

2013 Health and Food Technology Higher – Technological Project Finalised Marking Instructions

© Scottish Qualifications Authority 2013

The information in this publication may be reproduced to support SQA qualifications only on a non-commercial basis. If it is to be used for any other purposes written permission must be obtained from SQA's NQ Assessment team.

Where the publication includes materials from sources other than SQA (secondary copyright), this material should only be reproduced for the purposes of examination or assessment. If it needs to be reproduced for any other purpose it is the centre's responsibility to obtain the necessary copyright clearance. SQA's NQ Assessment team may be able to direct you to the secondary sources.

These Marking Instructions have been prepared by Examination Teams for use by SQA Appointed Markers when marking External Course Assessments. This publication must not be reproduced for commercial or trade purposes.

STEP 1 Total mark allocation – 22 marks

1:1 Identification of the key points with explanation – 6 marks available

The candidate should identify the 'core' key points – these are all the main key words of the Technological Project brief.

The number of 'core' key points which can be identified will depend on the wording of the Technological Project brief.

Candidates should number each key point identified.

Identify the key points - 3 marks

Candidates who record all of the 'core' key points.					
Candidates who record ½ or more, but not all of the 'core' key points.	1 mark				
Candidates who record less than ½ the 'core' key points.	0 marks				

Candidates who provide an additional key point, other than those identified as 'core' will be awarded an additional **1 mark**.

Basic and accurate explanation of key points – 2 marks

Marks are determined by the number of key points which have a basic and accurate explanation.

If all key points have a basic and accurate explanation.						
If $\frac{1}{2}$ or more but not all of the key points have a basic and accurate explanation.	1 mark					
If less than ½ the key points have a basic and accurate explanation.	0 marks					

Detailed and accurate explanation - 1 mark

Candidates who provide further accurate detail within the explanations will be awarded an additional mark. Extra detail means one additional point of explanation is provided for any one of the key or additional points.

Brief 1

Develop a dish which includes Scottish produce for a restaurant.

Brief 1 Key points

- 1. develop
- 2. (a) dish
- 3. (which) includes
- 4. Scottish
- 5. produce
- 6. (for a) restaurant

Brief 2

Develop a savoury product containing vitamin D for children.

Brief 2 Key points

- 1. develop
- 2. (a) savoury
- 3. product
- 4. containing
- 5. Vitamin D
- 6. (for) children

Example of basic accurate explanation of key points

Develop • create or devise ideas for a new item

Example of further accurate detail in explanation of key points

- **Develop** create or devise ideas for a new item
 - make an item which is original or different to what is available at present

Brief 1 – dish for restaurant which includes Scottish produce Additional Key Points

- 7. Nutritional needs of target group
- 8. Current dietary targets
- 9. Aesthetic appeal
- 10. Likes/dislikes
- 11. Appeal to market/target group
- 12. Age range of target group
- 13. Allergies
- 14. Skills/abilities
- 15. Facilities/resources/time available
- 16. Hygiene
- 17. Safety
- 18. Cost/budget of target group
- 19. Quality/standard required for sale
- 20. Suitable for mass production
- 21. Availability of ingredients
- 22. Portion size/ease of portioning
- 23. Season of year
- 24. Packaging/labelling
- 25. Religious/moral/ethical beliefs

Brief 2 – savoury product containing vitamin D Additional Key Points

- 7. Nutritional needs of target group
- 8. Current dietary targets
- 9. Aesthetic appeal
- 10. Likes/dislikes
- 11. Appeal to market/target group
- 12. Age range of target group
- 13. Allergies
- 14. Skills/abilities
- 15. Facilities/resources/time available
- 16. Hygiene
- 17. Safety
- 18. Cost/budget of target group
- 19. Quality/standard required for sale
- 20. Suitable for mass production
- 21. Availability of ingredients
- 22. Portion size/(ease of portioning)
- 23. Season of year
- 24. Packaging/labelling
- 25. Religious/moral/ethical beliefs
- 26. Nutrition standards for schools

1:2 Draw up appropriate criteria for a specification – 10 marks available

Candidate's specification allows for a range of possible solutions 1 mark Link to the key points of the brief.

Specification allows for a range of possible solutions which are relevant to the brief	1 mark
If a range of solutions is not possible	0 marks

Candidate provides five specification points, each containing more detail than the brief

2 marks

Note: Candidates are expected to provide a **minimum of five** specification points. However due to constraints of the time allocated for the Technological Project the candidates should not identify more than seven specification points as this would involve unnecessary work for the candidate.

