## PAPER 64

## ADVANCED COSMOLOGY

Attempt TWO questions.
There are $\boldsymbol{T H R E E}$ questions in total.
The questions carry equal weight.
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You may not start to read the questions printed on the subsequent pages until instructed to do so by the Invigilator.

1 (i) In the $3+1$ formalism, we split spacetime using the line element

$$
d s^{2}=-N^{2} d t^{2}+{ }^{(3)} g_{i j}\left(d x^{i}-N^{i} d t\right)\left(d x^{j}-N^{j} d t\right)
$$

with lapse function $N\left(t,, x^{i}\right)$, shift vector $N^{i}\left(t,, x^{i}\right)$ and the three-metric ${ }^{(3)} g_{i j}\left(x^{i}\right)$ on constant time spacelike hypersurfaces $\Sigma$. (Latin indices vary over $1,2,3$.)

The four-vector $n^{\mu}=\frac{1}{N}\left(1, N^{i}\right)$ is normal to $\Sigma$ and defines the extrinsic curvature $K_{i j} \equiv-n_{i ; j}$. Show that the extrinsic curvature can be expressed as

$$
K_{i j}=-\frac{1}{2 N}\left({ }^{(3)} g_{i j, 0}+N_{i \mid j}+N_{j \mid i}\right)
$$

where $\mid$ denotes the covariant derivative in $\Sigma$ and you may assume that the connection is defined by $\Gamma_{\nu \lambda}^{\mu}=\frac{1}{2} g^{\mu \kappa}\left(g_{\nu \kappa, \lambda}+g_{\lambda \kappa, \nu}-g_{\nu \lambda, \kappa}\right)$.
(ii) When linearising the $3+1$ metric about a flat FRW universe, we define the scalar perturbations by

$$
N\left(t, x^{i}\right) \equiv \bar{N}(t)\left(1+\Phi\left(t, x^{i}\right)\right), \quad N_{i} \equiv-a^{2} B_{, i}, \quad{ }^{(3)} g_{i j}=a^{2}\left[(1-2 \Psi) \delta_{i j}-2 E_{, i j}\right]
$$

$\rho=\bar{\rho}+\delta \rho$ and $P=\bar{P}+\delta P$, where bars denote background homogeneous quantities. In synchronous gauge, we take $\Phi=0$ and $B=0$. Given that metric perturbations transform as

$$
\delta \tilde{g}_{\mu \nu}=\delta g_{\mu \nu}-\bar{g}_{\mu \nu, 0} \xi^{0}-\bar{g}_{\lambda \nu} \xi_{, \mu}^{\lambda}-\bar{g}_{\mu \lambda} \xi_{, \nu}^{\lambda}
$$

under

$$
\left(t, x^{i}\right) \longrightarrow\left(\tilde{t}, \tilde{x}^{i}\right)=\left(t+\xi^{0}, x^{i}+\xi^{i}\right), \quad\left(\xi^{i} \equiv \partial^{i} \lambda\right)
$$

show that there is a residual gauge freedom in synchronous gauge given by the coordinate transformation,

$$
\xi^{0}=\frac{C\left(x^{i}\right)}{\bar{N}}, \quad \lambda=C\left(x^{i}\right) \int \frac{\bar{N}}{a^{2}} d t+D\left(x^{i}\right)
$$

where $C$ and $D$ are arbitrary functions of $x^{i}$ only.
In longitudinal Newtonian gauge we take instead $E=B=0$. Find a transformation law that expresses the density perturbation $\delta \rho / \rho$ in Newtonian gauge in terms of synchronous gauge quantitites.
(iii) Prove that the quantity

$$
\zeta=-\Psi+\frac{1}{3} \frac{\delta \rho}{\bar{\rho}+\bar{P}}
$$

is gauge-invariant. Show that $\zeta$ is independent of time on superhorizon scales, that is, $\dot{\zeta}=0$ for $k \ll a H$. Briefly discuss the importance of the perturbation variable $\zeta$ in inflationary scenarios.
[Hint: You may assume a definite equation of state $P=w \rho$, that the perturbed energy density conservation equation is

$$
\dot{\delta \rho} / \bar{N}=-3 H(\delta \rho+\delta P)+(\bar{\rho}+\bar{P})(\kappa-3 H \Phi)-\triangle u
$$

and that the metric perturbation $\Psi$ satisfies $\dot{\Psi} / \bar{N}=-H \Phi+\frac{1}{3} \kappa+\frac{1}{3} \triangle \chi$, where $\triangle \equiv \nabla^{2} / a^{2}$, $u$ generates the scalar velocity perturbation, and $\kappa$ and $\chi$ generate the trace and traceless part of $K_{i j}$ respectively. ]

