

# 2012 Lifestyle & Consumer Technology Higher – Technological Project Finalised Marking Instructions

# © Scottish Qualifications Authority 2012

The information in this publication may be reproduced to support SQA qualifications only on a non-commercial basis. If it is to be used for any other purposes written permission must be obtained from SQA's NQ Delivery: Exam Operations.

Where the publication includes materials from sources other than SQA (secondary copyright), this material should only be reproduced for the purposes of examination or assessment. If it needs to be reproduced for any other purpose it is the centre's responsibility to obtain the necessary copyright clearance. SQA's NQ Delivery: Exam Operations may be able to direct you to the secondary sources.

These Marking Instructions have been prepared by Examination Teams for use by SQA Appointed Markers when marking External Course Assessments. This publication must not be reproduced for commercial or trade purposes.

## STEP 1 Total mark allocation – 22 marks

# 1:1 Identification of the key points with explanation – 6 marks available

The candidate should identify the 'core' key points – these are all the main key words of the Technological Project brief.

The number of 'core' key points which can be identified will depend on the wording of the Technological Project brief.

Candidates should number each key point identified.

# Identify the key points - 3 marks

Candidates who record all the 'core' key points.					
Candidates who record ½ or more, but not all the 'core' key points.	1 mark				
Candidates who record less than ½ the 'core' key points.	0 marks				

Candidates who provide an additional key point, other than those identified as 'core' will be awarded an additional **1 mark**.

# Basic and accurate explanation of key points – 2 marks

Marks are determined by the number of key points which have a basic and accurate explanation.

If all key points have a basic and accurate explanation.					
If ½ or more but not all of the key points have a basic and accurate explanation.	1 mark				
If less than ½ the key points have a basic and accurate explanation.	0 marks				

# Detailed and accurate explanation - 1 mark

Candidates who provide further accurate detail within the explanations will be awarded an additional mark. Extra detail means one additional point of explanation is provided for any one of the key or additional points.

# **Brief 1**

Develop a food or textile item to support a local charity.

## **Brief 1**

# **Key points**

- 1. develop
- 2. (a) food (or) textile
- 3. item
- 4. (to) support
- 5. (a) local
- 6. charity.

#### **Brief 2**

Develop a food or textile item to be sold at a health event.

## **Brief 2**

# **Key points**

- 1. develop
- 2. (a) food (or) textile
- 3. item
- 4. (to be) sold
- 5. (at a) health
- 6. event.

# Example of basic accurate explanation of key points

**Develop** • create or devise ideas for a new item

# Example of further accurate detail in explanation of key points

- **Develop** create or devise ideas for a new item
  - make an item which is original or different to what is available at present

# Brief 1 – local charity Additional Key Points

- 7. Facilities/resources/time available
- 8. Aesthetic appeal
- 9. Skills/abilities
- 10. Hygiene
- 11. Safety
- 12. Likes/dislikes
- 13. Appeal to target group
- 14. Allergies
- 15. Money available/budget of target group
- 16. Quality/standard required for sale
- 17. Gender of target group
- 18. Age range of target group
- 19. Suitability for bulk production
- 20. Season of year/occasion
- 21. Current trends/fashion
- 22. Transportable
- 23. Nutritional needs of target group
- 24. Healthy eating/Scottish Dietary Targets/CDA

# Brief 2 – health event Additional Key Points

- 7. Facilities/resources/time available
- 8. Aesthetic appeal
- 9. Skills/abilities
- 10. Hygiene
- 11. Safety
- 12. Likes/dislikes
- 13. Appeal to target group
- 14. Allergies
- Money available/budget of target group
- 16. Quality/standard required for sale
- 17. Gender of target group
- 18. Age range of target group
- 19. Suitability for bulk production
- 20. Season of year/occasion
- 21. Current trends/fashion
- 22. Transportable
- 23. Nutritional needs of target group
- 24. Healthy eating/Scottish Dietary Targets/CDA

# 1:2 Draw up appropriate criteria for a specification – 10 marks available

# Candidate's specification allows for a range of possible solutions 1 mark

Specification allows for a range of possible solutions which are relevant to the brief	1 mark
If a range of solutions is not possible	0 marks

# Candidate provides five specification points, each containing more detail than the brief

2 marks

Note: Candidates are expected to provide a **minimum of five** specification points. However due to constraints of the time allocated for the Technological Project the candidates should not identify more than seven specification points as this would involve the candidate in unnecessary work.

Specification points must be **valid** (derived from the brief) to gain marks in this section. When drawing up the criteria for the specification candidates should not just rewrite the key points – greater detail is required.

Five <b>valid</b> specification points contain more detail than the brief.	2 marks
Three or four <b>valid</b> specification points contain more detail than the brief.	
Less than three <b>valid</b> specification points contain more detail than the brief.	0 marks

# Candidate has written all specification points in measurable/able to be tested terms

2 marks

Candidates must indicate how each specification point should be able to be measured/tested by a valid method.

All specification points are measurable/tested.	2 marks
½ or more, but not all specification points are measurable/tested.	1 mark
Less than ½ the specification points are measurable/tested.	0 marks

# Candidate has linked each specification point to the key points and additional key point(s)

2 marks

Candidates must show that each specification point is linked to the key points and additional key points identified in Step 1 : 1. All key points should be covered.