Specification points must be **valid** (derived from the brief) to gain marks in this section. When drawing up the criteria for the specification candidates should not just rewrite the key points – greater detail is required.

Five valid specification points contain more detail than the brief.	2 marks
Three or four valid specification points contain more detail than the brief.	1 mark
Less than three valid specification points contain more detail than the brief.	0 marks

Candidate has written all specification points in measurable/able to be tested terms

2 marks

Candidates must indicate how each specification point should be able to be measured/tested by a valid method.

All specification points are measurable/tested.	2 marks
½ or more, but not all specification points are measurable/tested.	1 mark
Less than ½ the specification points are measurable/tested.	0 marks

Candidate has linked each specification point to the key points and additional key point(s)

2 marks

Candidates key point and additional points.
Candidates must show that each specification point is linked to the

key points and additional key points identified in Step 1 : 1. All key points should be covered.

Specification points are linked to all key points and additional key points.	2 marks
Specification points are linked to ½ or more, but not all key points and additional key points.	1 mark
Specification points are linked to less than ½ the key points and additional key points.	0 marks

Candidate provides basic explanations

2 marks

Basic explanations of the specification points, relevant to the project brief, should be provided by the candidate.

Basic explanations are provided for all specification points.	2 marks
Basic explanations are provided for ½ or more, but not all specification points.	1 mark
Basic explanations are provided for less than ½ the specification points.	0 marks

Candidate provides detailed explanation

1 mark

If further detail, relevant to the project brief, is provided within the explanation then an additional mark will be awarded. Extra detail means one additional point if explanation is provided for any one of the specification points.

	Step 1.2 Specification Brief 1 – Dish for restaurant which includes Scottish produce							
_	et 1 - n mu		1	includes Scottish produce easured by:	اما	entified expert:		
1	•	be different to other dishes in the restaurant/ be original	•	Interview Survey of restaurant menus/ internet search	•	Food technologist/chef/ restaurant manager		
2	•	be a food product	•	Interview Component checklist/recipe analysis	•	Food technologist/chef/ restaurant manager		
3	•	be a single item/ product/dish	•	Component checklist/recipe analysis Interview	•	Food technologist/chef/ restaurant manager		
4	•	contain/include Scottish produce/ ingredients	•	Component checklist/recipe analysis & interview Interview Internet search	•	Food technologist/chef/ restaurant manager		
5	•	be suitable for a restaurant	•	Interview	•	Food technologist/chef/ restaurant manager		
6	•	take account of other products on the restaurant menu	•	Interview	•	Food technologist/chef/ restaurant manager		
7	•	be served appropriately	•	Interview Questionnaire/survey to target group	•	Food technologist/chef/ restaurant manager		
8	•	be healthy/take account of current dietary targets	•	Interview	•	Food technologist/chef/ restaurant manager/dietician		
9	•	be suitable for target group	•	Interview Nutritional analysis & interview	•	Food technologist/chef/ restaurant manager/dietician		
10	•	be comparable in cost to other similar dishes/ items on the restaurant menu	•	Interview Costing exercise & price check/comparison	•	Food technologist/chef/ restaurant manager		
11	•	be cost effective/be good value for money	•	Costing exercise & Interview/ questionnaire	•	Target group/food technologist/ chef/restaurant manager		
12	•	be within the budget of the target group/ restaurant	•	Interview Costing exercise & questionnaire/survey to target group Costing exercise & interview	•	Target group/food technologist/ chef/restaurant manager		
13	•	be of an acceptable/ satisfactory standard for sale	•	Interview Questionnaire/survey Sensory testing with target group Quality checklist & interview	•	Target group/food technologist/ chef/restaurant manager		
14	•	be an appropriate portion size	•	Interview Sensory evaluation with target group Questionnaire with target group	•	Target group/food technologist/ chef/restaurant manager		
15	•	be aesthetically pleasing to target group	•	Interview Sensory evaluation with target group Questionnaire with target group	•	Target group/food technologist/ chef/restaurant manager		

