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## 2012 Italian

Higher - Listening / Writing

## Marking Instructions

## Questions / Acceptable answers

Marco is talking to Anna who has just returned to Italy.

1. Anna had a terrible journey

What happened?

2 marks $|$\begin{tabular}{l}
Unacceptable answers <br>
$\mathbf{2}$ marks $\mid$ <br>

| Markers' notes |
| :--- |
| -She felt the lack of |
| her family' is |
| acceptable |
| 'Missed' is |
| necessary for two |
| marks | <br>

\end{tabular}

## Questions / Acceptable answers

3. Anna talks about her work
(a) What kind of job did she do

1 mark

- Interpreting / translating (documents and contracts)
(b) Why does she mention Rome


## Unacceptable answers

- She would like to
- She stayed with
- She is trying to get a job there
- She worked there
- Penalise wrong tense once only


## Questions / Acceptable answers

4. Anna explains how she ended up in Manchester.

What two reasons does she give for this?

- She found an advertisement in the newspaper (for an interpreter)
- The full-time job (was perfect for her)
- Her mother has friends there / Her mother's friends put her up
(any 2 from 3)

5. Anna says it was hard to settle down at first Which three difficulties does she mention

- It was difficult not seeing / she missed her boyfriend / friends
- It was difficult only speaking to her boyfriend / friends on the phone or computer
- She didn't know the city / town / Manchester
- She had to find somewhere to stay / She couldn't find somewhere to stay


## Unacceptable answers

- She worked for a newspaper
- She saw an advertisement in a magazine
- It was difficult not seeing her sons
- It was difficult leaving her boyfriend / friends
- She didn't know the area
- Penalise 'sons' once only


## Questions / Acceptable answers

6. Anna mentions why she decided to buy a flat.
(a) Why did she buy the flat? Give two reasons.

- She was earning well / She could afford it
- To have the freedom / independence to do what she wanted / She liked the freedom / independence
(b) What has she decided to do with it?
- She decided to rent it out (to two Italian students)
- She will sell it in three years' time


## Unacceptable answers

- $\quad$ She saved up a lot
- Freedom from father
- She feels free
- She feels the freedom to go
- She wanted her own space
- Ignore 'family/parents'
- 'More freedom' gets a mark


## Questions / Acceptable answers

7. Anna then talks about living with her parents.
(a) Why does she like this arrangement?

- She finds food ready for her
- She doesn't have to do any cleaning
(b) What does she say about her future plans


## 1 mark

- She starts / has found a job in September / in Rome $O R$ (She is going on) a holiday at the seaside /a holiday somewhere warm


## Unacceptable answers

- She always gets food early / straightaway / promptly
- She is going to get a job / look for a job

Total 20 marks

## Higher Writing

Task: Short essay
Assessment • With reference to Content, Accuracy and Language Resource, Process: assess the overall quality of the response and allocate it to a pegged mark.

| Category | Mark | Content | Accuracy | Language Resource - Variety, Range, Structures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Very Good | 10 | - The topic is covered fully, in a balanced way, including a number of complex sentences. <br> - Some candidates may also provide additional information. <br> - A wide range of verbs / verb forms and constructions is used. There may also be a variety of tenses. <br> - Overall this comes over as a competent, well thought-out response to the task which reads naturally. | - The candidate handles all aspects of grammar and spelling accurately, although the language may contain some minor errors or even one more serious error. <br> - Where the candidate attempts to use language more appropriate to post-Higher, a slightly higher number of inaccuracies need not detract from the overall very good impression. | - The candidate is comfortable with almost all the grammar used and generally uses a different verb or verb form in each sentence. <br> - There is good use of a variety of tenses, adjectives, adverbs and prepositional phrases and, where appropriate, word order. <br> - The candidate uses co-ordinating conjunctions and subordinate clauses throughout the writing. <br> - The language flows well. |
| Good | 8 | - The topic is addressed, generally quite fully, and some complex sentences may be included. <br> - The candidate uses a reasonable range of verbs / verb forms and other constructions. | - The candidate generally handles verbs and other parts of speech accurately but simply. <br> - There may be some errors in spelling, adjective endings and, where relevant, case endings. <br> - Use of accents may be less secure. <br> - Where the candidate is attempting to use more complex vocabulary and structures, these may be less successful, although basic structures are used accurately. <br> - There may be minor misuse of dictionary. | - There may be less variety in the verbs used. <br> - Most of the more complex sentences use co-ordinating conjunctions, and there may also be examples of subordinating conjunctions where appropriate. <br> - At times the language may be more basic than might otherwise be expected at this level. <br> - Overall the writing will be competent, mainly correct, but pedestrian. |