Specification points are linked to all key points and additional key points.	
Specification points are linked to ½ or more, but not all key points and additional key points.	
Specification points are linked to less than ½ the key points and additional key points.	0 marks

# **Candidate provides basic explanations**

2 marks

Basic explanations of the specification points, relevant to the project brief, should be provided by the candidate.

Basic explanations are provided for all specification points.				
Basic explanations are provided for ½ or more, but not all specification points.	1 mark			
Basic explanations are provided for less than ½ the specification points.	0 marks			

# Candidate provides detailed explanation

1 mark

If further detail, relevant to the project brief, is provided within the explanation then an additional mark will be awarded. Extra detail means one additional point if explanation is provided for any one of the specification points.

	Step 1.2 Specification Brief 1 – local charity						
Iten	Item must:		Measured by:	Ide	entified expert:		
1	•	be edible/be a fabric product/solution	<ul><li>Interview</li><li>Component checklist/recipe analysis</li></ul>	•	Food/textile technologist		
2	•	be suitable to be sold (locally)	<ul><li> Questionnaire</li><li> Interview</li><li> Internet/literary search</li></ul>	•	Food/textile technologist Retailer Charity staff Target group		
3	•	be suitable for the charity	<ul><li>Questionnaire</li><li>Interview</li><li>Internet/literary search</li></ul>	•	Charity staff Retailer Food/textile technologist		
4	•	take account of likes/dislikes of target group	<ul><li>Questionnaire</li><li>Sensory testing</li></ul>	•	Target group Food/textile technologist		
5	•	be new/different to other products/be original	<ul><li>Survey of charity items/ shops</li><li>Literary/Internet search</li><li>Interview</li></ul>	•	Retailer/charity staff/food/textile technologist/appropriate teacher		
6	•	be a single item/ product	Interview	•	Food/textile technologist/ retailer/charity staff		
7	•	be comparable in cost to other charity items	<ul><li>Costing exercise &amp; price check/comparison</li><li>Interview</li></ul>	•	Food/textile technologist/ retailer/charity worker		
8	•	be cost effective to produce/be good value for money	Costing exercise & interview	•	Food/textile technologist/ retailer/charity worker/target group		
9	•	be within the budget of the target group/charity	<ul><li>Costing exercise &amp; questionnaire</li><li>Costing exercise &amp; interview</li></ul>	•	Target group Food/textile technologist/ retailer/charity worker		
10	•	take account of healthy eating/SDT/current dietary targets	Interview	•	Food technologist/dietician/ health professional		
11	•	be nutritionally suitable for target group	<ul><li>Nutritional analysis &amp; check</li><li>Interview</li></ul>	•	Food technologist/dietician/ health professional		
12	•	be of an acceptable/ satisfactory standard	<ul><li>Interview</li><li>Sensory testing</li><li>Quality checklist &amp; interview</li></ul>	•	Food/textile technologist/ Environmental Health Officer/ target group/retailer/charity		
13	•	be aesthetically pleasing to target group/recipient	<ul><li> Questionnaire</li><li> Sensory testing</li><li> Interview</li></ul>	•	Target group Food/textile technologist		
14	•	take account of current trends/fashion	<ul><li>Interview</li><li>Questionnaire</li></ul>	•	Retailer/food/textile technologist/charity staff Target group		
15	•	be suitable for the season/time of year	<ul><li>Interview</li><li>Questionnaire</li><li>Literary/Internet search</li></ul>	•	Retailer/food/textile technologist/charity staff Target group		
16	•	be made using the facilities/resources/time available to the candidate	<ul> <li>Interview</li> <li>Checklist of facilities/ component checklist</li> <li>Timed trial of prototype &amp; interview</li> </ul>	•	Food/textile technologist		
17	•	be within the capabilities/skills of the candidate	<ul><li>Trial of prototype</li><li>Interview</li><li>Skills analysis</li></ul>	•	Food/textile technologist		

Item	Item must:		nust: Measured by:		lde	Identified expert:	
18	•	be made using the facilities/resources/time available to the charity	•	Timed trial of prototype & interview Interview	•	Food/textile technologist Charity staff	
19	•	be within the capabilities/skills of the charity	•	Trial of prototype Interview Skills analysis & interview	•	Food/textile technologist/charity staff	
20	•	be prepared under hygienic/safe conditions/be safe to eat/use	•	Interview Quality checklist & interview	•	Food/textile technologist/ Environmental Health Officer	
21	•	take account of allergies	•	Interview	•	Food/textile technologist/health professional/dietician	
22	•	be easy to prepare/ cook/reheat/portion/ serve	•	Interview Skills analysis & check	•	Food/textile technologist/ Environmental Health Officer/retailer/charity staff	
23	•	be suitable to be made in advance	•	Interview	•	Food/textile technologist	
24	•	have an appropriate shelf life	•	Interview	•	Food/textile technologist/ Environmental Health Officer	
25	•	be suitable for mass production	•	Interview	•	Food/textile technologist/charity staff	
26	•	be able to be laundered/be easy to care for	•	Interview User/wearer trials of prototype	•	Textile technologist/charity staff Target group	
27	•	be easy to transport	•	Interview	•	Food/textile technologist/target group/charity staff	
28	•	take account of religious/moral/ethnic beliefs	•	Interview	•	Food/textile technologist/ dietician	

# Note:

• A food/textile technologist could include a person working in food/textile product development or a Home Economics teacher.