Step	Step 1.2 Specification (continued)							
Brief	Brief 1 – Dish for restaurant which includes Scottish produce							
Item	mu			Ide	entified expert:			
16	•	take account of likes/ dislikes of target group	•	Interview Sensory evaluation with target group Questionnaire/survey with target group	•	Target group/food technologist/ chef/restaurant manager		
17	•	be suitable for the season of year	•	Interview	•	Food technologist/chef/ restaurant manager		
18	•	be made using the facilities/resources/time available/to the candidate	•	Interview Checklist of facilities/ components checklist Timed trial of prototype & interview	•	Food technologist		
19	•	be within the capabilities/skills of the candidate	•	Interview Trial of prototype Skills analysis & interview	•	Food technologist		
20	•	be made using the facilities/resources/time available/to the restaurant	•	Interview Timed trial of prototype & interview	•	Food technologist/chef/ restaurant manager		
21	•	be within the capabilities/skills of the restaurant staff	•	Interview Trial of prototype Skills analysis & interview	•	Food technologist/chef/ restaurant manager		
22	•	be prepared under hygienic/safe conditions/be safe to eat	•	Interview Quality checklist & interview	•	Food technologist/chef/ restaurant manager/ Environmental Health Officer		
23	•	take account of allergies	•	Interview Questionnaire to target group	•	Food technologist/chef/ restaurant manager/dietician/ health professional		
24	•	be easy to prepare/ cook/reheat/portion/ serve	•	Interview Skills analysis & check	•	Food technologist/chef/ restaurant manager		
25	•	be suitable to be made in advance	•	Interview	•	Food technologist/chef/ restaurant manager		
26	•	have an appropriate shelf life	•	Interview	•	Food technologist/chef/ restaurant manager/ Environmental Health Officer		
27	•	be suitable for mass production	•	Interview	•	Food technologist/chef restaurant manager		
28	•	take account of religious/moral/ethnic beliefs	•	Interview	•	Food technologist/chef/ restaurant manager		

Note:

 A food technologist could include a person working in food product development or a Home Economics/food technology teacher.

NB • Specification Points

- It must be checked that the specification points are different.
- A candidate may use different wording to state the same thing.
- Measured by
- The candidate must specify the term 'expert' if used.

Method of measuring must be able to check/assess whether the specification point has been met.

Basic explanation of specification point

- be colourful/attractive/appealing to target group
- so the target group will like them

Detailed explanation of specification point

- be colourful/attractive/appealing to target group
- to encourage the target group or consumer to try the product again

	Step 1.2 Specification Brief 2 – Savoury produce containing Vitamin D						
	ı mı	must: Measured by:			Identified expert:		
1	•	be a food product	•	Component checklist/recipe analysis Interview	•	Food technologist/dietician/ food retailer/school cafeteria manager	
2	•	be a single item/ product/dish	•	Component checklist/recipe analysis Interview	•	Food technologist/dietician/ food retailer/school cafeteria manager	
3	•	be original/different to other dishes	•	Interview Survey of supermarkets/ internet search	•	Food technologist/dietician/ food retailer/school cafeteria manager	
4	•	be a savoury product	•	Component checklist/recipe analysis Interview	•	Food technologist/dietician/ food retailer/school cafeteria manager	
5	•	contain Vitamin D	•	Component checklist/recipe analysis & interview Interview	•	Food technologist/dietician/ health professional/school cafeteria manager	
6	•	be suitable for children	•	Interview Questionnaire	•	Food technologist/dietician/ health professional/school cafeteria manager Target group	
7	•	Take account of nutritional needs of children	•	Interview	•	Food technologist/dietician/ school cafeteria manager	
8	•	complement/fit in with other savoury products	•	Interview Check against current product range	•	Food technologist/dietician/ food retailer/school cafeteria manager	
9	•	be a nutritious product/ include nutritious ingredients	•	Interview	•	Food technologist/dietician/ food retailer/school cafeteria manager	
10	•	be comparable in cost to similar products	•	Interview Costing exercise & check against current product price	•	Food technologist/food retailer/ school cafeteria manager	
11	•	be cost effective/be good value for money	•	Costing exercise & interview	•	Food technologist/food retailer/ school cafeteria manager	
12	•	be within the budget of the target group	•	Costing exercise & interview/questionnaire	•	Food technologist/food retailer/ school cafeteria manager/ target group	
13	•	be of an acceptable/ satisfactory standard for sale	•	Interview Sensory testing with target group Quality checklist & interview	•	Food technologist/dietician food retailer/school cafeteria manger/target group	
14	•	be an appropriate/ suitable portion size	•	Interview Sensory testing/ questionnaire with target group	•	Food technologist/dietician/ food retailer/school cafeteria	
15	•	be aesthetically pleasing to target group	•	Interview Sensory testing/ questionnaire with target group	•	Food technologist/dietician/ food retailer/school cafeteria manager/target group	
16	•	take account of likes/ dislikes of target group	•	Sensory testing/ questionnaire with target group Questionnaire to target group Interview	•	Food technologist/dietician/ food retailer/school cafeteria manager/target group	

