



2010 Mandarin (Simplified)

Higher Listening/Writing

Finalised Marking Instructions

© Scottish Qualifications Authority 2010

The information in this publication may be reproduced to support SQA qualifications only on a non-commercial basis. If it is to be used for any other purposes written permission must be obtained from the External Print Team, Centre Services, Dalkeith.

Where the publication includes materials from sources other than SQA (secondary copyright), this material should only be reproduced for the purposes of examination or assessment. If it needs to be reproduced for any other purpose it is the centre's responsibility to obtain the necessary copyright clearance. SQA's External Print Team, Centre Services, at Dalkeith may be able to direct you to the secondary sources.

These Marking Instructions have been prepared by Examination Teams for use by SQA Appointed Markers when marking External Course Assessments. This publication must not be reproduced for commercial or trade purposes.

2010 Mandarin (Simplified)
Higher – Listening/Writing

Questions/Acceptable answers		Unacceptable answers	Irrelevant/Insufficient
Wang Li talks about her life at university in Scotland.			
1.	(a) When did Wang Li come to Scotland?	1 mark	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Three years ago		
	(b) What is she studying at university?	1 mark	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• (International) Economics/Business	National International Administration	

Questions/Acceptable answers		Unacceptable answers	Irrelevant/Insufficient
<p>2. Why does she feel she has more freedom in Scotland? Mention three things.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Restrictions living with parents/her parents control her life • Has to get up every morning at 6 o'clock/am • (If she goes out with friends), she is not allowed to stay out late 	<p>3 marks</p>		<p>her parents don't bother her</p>

Questions/Acceptable answers			Unacceptable answers	Irrelevant/Insufficient
3.	(a)	Where do her three flatmates come from? • France, Scotland and China		
	(b)	Why does she think she is lucky? • They look after her/take care of her (as she is the youngest)		protect her

Questions/Acceptable answers		Unacceptable answers	Irrelevant/Insufficient
<p>4. According to Wang Li, what are the benefits of sharing a flat? Mention any three things.</p> <p>3 marks</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Rent</u> is cheap(er)/everyone contributes to paying bills • She has someone to talk to/communicate with • Someone will <u>help</u> her if she has a problem/has difficulties 			<p>socialise with</p> <p>you can ask for help easily</p>

Questions/Acceptable answers		Unacceptable answers	Irrelevant/Insufficient
<p>5. What does she find annoying about sharing a flat?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Have to queue for toilet • Some people don't wash their dishes 	2 marks		only one bathroom/toilet

Questions/Acceptable answers			Unacceptable answers	Irrelevant/Insufficient
6.	(a)	What sort of accommodation do Chinese universities provide? • Dormitories/for 6 students/small		
	(b)	Why do Chinese students like eating in the university canteen? • Variety of canteens • Not expensive/cheap		

Questions/Acceptable answers			Unacceptable answers	Irrelevant/Insufficient
7.	(a)	Why did Wang Li not like Scottish food at first? • Only got potatoes <u>and</u> bread		
	(b)	Why does she find cooking for herself difficult? • Her parents cooked for her/made meals in China/she has had to learn to cook		she hasn't/never cooked before
	(c)	According to Wang Li, what is the problem with Western food? • It makes you put on weight		

Questions/Acceptable answers	Unacceptable answers	Irrelevant/Insufficient
<p>8. What does she say about swimming?</p> <p>(a) in China? 1 mark</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In China you can swim outdoors <u>in summer</u> <p>(b) in Scotland? 1 mark</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Has to go to an indoor swimming pool here 		

Higher Writing

Task: Short essay

Assessment Process: • With reference to *Content, Accuracy and Language Resource*, assess the overall quality of the response and allocate it to a pegged mark.

Category	Mark	Content	Accuracy	Language Resource – Variety, Range, Structures
Very Good	10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The topic is covered fully, in a balanced way, including a number of complex sentences. Some candidates may also provide additional information. A wide range of verbs/verb forms and constructions is used. Overall this comes over as a competent, well thought-out response to the task which reads naturally. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The candidate handles all aspects of grammar and writing of characters accurately, although the language may contain some minor errors or even one more serious error. Where the candidate attempts to use language more appropriate to post-Higher, a slightly higher number of inaccuracies need not detract from the overall very good impression. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The candidate is comfortable with almost all the grammar used and generally uses a different verb in each sentence. There is good use of a variety of adjectives, adverbs and prepositional phrases. The candidate used conjunctions throughout the writing. The language flows well.
Good	8	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The topic is addressed, generally quite fully, and some complex sentences may be included. The candidate uses a reasonable range of verbs/verb forms and other constructions. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The candidate generally handles verbs and adjectives accurately but simply. There may be some errors in characters. Where the candidate is attempting to use more complex characters and structures, these may be less successful, although basic structures are used accurately. There may be minor misuse of dictionary. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> There may be less variety in the verbs used. Most of the more complex sentences use conjunctions. At times the language may be more basic than might otherwise be expected at this level. Overall the writing will be competent, mainly correct, but pedestrian.