# NB • Specification Points

- It must be checked that the specification points are different.
- A candidate may use different wording to state the same thing.
- Measured by
- The candidate must specify the term 'expert' if used.

Method of measuring must be able to check/assess whether the specification point has been met.

# Basic explanation of specification point

- be colourful/attractive/appealing to target group
- so the target group will like them

# **Detailed explanation of specification point**

- be colourful/attractive/appealing to target group
- to encourage the target group or consumer to try the product again

	Step 1.2 Specification							
	Brief 2 – health event							
Item				easured by:	lde	entified expert:		
1	•	be edible/be a fabric solution/product	•	Interview Component checklist/recipe analysis	•	Food/textile technologist		
2	•	be suitable standard for sale	•	Interview Internet/literary search	•	Retailer/food/textile technologist		
3	•	be suitable for a health event	•	Interview	•	Food/textile technologist/health professional/Environmental Health Officer/Trading Standards Officer		
4	•	be (nutritionally) suitable for target group	•	Nutritional analysis & check Interview	•	Food technologist/dietician/ health professional		
5	•	be healthy/take account of current dietary targets	•	Interview	•	Food technologist/dietician/ health professional		
6	•	be different to other products/be original	•	Interview	•	Food/textile technologist/ retailer/event organiser		
7	•	be a single item/ product	•	Questionnaire/interview	•	Food/textile technologist/ retailer/event organiser		
8	•	be cost effective to produce/be good value for money	•	Costing exercise & interview Costing exercise & questionnaire	•	Food/textile technologist/ retailer/event organiser Target group		
9	•	be within the budget of the target group	•	Costing exercise & interview Costing exercise & questionnaire	•	Food/textile technologist/ retailer/event organiser Target group		
10	•	be comparable in cost to other items	•	Costing exercise & price check comparison Costing exercise & interview	•	Food/textile technologist/ retailer/event organiser		
11	•	be aesthetically pleasing to target group	•	Questionnaire Sensory testing Interview	•	Target group Food/textile technologist/ retailer/event organiser		
12	•	take account of likes/dislikes of target group	•	Questionnaire Sensory testing Interview	•	Target group Food/textile technologist/ retailer/event organiser		
13	•	be safe for target group	•	Questionnaire/interview	•	Target group/food/textile technologist/retailer/event organiser		
14	•	take account of current trends/fashion	•	Interview Questionnaire	•	Food/textile technologist/ retailer/event organiser Target group		
15	•	be suitable for the season/time of year	•	Interview	•	Food/textile technologist/ retailer/event organiser		
16	•	be made using the facilities/resources/time available to the candidate	•	Interview Checklist of facilities/ component checklist	•	Food/textile technologist		
17	•	be within the skills/capabilities of the candidate	•	Trial of prototype Time plan/checklist Interview Skills checklist	•	Food/textile technologist		

Item	n must:	Measured by:	Identified expert:
18	<ul> <li>be made using the facilities/resources/time available to the retailer</li> </ul>	<ul><li>Interview</li><li>Checklist of facilities/ component checklist</li></ul>	Food/textile technologist/retailer
19	be within the capabilities of the retailer	<ul> <li>Trial of prototype</li> <li>Time plan/checklist</li> <li>Interview</li> <li>Skills checklist &amp; interview</li> </ul>	Food/textile technologist/retailer
20	be suitable to be made in advance	Interview	Food/textile technologist
21	have an appropriate shelf life	Interview	Food technologist/     Environmental Health Officer/     retailer/chef
22	<ul> <li>be suitable for bulk production</li> </ul>	Interview	Food/textile technologist/ retailer/chef
23	be able to be laundered/be easy to care for	<ul><li>Interview</li><li>User/wearer trials of prototype</li></ul>	<ul><li>Textile technologist/retailer</li><li>Target group</li></ul>
24	<ul> <li>take account of religious/moral/ethical beliefs</li> </ul>	<ul><li>Interview</li><li>Questionnaire</li></ul>	<ul><li>Food/textile technologist/ appropriate teacher</li><li>Target group/retailer</li></ul>
25	take account of allergies	Interview	Food/textile technologist/ appropriate teacher/health professional/dietician

#### Note:

 A food/textile technologist could include a person working in food/textile product development or a Home Economics teacher.

# NB • Specification Points

- It must be checked that the specification points are different.
- A candidate may use different wording to state the same thing.
- Measured by
- The candidate must specify the term 'expert' if used.

Method of measuring must be able to check/assess whether the specification point has been met.

# **Basic explanation of specification point**

- be colourful/attractive/appealing to target group
- so the target group will like them

# **Detailed explanation of specification point**

- be colourful/attractive/appealing to target group
- to encourage the target group or consumer to try the product again

# 1:3 Devise an overall plan for investigations – 6 marks available

# Candidate presents a list of investigations (minimum five)

2 marks

Candidates who provide a list of possible investigations which focus clearly on

- the core key points of the project brief
- the candidates specification points
- have a clear aim/purpose

will be awarded 2 marks.

Candidates who provide a list of investigations which do not focus clearly on the key points and the specification will be awarded **1 mark**.

Obvious omissions from the list of investigations will result in marks being deducted.