		Specification (continue			
Brie Item		- Savoury produce conta	aining Vitamin D Measured by:	اما	entified expert:
17	•	take account of	Interview	•	Food technologist/food retailer/
		religious/moral/ethnic beliefs	Questionnaire to target group		school cafeteria manager
18	•	be suitable for target group	InterviewQuestionnaire	•	Food technologist/dietician/food retailer/school cafeteria manager/target group
19	•	take account of allergies	Interview	•	Food technologist/dietician/ food retailer/school cafeteria manager
20	•	be healthy/take account of current dietary guidelines	InterviewComponent checklist & interview	•	Food technologist/dietician/ food retailer/school cafeteria manager
21	•	be made using the facilities/resources/time available to the retailer	 Interview Checklist of facilities/ component checklist Timed trial of prototype & interview 	•	Food technologist/food retailer/ school cafeteria manager
22	•	be made using the facilities/resources/time available to the candidate	 Interview Checklist of facilities/ component checklist Timed trial of prototype & interview 	•	Food technologist
23	•	be made within the capabilities/skills of the candidate	Trial of prototypeSkills analysisInterview	•	Food technologist
24	•	be made within the capabilities/skills of the retailer	Skills analysis	•	Food technologist/food retailer/ school cafeteria manager
25	•	be prepared under hygienic/safe conditions/be safe to eat	InterviewQuality checklist & interview	•	Food technologist/food retailer/ school cafeteria manager/ Environment al Health Officer
26	•	be easy to prepare/ cook/reheat/portion/ serve	InterviewSkill analysis & check	•	Food technologist/food retailer/ school cafeteria manager
27	•	have an appropriate shelf life	Interview	•	Food technologist/food retailer/ school cafeteria manager/ Environmental Health Officer
28	•	be suitable for mass production	Interview	•	Food technologist/food retailer/ school cafeteria manager
29	•	be suitable for the season of year	Interview	•	Food technologist/food retailer/ school cafeteria manager
30	•	take account of religious/moral/ethnic beliefs	Interview	•	Food technologist/food retailer/ school cafeteria manager

Note:

 A food technologist could include a person working in food product development or a Home Economics/food technology teacher.

NB • Specification Points

- It must be checked that the specification points are different.
- A candidate may use different wording to state the same thing.
- Measured by
- The candidate must specify the term 'expert' if used.

Method of measuring must be able to check/assess whether the specification point has been met.

Basic explanation of specification point

- be colourful/attractive/appealing to target group
- so the target group will like them

Detailed explanation of specification point

- be colourful/attractive/appealing to target group
- to encourage the target group or consumer to try the product again

1:3 Devise an overall plan for investigations – 6 marks available

Candidate presents a list of investigations (minimum five)

2 marks

Candidates who provide a list of possible investigations which focus clearly on

- the core key points of the project brief
- the candidates specification points
- have a clear aim/purpose

will be awarded 2 marks.

Candidates who provide a list of investigations which do not focus clearly on the key points and the specification will be awarded **1 mark**.

Obvious omissions from the list of investigations will result in marks being deducted.

Candidate identifies techniques to be used

2 marks

All techniques must be appropriate for the investigations and so allow the candidate the possibility of collecting relevant data/information.

Where techniques are not consistently appropriate, candidates will be awarded **1 mark**.

Candidate justifies the need for the investigation

2 marks

All justifications must be

- well thought out
- linked to the investigation.

Lack of clarity within the justification will result in candidates being unable to gain the full mark allocation available.

From the proposed list of investigations drawn up in 1:3 above, candidates should form a prioritised list of those investigations which they propose to undertake.

No marks are awarded at this stage but candidates are expected to focus on those investigations most relevant to the needs of the project brief. A number of investigations may be combined by using one technique.

No more than 3 investigations depending on their nature, could be realistically carried out in the time available. The three investigations identified should ensure that all specification points are investigated. Candidates will be disadvantaged if they do less than 3 as they will not have collected sufficient data to create a valid solution.