| Category | Mark | Content | Accuracy | Language Resource - Variety, Range, Structures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Satisfactory | 6 | - The candidate uses mainly simple, more basic sentences. <br> - The language is perhaps repetitive and uses a limited range of verbs and fixed phrases not appropriate to this level. <br> - The topic may not be fully addressed. <br> - In some cases, the content may be similar to that of good or very good examples, but with some serious accuracy issues. | - The verbs are generally correct, but basic. <br> - Tenses may be inconsistent. <br> - There are quite a few errors in other parts of speech - personal pronouns, gender of nouns, adjective endings, cases, singular / plural confusion - and in the use of accents. <br> - Some prepositions may be inaccurate or omitted eg I go the town. <br> - While the language may be reasonably accurate at times, the language structure may deteriorate significantly in places. <br> - Overall, there is more correct than incorrect and there is the impression overall that the candidate can handle tenses. | - The candidate copes with the present tense of most verbs. <br> - A limited range of verbs is used. <br> - Candidate relies on a limited range of vocabulary and structures. <br> - Where the candidate attempts constructions with modal verbs, these are not always successful. <br> - Sentences may be basic and mainly brief. <br> - There is minimal use of adjectives, probably mainly after "is" eg. My friend is reliable. <br> - The candidate has a weak knowledge of plurals. <br> - There may be several spelling errors eg reversal of vowel combinations. |


| Category | Mark | Content | Accuracy | Language Resource - Variety, Range, Structures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unsatisfactory | 4 | - In some cases the content may be basic. <br> - In other cases there may be little difference in content between Satisfactory and Unsatisfactory. <br> - The language is repetitive, with undue reliance on fixed phrases and a limited range of common basic verbs such as to be, to have, to play, to watch. <br> - While the language used to address the more predictable aspects of the task may be accurate, serious errors occur when the candidate attempts to address a less predictable aspect. <br> - The Personal Response may be presented as a single paragraph. | - Ability to form tenses is inconsistent. <br> - In the use of the perfect tense the auxiliary verb is omitted on a number of occasions. <br> - There may be confusion between the singular and plural form of verbs. <br> - There are errors in many other parts of speech - gender of nouns, cases, singular / plural confusion - and in spelling and, where appropriate, word order. <br> - Several errors are serious, perhaps showing mother tongue interference. <br> - There may be one sentence which is not intelligible to a sympathetic native speaker. <br> - Overall, there is more incorrect than correct. | - The candidate copes mainly only with predictable language. <br> - There is inconsistency in the use of various expressions, especially verbs. <br> - Sentences are more basic. <br> - An English word may appear in the writing or a word may be omitted. <br> - There may be an example of serious dictionary misuse. |


| Category | Mark | Content | Accuracy | Language Resource - Variety, Range, Structures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Poor | 2 | - The content and language may be very basic. <br> - However, in many cases the content may be little different from that expected at Unsatisfactory or even at Satisfactory. | - Many of the verbs are incorrect or even omitted. <br> - There are many errors in other parts of speech - personal pronouns, gender of nouns, adjective endings, cases, singular / plural confusion and in spelling and word order. <br> - Prepositions are not used correctly. <br> - The language is probably inaccurate throughout the writing. <br> - Some sentences may not be understood by a sympathetic native speaker. | - The candidate cannot cope with more than 1 or 2 basic verbs, frequently "has" and "is". <br> - Verbs used more than once may be written differently on each occasion. <br> - The candidate has a very limited vocabulary. <br> - Several English or "made-up" words may appear in the writing. <br> - There are examples of serious dictionary misuse. |
| Very Poor | 0 | - The content is very basic. | - (Virtually) nothing is correct. <br> - Most of the errors are serious. <br> - Very little is intelligible to a sympathetic native speaker. | - The candidate copes only with "have" and "am". <br> - Very few words are correctly written in the foreign language. <br> - English words are used. <br> - There may be several examples of mother tongue interference. <br> - There may be several examples of serious dictionary misuse. |

[^0]| What if....? | This in itself need not be important, although it is important to be clear <br> that it is possible to attain top marks, if the writing does not exceed 150 <br> words. It is important to assess what has been written - sometimes by <br> exceeding the word count the candidate's control of the language <br> deteriorates and this has to be reflected in the mark awarded. |
| :--- | :--- |
| the candidate exceeds the recommended word count? | In such a case the candidate is deemed to have not addressed the task <br> fully. The quality of the language should be assessed and the writing <br> should then be placed in the next category down, eg if the writing would <br> otherwise have been awarded 8, it should instead be awarded 6. |
| the candidate has been asked to address a topic with two aspects but <br> only addresses one of these? | If the better sections contain more sophisticated language, it may still be <br> appropriate to choose the higher marks. However, if the better sections <br> contain relatively basic constructions and attempts to use more <br> sophisticated language are unsuccessful, then it is most likely that the <br> writing is at the 6 / 4 interface and the Marking Criteria should be used to <br> help the marker come to a final decision. |
| some parts of the writing fit into one category but others are in the next, <br> lower category? | It is essential to consider carefully the accuracy of the verbs overall. If <br> more verbs are correct than wrong then it is likely that the candidate <br> deserves to pass, unless there are many other inaccuracies in the <br> writing. |
| the marker is having great difficulty in deciding whether the writing is <br> good enough to pass or not quite good enough to pass? |  |

[END OF MARKING INSTRUCTIONS]
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