# Candidate identifies techniques to be used

2 marks

All techniques must be appropriate for the investigations and so allow the candidate the possibility of collecting relevant data/information.

Where techniques are not consistently appropriate, candidates will be awarded **1 mark**.

# Candidate justifies the need for the investigation

2 marks

All justifications must be

- well thought out
- linked to the investigation.

Lack of clarity within the justification will result in candidates being unable to gain the full mark allocation available.

From the proposed list of investigations drawn up in 1 : 3 above, candidates should form a prioritised list of those investigations which they propose to undertake.

No marks are awarded at this stage but candidates are expected to focus on those investigations most relevant to the needs of the project brief. A number of investigations may be combined by using one technique.

No more than 3 investigations depending on their nature, could be realistically carried out in the time available. The three investigations identified should ensure that all specification points are investigated. Candidates will be disadvantaged if they do less than 3 as they will not have collected sufficient data to create a valid solution.

Candidates who intend to use a questionnaire as an investigation must issue a minimum of 20 in order to gain valid results. If, however, too many questionnaires are distributed, collecting the data may become problematic for candidates.

A minimum of 3 websites/literary sources should be evident in a **literary/internet search.** 

Candidates should complete this work on pages 9 – 11 of the pro forma.

	Step 1.3					
		- local charity ation – to establish/find	Technique:	Sn	pecified expert:	
		stigate	recinique.	J	becilied expert.	
1	•	range of ingredients/ component/textiles	<ul> <li>Interview</li> <li>Literary/Internet search</li> <li>Survey of food/fabric retail outlets</li> </ul>	•	Food/textile technologist/chef/ charity staff	
2	•	potential items using ingredients/ components/textiles	<ul><li>Literary/Internet/recipe search</li><li>Interview</li></ul>	•	Food/textile technologist/chef/ charity staff/target group/ potential buyer	
3	•	types of items to support the charity	<ul><li>Internet search/survey of charity shops</li><li>Interview</li></ul>	•	Food/textile technologist/ charity staff/retailer	
4	•	types of (local) charities	<ul><li>Internet search/survey of local shops</li><li>Interview</li></ul>	•	Food technologist/appropriate teacher/charity staff	
5	•	ways of supporting (local) charity	<ul><li>Internet search/survey of local shops</li><li>Interview</li></ul>	•	Charity staff/food/textile technologist/appropriate teacher	
6	•	current range of food/textile items which support (local) charities	<ul><li>Survey of retail outlets</li><li>Interview</li><li>Internet search</li><li>Questionnaire</li></ul>	•	Food/textile technologist/ retailers/target group Charity staff	
7	•	suitability for target group	Interview	•	Food/textile technologist/target group	
8	•	nutritionally suitable for target group	Interview	•	Food technologist/dietician/ health professional	
9	•	healthy/current dietary advice	Interview	•	Food technologist/dietician/ health professional	
10	•	ideas for potential solutions	<ul> <li>Survey of local charities</li> <li>Literary/Internet/recipe/ pattern search</li> <li>Interview</li> <li>Trial of prototype</li> <li>Sensory testing</li> </ul>	•	Food/textile technologist/worker in charity shop/target group	
11	•	aesthetic appeal of potential solutions	<ul><li>Sensory testing with target group</li><li>Interview/questionnaire</li></ul>	•	Target group Food/textile technologist/worker in charity shop/target group	
12	•	likes and dislikes of target group	<ul><li>Interview/questionnaire</li><li>Sensory testing</li></ul>	•	Target group/retailer/charity worker	
13	•	current trends/fashion	<ul><li>Interview/questionnaire</li><li>Survey of charities</li><li>Literary/Internet search</li></ul>	•	Food/textile technologist/charity worker	
14	•	price range of similar food/textile products in charity shop/on the market	<ul><li>Interview</li><li>Survey of current product range</li></ul>	•	Target group/food/textile technologist/retailer/charity worker	
15	•	cost of potential ingredients/solutions/textiles	<ul><li>Costing exercise</li><li>Internet/literary search</li></ul>			
16	•	budget/amount prepared to pay by target group	Interview/questionnaire	•	Target group/food/textile technologist/worker in charity shop	

Investigation – to establish/find		establish/find 1	Technique:	Sp	ecified expert:
out/investigate			ů .		
17	<ul> <li>care requi materials/i used</li> </ul>	rements of esources	T: 1 ( )	•	Food/textile technologist
18	safety in u	se •		•	Environmental Health Officer/ Trading Standards Officer/ food/textile technologist
19	<ul> <li>facilities/retimes avaited</li> <li>candidate</li> </ul>	esources/ lable to the	D / : /	•	Food/textile technologist
20	skills nece manufactu potential s the candid	ring the olution by	Skills audit & check with food/textile technologist	•	Food/textile technologist
21	available t	esources/time o the charity	Resource/equipment checklist	•	Food/textile technologist/ manufacturer/charity
22	skills nece manufactu potential s the charity	ring the olution by	Skills audit & check with food/textile technologist/ manufacturer	•	Food/textile technologist/ manufacturer/charity worker
23	quality req potential s	uirements of olutions	Interview Manufacture prototype(s) & trial Sensory testing	•	Food technologist/charity worker/target group/food/textile technologist
24	<ul> <li>shelf life o solutions</li> </ul>	f potential •	Interview	•	Food/textile technologist/charity workers
25	appropriat portion siz			•	Food technologist/dietician/chef
26	<ul> <li>suitability for production</li> </ul>	1	Interview	•	Food/textile technologist/chef/ charity staff
27	<ul> <li>influencing final produ</li> </ul>	g factors on enter		•	Food/textile technologist/chef/ charity staff/target group