Candidates who intend to use a questionnaire as an investigation must issue a minimum of 20 in order to gain valid results. If, however, too many questionnaires are distributed, collecting the data may become problematic for candidates.

A minimum of 3 website/literary sources should be evident in a **literary/internet search.**

Candidates should complete this work on pages 9 – 11 of the pro forma.

	Step 1.3 Brief 1 – Dish for restaurant which includes Scottish produce							
		- ווא זסר restaurant wni pation – to establish/find		includes Scottish produce chnique:	Sn	pecified expert:		
	out/investigate		•		omique.	0	bedilled expert.	
1	•	range of Scottish ingredients	•	Interview Literary/Internet search Survey of food retail outlets	•	Food technologist/food retailer/ chef/restaurant manger		
2	•	range of Scottish foods/products	•	Interview Literary/Internet search Survey of food retail outlets	•	Food technologist/food retailer/ chef/restaurant manager		
3	•	Potential recipes using Scottish ingredients/ produce	•	Literary/Internet/recipe search Interview	•	Food technologist/food retailer/ chef/restaurant manager		
4	•	Range of dishes available on restaurant menus	•	Survey of restaurants Literary/Internet search of restaurant menus Interview	•	Food technologist/chef/ restaurant manager		
5	•	current range of dishes using Scottish produce on restaurant menus	•	Survey of restaurants Literary/Internet search of restaurant menus	•	Food technologist/chef/ restaurant manager		
6	•	ideas for potential solutions to include in the restaurant	•	Interview Survey of outlets Literary/Internet/recipe search Trial of prototype Sensory testing with target group/customers	•	Food technologist/chef/ restaurant manager		
7	•	Nutritional value of potential solutions	•	Nutritional analysis & check	•	Food technologist/chef/ restaurant manager		
8	•	Aesthetic appeal of potential solutions	•	Sensory testing Interview Questionnaire	•	Target group/food technologist/ chef/restaurant manager Target group		
9	•	Appropriate portion size of potential solutions	•	Interview Literary/Internet/recipe search	•	Target group/food technologist/ chef/restaurant manger		
10	•	Likes and dislikes of target group	•	Sensory testing with target group Interview Questionnaire	•	Target group/food technologist/ chef/restaurant manager		
11	•	Nutritional needs of target group	•	Interview	•	Food technologist/dietician		
12	•	Price range of similar dish/dishes in the restaurant	•	Interview Survey of current product range	•	Target group/food technologist/ chef/restaurant manager		
13	•	Cost of potential ingredients/solutions	•	Costing exercise Survey restaurant menu Interview	•	Food technologist/chef/ restaurant manager		
14	•	Budget of target group/amount target group is prepared to pay	•	Interview Literary/Internet search	•	Target group/food technologist/ chef/restaurant manager		
15	•	Ways of applying current dietary advice/ healthy eating	•	Interview Literary/Internet search	•	Food technologist/retailer/chef/ restaurant manager		

Step	Step 1.3 (continued)				
Brief 1 – Dish for restaurant which includes Scottish produce					
		pation – to establish/find	Technique:	Sp	pecified expert:
	inve	stigate			
16	•	Facilities/resources/	Interview	•	Food technologist/chef/
		time available to the candidate	 Resource/equipment checklist 		restaurant manager
17	•	Skills necessary for	Skills audit & check	•	Food technologist/chef/
		manufacturing of the dish by the candidate	Interview		restaurant manager
18	•	Facilities/resources/	Interview	•	Food technologist/chef/
		time available to/ restaurant	Timed trial of prototype & check		restaurant manager
18	•	Skills necessary for the	•	•	
(a)		manufacturing of the dish by the restaurant			
19	•	Hygiene/safety	Interview	•	Food technologist/chef/
		requirements for food	Literary/Internet search		restaurant manager/
		production			Environmental Health Officer
20	•	Shelf life of potential	Interview	•	Food technologist/chef/
		solutions			restaurant manager/
					Environmental Health Officer
21	•	Ease of portioning/	• Interview	•	Food technologist/chef/
		serving/reheating/ cooking	 Literary/Internet/recipe search 		restaurant manager
22	•	Suitability for mass	Interview	•	Food technologist/chef/
		production			restaurant manager
23	•	Food suited to time/	Interview	•	Food technologist/chef/
<u> </u>		season of year		1	restaurant manager
24	•	Influences of religious/	Interview	•	Food technologist/chef/
		moral/ethical beliefs			restaurant manager/relevant
0.5		Other before	Later Servi	-	teacher/dietician
25	•	Other influencing	• Interview	•	Food technologist/chef/
		factors on final product	Questionnaire		restaurant manager/target
			 Internet search 		group