Category	Mark	Content	Accuracy	Language Resource – Variety, Range, Structures
Satisfactory	6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The candidate uses mainly simple, more basic sentences. The language is perhaps repetitive and uses a limited range of verbs and fixed phrases not appropriate to this level. The topic may not be fully addressed. In some cases, the content may be similar to that of good or very good examples, but with some serious accuracy issues. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The verbs are generally correct, but basic. Some prepositions may be inaccurate or omitted. While the language may be reasonably accurate at times, the language structure may deteriorate significantly in places. Overall, there is more correct than incorrect and there is the impression overall that the candidate can handle tenses. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A limited range of verbs is used. Candidate relies on a limited range of vocabulary and structures. Where the candidate attempts constructions with modal verbs, these are not always successful. Sentences may be basic and mainly brief. There is minimal use of adjectives. There may be several character errors.
Unsatisfactory	4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In some cases the content may be basic. In other cases there may be little difference in content between Satisfactory and Unsatisfactory. The language is repetitive, with undue reliance on fixed phrases and a limited range of common basic verbs such as <i>to be</i>, <i>to have</i>, <i>to play</i>, <i>to watch</i>. While the language used to address the more predictable aspects of the task may be accurate, serious errors occur when the candidate attempts to address a less predictable aspect. The Personal Response may be presented as a single paragraph. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Several errors are serious. There may be one sentence which is not intelligible to a sympathetic native speaker. Overall, there is more incorrect than correct. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The candidate copes mainly only with predictable language. There is inconsistency in the use of various expressions, especially verbs. Sentences are more basic. An English word or Pinyin may appear in the writing or a character may be omitted. There may be an example of serious dictionary misuse.

Category	Mark	Content	Accuracy	Language Resource – Variety, Range, Structures
Poor	2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The content and language may be very basic. However, in many cases the content may be little different from that expected at Unsatisfactory or even at Satisfactory. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Prepositions are not used correctly. The use of characters is probably inaccurate throughout the writing. Some sentences may not be understood by a sympathetic native speaker. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The candidate cannot cope with more than 1 or 2 basic verbs, frequently “has” and “is”. Verbs used more than once may be written differently on each occasion. The candidate has a very limited vocabulary. Several English words or Pinyin may appear in the writing. There are examples of serious dictionary misuse.
Very Poor	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The content is very basic. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> (Virtually) nothing is correct. Most of the errors are serious. Very little is intelligible to a sympathetic native speaker. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The candidate copes only with “have” and “am”. Very few characters are correctly written in the foreign language. English words or Pinyin are used extensively. There may be several examples of serious dictionary misuse.

What if....?	
the candidate exceeds the recommended word count?	This in itself need not be important, although it is important to be clear that it is possible to attain top marks, if the writing does not exceed 120 words. It is important to assess what has been written – sometimes by exceeding the word count the candidate’s control of the language deteriorates and this has to be reflected in the mark awarded.
the candidate has been asked to address a topic with two aspects but only addresses one of these?	In such a case the candidate is deemed to have not addressed the task fully. The quality of the language should be assessed and the writing should then be placed in the next category down, eg if the writing would otherwise have been awarded 8, it should instead be awarded 6.
some parts of the writing fit into one category but others are in the next, lower category?	If the better sections contain more sophisticated language, it may still be appropriate to choose the higher marks. However, if the better sections contain relatively basic constructions and attempts to use more sophisticated language are unsuccessful, then it is most likely that the writing is at the 6/4 interface and the Marking Criteria should be used to help the marker come to a final decision.
the marker is having great difficulty in deciding whether the writing is good enough to pass or not quite good enough to pass?	It is essential to consider carefully the accuracy of the verbs overall. If more verbs are correct than wrong, then it is likely that the candidate deserves to pass, unless there are many other inaccuracies in the writing.

[END OF MARKING INSTRUCTIONS]