# Note:

- The candidate **must** specify the term 'expert' if used
- A food/textile technologist could include a person working in food/textile product development or a Home Economics teacher
- Retailer outlet must be relevant to investigation and be specified

Step	o 1.3		
	f 2 – health event		
	estigation – to establish/find investigate	Technique:	Specified expert:
1	range of ingredients/ component/textiles	<ul><li>Interview</li><li>Literary/Internet search</li><li>Survey of food/fabric retail outlets</li></ul>	Food/textile technologist/chef
2	potential range of food/textile items (to promote health)	<ul><li>Literary/Internet/recipe search</li><li>Interview</li></ul>	Food/textile technologist/ target group
3	current range of food/textile items for sale at health events	Literary/Internet search	Food/textile technologist/ target group
4	suitability for target group	Interview	Food/textile technologist/ target group
5	suitable standard for sale (at a health event)	Interview	Event organiser/Trading     Standards Officer/     Environmental Health Officer/     food/textile technologist
6	types of health events	<ul><li>Survey of local events</li><li>Internet search</li><li>Interview</li></ul>	Health professional
7	ideas for potential solutions/potential solutions on the market	<ul> <li>Literary/Internet/recipe search</li> <li>Interview</li> <li>Trial of prototype</li> <li>Sensory testing with target group</li> </ul>	<ul><li>Food/textile technologist</li><li>Target group</li></ul>
8	aesthetic appeal of potential solutions	<ul><li>Sensory testing with target group</li><li>Interview</li></ul>	<ul><li>Target group</li><li>Food/textile technologist/target group</li></ul>
9	likes and dislikes of target group	<ul> <li>Interview/questionnaire with target group</li> </ul>	Target group
10	current trends/fashion	<ul> <li>Interview/questionnaire</li> <li>Survey of retail outlets used by target group</li> <li>Literary/Internet search</li> </ul>	Food/textile technologist/target group
11	price range of similar food/textile items	<ul><li>Interview</li><li>Survey of current product range</li></ul>	Target group/food/textile technologist
12	cost of potential ingredients/ components /solutions	<ul><li>Costing exercise</li><li>Literary/Internet search</li></ul>	
13	budget of target group/amount target group is prepared to pay	<ul> <li>Interview/questionnaire with target group/retailers</li> </ul>	Target group/retailers
14	care requirements of materials/resources used	<ul><li>Interview</li><li>Trial of prototype(s)</li></ul>	Food/textile technologist

<b>Investigation</b> – to establish/find out/investigate		Technique:	Specified expert:
15	safety in use/to eat (take account of allergies)	<ul><li>Interview</li><li>Literary/Internet search</li></ul>	Environmental Health     Officer/Trading Standards     Officer/food/textile technologist
16	facilities/resources/time available to the candidate	<ul><li>Interview</li><li>Resource/equipment checklist</li></ul>	Food/textile technologist
17	<ul> <li>skills necessary for manufacturing of the potential solution by the candidate</li> </ul>	<ul><li>Interview</li><li>Skills audit &amp; check</li></ul>	Food/textile technologist
18	facilities/resources/time available to the manufacturer	<ul><li>Interview</li><li>Resource/equipment checklist</li></ul>	Food/textile technologist/ manufacturer
19	<ul> <li>skills necessary for manufacturing of the potential solution by the manufacturer</li> </ul>	<ul><li>Interview</li><li>Skills audit &amp; check</li></ul>	Food/textile technologist/ manufacturer
20	hygiene/safety     requirements for     food/textile production	Interview	Food/textile technologist/     retailer/Environmental Health     Officer/Trading Standards     Officer
21	quality requirements of potential solutions	<ul> <li>Interview</li> <li>Manufacture of prototype(s) &amp; trial</li> <li>Sensory testing</li> <li>Questionnaire/interview with target group</li> </ul>	Food/textile technologist/ retailer/target group
22	shelf life of potential solutions	Interview	Food technologist/     Environmental Health Officer/     retailer
23	appropriate portion size	<ul><li>Interview</li><li>Literary/Internet/recipe search</li></ul>	Food technologist/     manufacturer/retailer/target     group
24	moral, ethical and religious beliefs	<ul><li>Interview</li><li>Literary/Internet/recipe search</li></ul>	Food technologist/     manufacturer/retailer/target     group/appropriate teacher
25	suitability for bulk production	Interview	Food/textile technologist/ manufacturer
26	influencing factors on final product	Interview	Food/textile technologist/target group/manufacturers

# Note:

- The candidate **must** specify the term 'expert' if used A food/textile technologist could include a person working in food/textile product development or a Home Economics teacher
- Retailer outlet must be relevant to investigation and be specified / A retailer may be a school canteen

# 2:1 Implement the overall plan for investigations – 12 marks available

The mark allocation for this area will be based on candidates' performance in a series of investigations.

Candidates will be assessed on the results and conclusions from each investigation – see the marking criteria breakdown listed on the next page.