2 In a flat FRW universe $(\Omega=1)$, in synchronous gauge (specifying metric perturbations with $h^{0 \mu}=0$ ), the perturbations of a multicomponent fluid obey the following evolution equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta_{N}^{\prime}+\left(1+w_{N}\right) i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{N}+\frac{1}{2}\left(1+w_{N}\right) h^{\prime}=0 \\
& \mathbf{v}_{N}^{\prime}+\left(1-3 w_{N}\right) \frac{a^{\prime}}{a} \mathbf{v}_{N}+\frac{w_{N}}{1+w_{N}} i \mathbf{k} \delta_{N}=0 \\
& h^{\prime \prime}+\frac{a^{\prime}}{a} h^{\prime}+3\left(\frac{a^{\prime}}{a}\right)^{2} \sum_{N}\left(1+3 w_{N}\right) \Omega_{N} \delta_{N}=0
\end{align*}
$$

where $\delta_{N}$ is the density perturbation, $\Omega_{N}$ is the fractional density, $\mathbf{v}_{N}$ is the velocity and $P_{N}=w_{N} \rho_{N}$ is the equation of state of the $N$ th fluid component, and $\mathbf{k}$ is the comoving wavevector $(k=|\mathbf{k}|), h$ is the trace of the metric perturbation and primes denote differentiation with respect to conformal time $\tau(d \tau=d t / a)$.
(i) Suppose that the universe is composed of two components, (comoving) cold dark matter $\rho_{\mathrm{C}}$ with no pressure $\left(P_{C}=0\right)$ and a radiation fluid $\rho_{R}$ with equation of state $P_{R}=\rho_{R} / 3$. Show that the coupled matter-radiation equations arising from ( $\dagger$ ) become

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta_{C}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{a^{\prime}}{a} \delta_{C}^{\prime}-\frac{3}{2}\left(\frac{a^{\prime}}{a}\right)^{2}\left(\Omega_{C} \delta_{C}+2 \Omega_{R} \delta_{R}\right)=0 \\
& \delta_{R}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{3} k^{2} \delta_{R}-\frac{4}{3} \delta_{C}^{\prime \prime}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider times well before equal matter-radiation (i.e. $\tau \ll \tau_{\text {eq }}$ when $\rho_{R}=\rho_{C}$ ), to find approximate growing mode solutions for matter and radiation density perturbations which are initially adiabatic:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta_{C}=A \tau^{2}=\frac{3}{4} \delta_{R}, \quad \text { for } \tau \ll 2 \pi / k \\
& \delta_{C} \approx B \ln \tau, \quad \delta_{R} \approx C \cos (k \tau / \sqrt{3})+D \sin (k \tau / \sqrt{3}), \quad \text { for } \quad \tau \gg 2 \pi / k
\end{aligned}
$$

where $A, B, C, D$ are functions of the wavevector $\mathbf{k}$ only. Briefly comment on the implications of these solutions for large-scale structure formation.
(ii) Now consider another flat FRW model in which the late universe is dominated by a non-relativistic fluid component $\rho_{\mathrm{m}}$ well after matter-radiation equality at $t_{\mathrm{eq}}$. With the non-relativistic pressure satisfying $P_{m}=w_{m} \rho_{m} \ll \rho_{m}$ ( $w_{m}$ const.), use the evolution equations $(\dagger)$ to derive the perturbation equation for $\delta_{m}$ :

$$
\ddot{\delta}_{m}+2 \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \dot{\delta}_{m}-\left[4 \pi G \bar{\rho}_{m}-c_{s}^{2} k^{2} / a^{2}\right] \delta_{m}=0
$$

where the sound speed is $c_{\mathrm{s}}^{2} \equiv d P / d \rho$, here with $w_{m}=c_{s}^{2}$, and dots denote differentiation with respect to cosmic time $t$.

Assume that this perturbation equation $(\ddagger)$ is also valid for a polytropic fluid with an equation of state $P_{m} \propto \rho_{m}^{4 / 3}$, that is, for a non-constant sound speed $c_{s}^{2}$. Find explicit growing and decaying solutions for the density perturbation $\delta_{m}$ in the matter era $t \gg t_{\text {eq }}$. Define the Jeans length $\lambda_{\mathrm{J}}$ for this fluid and use it to interpret the behaviour of your growing mode solution in different wavelength regimes.

