe? Justify your answer in a few sentences.

(iii) (a) Describe how the *primordial* abundances of  $^4\text{He}$ , D, and  $^7\text{Li}$  are deduced from observations. (b) Discuss, with the aid of a sketch, whether the current best estimates of these abundances are in agreement with the predictions of Big Bang Nucleosynthesis and the values of  $\Omega_{b,0}$  deduced from other experiments, where  $\Omega_{b,0}$  is the present-day contribution of baryons to the critical density. (c) From the above discussion draw your conclusions regarding the validity of the Big Bang cosmological framework.

**END OF PAPER**