Teachers/lecturers must ensure candidates present the results and conclusions of each investigation on pages 9 – 11 of the pro forma only.

Candidates using computer software to produce results eg bar charts or graphs must ensure that these are presented only on the pages allocated for this work ie pages 9 – 11 of the proforma.

Candidates who present the results and conclusions of each investigation on more than one A4 sheet of paper will be penalised.

See Appendix 1 for guidance on carrying out investigations/tests.

# Implement the overall plan for investigations

- Results must be brief, concise and easy to interpret
  Results must show a clear link to the aim/purpose of the investigation.
  Results must be derived from the investigations and based on facts and evidence
  Conclusions must be based on the results obtained

All investigations candidates have fulfilled the aims on page 8 of the pro forma	3 marks
$\ensuremath{\ensuremath{\%}}\xspace$ or more investigations candidates have fulfilled the aims on page 8 of the proforma	2 marks
Less than $\ensuremath{\mbox{\%}}$ investigations candidates have fulfilled the aims on page 8 of the proforma	1 mark
In no investigations candidates fulfilled the aims on page 8 of the pro forma	0 marks
	<u> </u>

All investigations contain brief/concise/easy to interpret results		
½ or more investigations contain brief/concise/easy to interpret results	2 marks	
Less than ½ investigations contain brief/concise/easy to interpret results	1 mark	
No investigations contain brief/concise/easy to interpret results	0 marks	

All results are based on fact/valid evidence/relevant to design brief		
1/2 or more of the results are based on fact/valid evidence/relevant to design brief	2 marks	
Less than ½ of the results are based on fact/valid evidence/relevant to design brief	1 mark	
No results are based on fact/valid evidence/relevant to design brief	0 marks	

$\ensuremath{\mathcal{V}}_2$ or more conclusions are based on the results of investigations and/or show progression	2 marks
Less than ½ conclusions are based on the results of investigations and/or show progression	
No conclusions are based on results of investigations and/or does not show progression	0 marks

# 2:2 Derive a solution from the investigations – 3 marks available

Generate one solution -2 marks (ie ONE item) NB it is extremely important that centres strictly adhere to this rule. No marks will be allocated for STEP 3 or STEP 4 if candidates generate more than one solution.

Candidates derive one solution which must

Be relevant to the needs of the project brief		
Be based on the results and conclusions reached in the investigations		
Describes the solution in detail	1 mark	

The solution should be described in detail so it is able to be visualised.

Various methods may be used eg— written details, recipes, sketches, diagrams, labelled diagrams, storyboards – to ensure clarity.

Brief 1: local charity

Possible solutions

Brief 2: health event
Possible solutions

Any food or textile item suitable to support a local charity

Any food or textile item suitable to be sold at a health event

NB Stop marking if more than one solution is given and refer technological project to the Principal Assessor.

## 3:1 Manufacture the chosen solution – 10 marks available

# Candidate completes the planned sequence of work

5 marks

Candidates must complete the plan **before** starting to manufacture the solution.

Candidates will be penalised if the plan is written retrospectively.

Candidates who draw up a sequence of work which <b>consistently</b> demonstrates effective deployment of time	5 marks
Candidates who draw up a sequence of work with <b>minor</b> lapses in the deployment of time	4 marks
Candidates who draw up a sequence of work with <b>occasional</b> lapses in the deployment of time	3 marks
Candidates who draw up a sequence of work with <b>regular</b> lapses in the deployment of time	2 marks
Candidates who draw up a sequence of work with <b>frequent</b> lapses in the deployment of time	1 mark
Candidate who submits a retrospective sequence of work	0 marks

Candidates may choose to present their sequence of work in an appropriate form eg table, chart, written details, flow chart. An indication of dates, times and details of the proposed work to be undertaken must demonstrate effective use of time by the candidate.

The sequence of work must show logical progression and allow the solution to be manufactured

Candidates' work must be completed on page 13 of the pro forma.

# Candidate identifies and requisitions equipment and resources 3 marks

Candidates who identify and requisition all resources and equipment	3 marks	
Candidates who identify and requisition most resources and equipment		
Candidates who omit any <b>obvious</b> resources and/or equipment		

Resources will depend on the chosen solution and may relate to food, equipment.

Note: all resources and equipment required for manufacture must be listed and take into account

- metric weights
- exact weights of foods used.
- exact types and colours of textiles/trimmings including sizes/dimensions

# Candidate consistently justifies effective deployment of equipment and resources

2 marks

Justification should relate to all the identified equipment and resources to gain full marks.

**After** completing the **plan** for manufacture, candidates should start to manufacture the solution.

Candidates should be encouraged to make notes on page 15 as they are carrying out the manufacturing process. Notes may be made on how manufacture is proceeding, any problems encountered and any changes/modifications made to the plan.

Photographic evidence of the candidates' work must be attached to page 16 of the proforma.

# Two photographs are required:

- one should provide evidence of the solution during manufacture.
- the other should provide evidence of the completed solution.

Although the quality of the photographs is not important, they **must** give an indication of the type of work being carried out and completed by the candidate.

Although no marks are awarded here, **photographic evidence must be provided** of the candidates' solution.

If photographic evidence is not provided, no further marking of the Technological Project will be carried out as no evidence has been provided on which to base the marking of the next stages of work.

#### Please note:

Page 16 of the electronic version of the pro forma has been set up to allow the electronic insertion of digital photographs.