3 (i) Consider a photon with four-momentum $p^{\mu}\left(p_{\mu} p^{\mu}=0\right)$ propagating in a perturbed FRW universe (flat $\Omega=1$ ) with line element

$$
d s^{2}=a^{2}(\tau)\left[-d \tau^{2}+\left(\delta_{i j}+h_{i j}\right) d x^{i} d x^{j}\right],
$$

where $\mathbf{k}$ is the comoving wavenumber and $\hat{k}^{i}=k^{i} /|\mathbf{k}|$. A comoving observer with fourvelocity $u^{\mu}=a^{-1}(1,0,0,0)$ measures the photon energy to be $E=-u_{\mu} p^{\mu}=a p^{0} \equiv q / a$ where $q$ is the comoving momentum. Use the geodesic equation $\frac{d p^{\mu}}{d \lambda}+\Gamma_{\nu \sigma}^{\mu} p^{\nu} p^{\sigma}=0$ to show that along a photon trajectory in (unit) direction $\hat{n}^{i}$ we have to linear order

$$
\frac{d q}{d \tau}=-\frac{1}{2} q h_{i j}^{\prime} \hat{n}^{i} \hat{n}^{j}, \quad \frac{d \hat{n}^{i}}{d \tau}=\mathcal{O}\left(h_{i j}\right) .
$$

[Hint: You may assume that $\Gamma_{00}^{0}=\frac{a^{\prime}}{a}, \quad \Gamma_{0 i}^{0}=0, \Gamma_{i j}^{0}=\frac{a^{\prime}}{a}\left(\delta_{i j}+h_{i j}\right)+\frac{1}{2} h_{i j}^{\prime}, \quad \Gamma_{0 j}^{i}=$ $\frac{a^{\prime}}{a} \delta_{i j}+\frac{1}{2} h_{i j}^{\prime}$ and $\left.\Gamma_{j k}^{i}=\frac{1}{2}\left(h_{i j, k}+h_{i k, j}-h_{j k, i}\right).\right]$
(ii) Assume that the photon brightness function $\Delta\left(x^{i}, \hat{n}^{i}, \tau\right) \equiv 4 \Delta T / T$ satisfies the collisionless Boltzmann equation which in Fourier space is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta^{\prime}+i k \mu \Delta=-2 h_{i j}^{\prime} \hat{n}^{i} \hat{n}^{j}=-\frac{4}{3}\left[\frac{1}{2} h^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2}\left(3 \mu^{2}-1\right) h_{\mathrm{s}}^{\prime}\right] \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu=\hat{\mathbf{k}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}$ and $h$ and $h_{\text {s }}$ are the scalar trace and anisotropic scalar metric perturbations respectively.

Argue that if the photon fluid is in equilibrium for $\tau \leq \tau_{\text {dec }}$, we may approximate its initial conditions at photon decoupling by

$$
\Delta\left(\mathbf{k}, \mu, \tau_{\text {dec }}\right)=\delta_{\gamma}\left(\tau_{\text {dec }}\right)+4 \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{v}\left(\tau_{\text {dec }}\right),
$$

that is, briefly justify why the higher order moments $\Delta_{\ell} \approx 0(\ell \geq 2)$ can be neglected.
Hence, assuming instantaneous decoupling, integrate (*) from decoupling $\tau_{\text {dec }}$ to today $\tau_{0}$ to find the Sachs-Wolfe formula for the CMB temperature anisotropy seen at position $\mathbf{x}$ in a direction $\mathbf{n}$ :

$$
\frac{\Delta T}{T}\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n}, \tau_{0}\right)=\frac{1}{4} \delta_{\gamma}\left(\tau_{\text {dec }}\right)+\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{v}\left(\tau_{\text {dec }}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\tau_{\text {dec }}}^{\tau_{0}} d \tau h_{i j}^{\prime} \hat{n}^{i} \hat{n}^{j} .
$$

Explain the meaning of each term in the formula ( $\dagger$ ), and describe the length scales on which these contributions are important.
(iii) In Fourier space, integrate the Sachs-Wolfe formula ( $\dagger$ ) by parts (using the right hand side of $(*))$ to bring it to the following form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\Delta T}{T}\left(\mathbf{k}, \mu, \tau_{0}\right)= & {\left[\frac{1}{4} \delta_{\gamma}+\frac{3 i \mu}{4 k} \delta_{\gamma}^{\prime}-\frac{i \mu}{2 k}\left(h^{\prime}-h_{\mathrm{s}}^{\prime}\right)-\frac{h_{\mathrm{s}}^{\prime \prime}}{2 k^{2}}\right] e^{-i k \mu\left(\tau_{0}-\tau_{\mathrm{dec}}\right)} } \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\tau_{\mathrm{dec}}}^{\tau_{0}} d \tau e^{i k \mu\left(\tau-\tau_{0}\right)}\left[\frac{1}{6}\left(h^{\prime}-h_{\mathrm{s}}^{\prime}\right)-\frac{h_{\mathrm{s}}^{\prime \prime \prime}}{2 k^{2}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

[You may assume the equation for the photon density perturbation $\delta_{\gamma}^{\prime}+\frac{4}{3} i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v}+\frac{2}{3} h^{\prime}=0$.]
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