Note:

- The candidate **must** specify the term 'expert' if used
- A food technologist could include a person working in food product development or a Home Economics teacher/food technology teacher
- Retailer outlet must be relevant to investigation and be specified

	1.3			
		- Savoury product conta		0 - 10 - 1
	_	ation – to establish/find stigate	Technique:	Specified expert:
1	•	Range of savoury ingredients	InterviewLiterary/Internet search/ retail search	Food technologist/dietician/food retailer/school cafeteria manager
2	•	Range of recipes using savoury ingredients	InterviewLiterary/Internet search/ retail search	 Food technologist/dietician/ food retailer/school cafeteria manager
3	•	Range of savoury products	InterviewQuestionnaire to target groupLiterary/Internet search/retail search	 Food technologist/dietician/ food retailer/school cafeteria manager
4	•	Range of savoury products for children	InterviewQuestionnaire to target groupLiterary/Internet search/ retail search	 Food technologist/dietician/ food retailer/school cafeteria manager
5	•	food/ingredients containing vitamin D	InterviewQuestionnaire to target groupLiterary/Internet search/ retail search	 Food technologist/dietician/ food retailer/school cafeteria manager
6	•	Nutritional value/ vitamin D content of potential solutions	Nutritional analysis & check	 Food technologist/school cafeteria supervisor/dietician
7	•	current range of dishes/products containing vitamin D	InterviewQuestionnaire to target groupLiterary/Internet search/retail search	 Food technologist/dietician/ food retailer/school cafeteria manager
8	•	Ideas for potential solutions	 Interview Survey of supermarkets Literary/Internet/recipe search Manufacture of prototype(s) & check Sensory testing with target group 	Food technologist/dietician/ food retailer/school cafeteria manager
9	•	Appropriate portion size of potential solutions (for children)	InterviewLiterary/Internet search/ retail search	 Food technologist/dietician/ food retailer/school cafeteria manager
10	•	Aesthetic appeal of potential solutions (for children)	Sensory testingQuestionnaire	 Target group/food technologist/ dietician/food retailer/school cafeteria manager
11	•	Likes/dislikes of children (target group)	InterviewQuestionnaire	Target group/food technologist/ food retailer/school cafeteria manager
12	•	Price range of similar products	InterviewSurvey of food retailers	Food technologist/food retailer/ school cafeteria manager
13	•	Cost of potential ingredients/solution	Costing exercise	-
14	•	Budget of target group/ amount target group is prepared to pay	Interview	 Food technologist/food retailer/ chef/school cafeteria manager/ target group

Step 1.3 (continued)					
Brief 2 – Savoury product containing Vitamin D					
	Investigation – to establish/find out/investigate		Technique:	Sp	pecified expert:
15	•	Ways of improving the nutritional value/ vitamin D of potential solutions	InterviewLiterary/Internet search	•	Food technologist/chef/school cafeteria manager/dietician
16	•	Nutritional needs of target group (children)	Nutritional analysis softwareInterviewLiterary/Internet search	•	Food technologist/dietician/ food retailer/school cafeteria manager
17	•	Ways of applying current dietary advice/ healthy eating	InterviewLiterary/Internet search	•	Food technologist/dietician/ food retailer/school cafeteria manager
18	•	Facilities/resources/ time available to the candidate	InterviewResource/equipment checklist	•	Food technologist
19	•	Skills necessary for manufacturing the dish food manufacturer	Interview	•	Food technologist/chef/ school cafeteria manager/ supermarket manager
20	•	Skills necessary for manufacturing the dish by the candidate	InterviewSkills audit & check	•	Food technologist/chef/ school cafeteria manager
21	•	Time available for manufacturing of the dish by the candidate	Interview	•	Food technologist/chef/ school cafeteria manager
22	•	Hygiene/safety requirements for food production	Interview	•	Food technologist/food retailer/ chef/school cafeteria manager/ Environmental Health Officer
23	•	Quality requirements of potential solutions	 Manufacture prototype(s) & trial Interview Sensory testing Questionnaire 	•	Food technologist/food retailer/ chef/school cafeteria manager/ target group
24	•	Shelf life of potential solutions	Interview	•	Food technologist/food retailer/ chef/school cafeteria manager
25	•	Ease of portioning	Interview Literary/Internet/recipe search	•	Food technologist/food retailer/ chef/school cafeteria manager
26	•	Foods suited to time/ season of year	Interview	•	Food technologist/food retailer/ chef/school cafeteria manager
27	•	Influences of religious/ moral/ethical beliefs	Interview	•	Food technologist/food retailer/ chef/school cafeteria manager/ relevant teacher
28	•	Suitability for mass production	Interview	•	Food technologist/food retailer/ chef/school cafeteria manager/ target group
29	•	Influencing factors on final product	InterviewQuestionnaire	•	Food technologist/dietician/ food retailer/chef/school cafeteria manager/target group