## 3:2 Devise two tests for the manufactured solution – 3 marks available

# **Candidate presents two tests**

1 mark

Candidates should present **two** appropriate tests – failure to do this will result in no marks being awarded.

# Candidate identifies techniques to be used

1 mark

Two different techniques should be identified.

Techniques must be **appropriate** to the tests, allowing candidates to collect relevant data/information.

# Candidate justifies the two tests

1 mark

Justifications should be

- clear and well thought out
- linked to the test.

NB Candidates are expected to include any literary titles/authors, web addresses and the title of any person/expert interviewed. Candidates are expected to identify the target group which are used during testing.

# Briefs 1 & 2

Test		Technique	Justification	
1.	Examination by a specified expert eg food/textile technologist/health professional/retailer/charity staff	Interview/questionnaire with specified expert Sensory test with specified expert which is backed up by interview questions	<ul> <li>To check aesthetic qualities</li> <li>To check if item is marketable</li> <li>To check cost effectiveness /profitability of item</li> </ul>	
2.	Examination by target group	Interview/questionnaire/ discussion with target group Sensory testing with target group Observational checklist Wearer/user trial by target group	<ul> <li>To find out if item is acceptable/appropriate to target group</li> <li>To establish marketability</li> </ul>	
3.	Costing exercise	Costing exercise confirmed by interview with an expert, eg food/textile technologist/ retailer/charity worker/ health professional target group	<ul> <li>To establish whether item is cost effective to produce</li> <li>To establish a selling price for the finished item</li> </ul>	
4.	Nutritional analysis	Nutritional analysis and check interview with specified expert eg dietician/food technologist	To check/assess nutritional suitability for target group	
5.	Time trial of product/solution	Time trial & check with specified expert	To check if product/solution can be made in time available	

# 3:3 Implement the tests for the manufactured solution – 8 marks available (revised)

# Implement the overall plan for investigations

# Marking Criteria

- Results must be brief, concise and easy to interpret
- Results must show a link to the aim/purpose of the test
- Results must be derived from the tests and based on facts and evidence
- · Conclusions must be based on results obtained

For both tests – candidates have done as they intended from page 15		
For 1 test – candidates have done as they intended from page 15	1 mark	
No test – candidates have done as they intended from page 15	0 marks	

Both tests contain brief/concise/easy to interpret results.	2 marks
One test contains brief/concise/easy to interpret results.	1 mark
No test contains brief/concise/easy to interpret results.	0 marks

All results of tests are based on fact/valid evidence/relevant specification points/design brief.		
One result of tests is based on fact/valid evidence/relevant specification points/design brief.		
No results of tests are based on fact/valid evidence/relevant specification points/design brief.	to	0 marks

Conclusions for two tests are based on the results of tests and/or show progression	2 marks
Conclusions for one test are based on the results of tests and/or show progression	1 mark
No conclusions for the tests are based on results of tests and/or show no progression	0 marks

## 4:1 Evaluate the chosen solution – 6 marks available

# Candidate provides accurate evaluation some of which is detailed against the specification

5 marks

Candidates must rewrite (or copy and paste) the specification points in the appropriate column. Candidates must evaluate the solution against each specification point. Candidates should use the results of the investigations, manufacture and/or testing where appropriate.

Candidates who evaluate all or five specification points	5 marks
Candidates who evaluate <b>four</b> specification points	4 marks
Candidates who evaluate three specification points	3 marks
Candidates who evaluate <b>two</b> specification points	2 marks
Candidates who evaluate <b>one</b> specification point	1 mark

# Candidate provides detailed accurate evaluation against specification

1 mark

If further detail is provided within the evaluations then an additional mark will be awarded.

Extra detail means that one additional point of evaluation is provided for **any one** of the specification points.

# 4:2 Evaluate the Technological Project – 6 marks available

# Candidates evaluate the Technological Project: Step 1 Analysing, Step 2 Investigating and Step 3 Manufacturing and Testing

All of the following criteria must be used in the evaluation

- time
- resources
- skills and abilities.

# Marks will not be awarded to candidates who do not use these criteria in their evaluation.

The evaluation, which may include adaptations/modifications, **must be based on evidence** which can be found within the candidates' Technological Project pro forma eg from investigations, manufacture and/or testing.

In the evaluation the candidates should give an opinion based on facts from their Technological Project and then explain the consequences for the final solution.

Candidates should provide **two** points of evaluation for **each** step of the Technological Project.

One mark should be awarded for each point of evaluation. A minimum of one mark must come from each step evaluated.

Step 1	Analysing	2 marks
Step 2	Investigating	2 marks
Step 3	Manufacturing and Testing	2 marks

Pages 23 to 24 of the pro forma should be used for the evaluation.

Appendix 1
Higher Technological Project
Guidance on Carrying out Investigations/Tests

Three investigations and two tests must be carried out.

The aim, which should be linked to the candidates' specification, should be rewritten or cut and pasted from page 8 of the pro forma onto the top of the investigation page.

#### Questionnaire

- Minimum of 20 respondents.
- Minimum 5/8 relevant/valid questions linked to aim/specification to allow relevant data to be collected.
- Questions and all possible answers must be displayed.
- All responses must be displayed including nil responses.
- Given constraints of space, it is not necessary to display results as pie charts/graphs.
- Table format for displaying results of questionnaires can be space saving.

