

Thursday 9 June, 2005 9 to 11

PAPER 52

BRANES

*Answer **ALL** questions in Section A.*

*Answer any **ONE** of the two questions in Section B.*

Section A carries 2/3 credit.

Section B carries 1/3 credit.

STATIONERY REQUIREMENTS

*Cover sheet
Treasury Tag
Script paper*

SPECIAL REQUIREMENTS

None

**You may not start to read the questions
printed on the subsequent pages until
instructed to do so by the Invigilator.**

Section A

1 Show that the standard supersymmetry anticommutator

$$\{Q_\alpha, Q_\beta\} = (C\Gamma_m)^m P_m$$

would imply, if valid, that only configurations with $P^2 = 0$ can be supersymmetric. How is this conclusion evaded in supersymmetric field theories that admit 1/2 supersymmetric brane solutions? Why might your result lead you to suspect the existence of 1/2 supersymmetric membrane and fivebrane solutions of 11-dimensional supergravity?

2 Given that a supersymmetric brane action is invariant under the fermionic gauge invariance called “kappa-symmetry”, explain why the condition for a given brane configuration to preserve some fraction of supersymmetry is

$$\Gamma\epsilon = \epsilon,$$

and explain how this equation determines the fraction of supersymmetry preserved [You will need the form of the kappa symmetry transformation in terms of Γ but you are not expected to derive it]. What is the matrix Γ for the 11-dimensional supermembrane.

3 Write down the bosonic truncation of the action for a supermembrane coupled to the metric and 3-form potential A of 11-dimensional supergravity.

The M2-brane solution of 11-dimensional supergravity for metric and 4-form field strength F is

$$ds_{11}^2 = H^{-\frac{2}{3}} ds^2(E^{(1,2)}) + H^{\frac{1}{3}} ds^2(E^8), \quad F = \text{vol}(E^{(1,2)}) \wedge dH^{-1},$$

where H is a harmonic function on E^8 with isolated singularities. Why does this imply the absence of a force between parallel membranes? Confirm this “no-force” condition by considering the action for a probe membrane in the M2 background.

4 The array

$$\begin{array}{l} M2 : \quad 1 \ 2 \\ M5 : \quad 1 \ 3 \ 4 \ 5 \ 6 \end{array}$$

represents an M2-brane in the 12 plane ending on an M5-brane in the 13456 5-plane. Why would you expect the minimum energy configuration of this type to preserve 1/4 supersymmetry. Use string/M dualities (any properties of which you may state without proof) to deduce the existence of a 1/4 supersymmetric IIB superstring configuration in which a D-string ends on a D3-brane.

Section B

5 Write an essay with the title *Effective actions for field theory branes*. Your essay should begin with an explanation of why Goldstone fields dominate the low energy dynamics and why, in the case of a bosonic field theory, these fields are governed by Dirac's brane action [You are not expected to derive this action; instead you should motivate it by symmetry and dimensional analysis]. You should verify, via the Monge gauge, that the linearized fluctuations are transverse waves. You should then explain why a modification of the Dirac action is needed for branes in supersymmetric field theories, and why the effective action must include a WZ term [You should concentrate on the properties of this term, and its implications, *not* on the details of its form or how it is constructed].

6 Write an essay with the title *String theory branes from M-branes*. Your essay should give a general overview of how branes in M-theory imply the existence of branes in IIA string theory, and how these are related via T-duality to branes in IIB string theory. You should illustrate your overview by giving some details of how the IIA string and D2-brane follow from the M2-brane, ignoring fermions but taking care to deduce the dependence on the IIA string coupling constant g_s . [You will need the following result for an 11-dimensional metric with $U(1)$ Killing vector field $\partial/\partial Y$ in terms of string-frame 10-dimensional fields of IIA supergravity:

$$ds_{11}^2 = e^{-\frac{2}{3}\phi} ds_{10}^2 + e^{\frac{4}{3}\phi} (dY - C)^2].$$

END OF PAPER