# Survey

- Must identify the source(s) of information.
- Source of information must be relevant to investigation.
- The following sources could be used including the Internet, literary, shop, restaurant/café as a source of information.
- The source of information should be identified.
- The place selected should be related to the quality and quantity of the data available rather than the number of sources however more than one source should be used.
- Information should be displayed using appropriate headings, sub-divisions etc.

#### **Interviews**

- Carefully consider the suitability of the person interviewed. Must clearly identify their position in establishment/job title.
- Minimum 5/8 relevant questions linked to aim/specification to allow relevant data to be collected.
- Open-ended questions should be used to allow more data to be collected from the interviewee.
- Questions should be carefully formatted to extract useful facts and avoid one word responses such as Yes/No.
- All questions and responses must be displayed.

# Internet/Literary search

- All sources must be clearly identified. Minimum of 3 internet/literary sources.
- Should be related to the quality/quantity/relevance of the data available rather than the number of sources.
- Graphics may be included where relevant.
- Data collected should be organised using appropriate headings/sub-divisions etc.
- Information should not be lifted 'en bloc' from websites. It is appropriate to summarise key points which are relevant to the aim/specification.

# Costing

- Breakdown cost of all ingredients/components must be included.
- Details of quantities and unit costs must be included.
- Sources should be included where appropriate.
- Comparative costing should measure 'like for like'.

NB Costing only proves cost of items/components. On its own it does not provide low/high cost, value for money, acceptability of price to target group.

# **Nutritional Analysis**

- Sources must be shown.
- All nutrients relevant to the aim should be shown.
- Nutritional analysis of all ingredients must be included. (A 'total' for a dish is not acceptable).
- Sufficient data must be accessed in order to draw relevant conclusions.
- When used as a test the suitability of the results should be assessed by a suitable expert eg community dietician, food technologist etc.

# **Fabric Analysis**

- There is no need to repeat fabric tests where information is already easily available in textbooks/websites.
- Fabrics used for testing must be clearly identified ie construction/fibre composition.
- Only fabrics being considered for potential solution should be tested/sampled/investigated towards final solution.
- Details of method testing must be given.

# **Sensory Testing**

- All potential solutions must be clearly described.
- Breakdown of results must be shown. Summary of results is not acceptable.
- Key must be provided.
- It is appropriate to ask questions to elicit potential improvements/modifications.
- It is suggested for sensory testing that a minimum of five people are used to assess the products.

# **Technological Project Higher**

# **Summary Mark Allocation**

# Total 70 marks available

Step	Mark Breakdown	Allocation
1.1	Identification of the key points with explanation	
	Identify the key points	2 marks
	Additional key points	1 mark
	Key points plus basic and accurate explanation	2 marks
	Key points plus detailed and accurate explanation	1 mark
		Total mark allocation 6
1.2	Draw up appropriate criteria for a specification	
	Allow for a range of possible solutions	1 mark
	Contain more detail than the brief	2 marks
	Be written in measurable/able to be tested terms	2 marks
	Link each specification point to the key points	2 marks
	Provide basic explanations	2 marks
	Provide detailed explanations	1 mark
		Total mark allocation 10
1.3	Devise an overall plan for investigations	
	Present a list of investigations	2 marks
	Identify techniques to be used	2 marks
	Justify the need for the investigations	2 marks
	,	Total mark allocation 6
	Total mark allocation for Step 1 — 22	marks
2.1	Implement the overall plan for investigations	
	Aims fulfilled	3 marks
	Brief, concise, easy to interpret	3 marks
	Relevant and valid results	3 marks
	Conclusions	3 marks
		Total mark allocation 12
2.2	Derive a solution from the investigations	
	Generate one solution – based on evidence	1 mark
	Relevant to brief	1 mark
	Describe the solution in detail	1 mark
		Total mark allocation 3
	Total mark allocation for Step 2 — 15	marks

Step	Mark Breakdown	Allocation	
3.1	Manufacture the chosen solution		
	Step by step sequence of work showing effective deployment of time Requisition of resources Justification of resources/equipment	5 marks 3 marks 2 marks Total mark allocation 10	
3.2	Devise two tests for the manufactured solution		
	Present two tests Identify techniques to be used Justify the two tests	1 mark 1 mark 1 mark Total mark allocation 3	
3.3	Implement the tests for manufactured solution		
	Aims fulfilled Brief, concise and easy to interpret Relevant and valid reasons Conclusions	2 marks 2 marks 2 marks 2 marks Total mark allocation 8	
	Total mark allocation for Step 3 — 21 mark	<b>(S</b>	
4.1	Evaluate the chosen solution		
	Accurate explanation some of which is detailed against <b>each</b> specification point (to include results of investigations and/or tests where appropriate)		
	Valid evaluations Provide detailed accurate explanation	5 marks 1 mark Total mark allocation 6	
4.2	Evaluate the Technological Project		
	Candidate can evaluate Steps 1-3 of the Technological Project with detailed reference to the following criteria:		
	Time Resources Skills/abilities		
	Step 1 Analysing Step 2 Investigating Step 3 Manufacturing and Testing	2 marks 2 marks 2 marks Total mark allocation 6	
	Total mark allocation for Step 4 — 12 marks		

[END OF MARKING INSTRUCTIONS]