Note:

- The candidate **must** specify the term 'expert' if used
- A food technologist could include a person working in food product development or a Home Economics/food technology teacher
- Retailer outlet must be relevant to investigation and be specified

2:1 Implement the overall plan for investigations – 12 marks available

The mark allocation for this area will be based on candidates' performance in a series of investigations.

Candidates will be assessed on the results and conclusions from each investigation – see the marking criteria breakdown listed on the next page.

Teachers/lecturers must ensure candidates present the results and conclusions of each investigation on pages 9 – 11 of the pro forma only.

Candidates using computer software to produce results eg bar charts or graphs must ensure that these are presented only on the pages allocated for this work ie pages 9 – 11 of the proforma.

See Appendix 1 for guidance on carrying out investigations/tests.

Implement the overall plan for investigations

- Results must be brief, concise and easy to interpret
 Results must show a clear link to the aim/purpose of the investigation.
 Results must be derived from the investigations and based on facts and evidence
 Conclusions must be based on the results obtained

All investigations candidates have fulfilled the aims on page 8 of the pro forma	3 marks
½ or more investigations candidates have fulfilled the aims on page 8 of the proforma	2 marks
Less than $\frac{1}{2}$ investigations candidates have fulfilled the aims on page 8 of the proforma	1 mark
In no investigations candidates fulfilled the aims on page 8 of the pro forma	0 marks

All investigations contain brief/concise/easy to interpret results	3 marks
½ or more investigations contain brief/concise/easy to interpret results	2 marks
Less than ½ investigations contain brief/concise/easy to interpret results	1 mark
No investigations contain brief/concise/easy to interpret results	0 marks

All results are based on fact/valid evidence/relevant to design brief		
1/2 or more of the results are based on fact/valid evidence/relevant to design brief	2 marks	
Less than ½ of the results are based on fact/valid evidence/relevant to design brief	1 mark	
No results are based on fact/valid evidence/relevant to design brief	0 marks	

All conclusions are based on the results of investigations and/or show progression	3 marks
½ or more conclusions are based on the results of investigations and/or show progression	2 marks
Less than ½ conclusions are based on the results of investigations and/or show progression	1 mark
No conclusions are based on results of investigations and/or does not show progression	0 marks

2:2 Derive a solution from the investigations – 3 marks available

Generate one solution – 2 marks (ie ONE dish) NB it is extremely important that centres strictly adhere to this rule. No marks will be allocated for STEP 3 or STEP 4 if candidates generate more than one solution.

Candidates derive one solution which must

Be relevant to the needs of the project brief		
Be based on the results and conclusions reached in the investigations		
Describes the solution in detail	1 mark	

The solution should be described in detail so it is able to be visualised.

Various methods may be used eg- written details, recipes, sketches, diagrams, labelled diagrams, storyboards - to ensure clarity.

Brief 1: Dish for a restaurant containing Scottish produce Possible solutions

Brief 2: Savoury product containing Vitamin D Possible solutions

produce suitable for a restaurant.

Any appropriate dish containing Scottish Any savoury food product containing Vitamin D suitable for children.

NB Stop marking if more than one solution is given and refer Technological **Project to the Principal Assessor.**

3:1 Manufacture the chosen solution – 10 marks available

Candidate completes the planned sequence of work

5 marks

Candidates must complete the plan **before** starting to manufacture the solution.

Candidates will be penalised if the plan is written retrospectively.

Candidates who draw up a sequence of work which consistently demonstrates effective deployment of time	5 marks
Candidates who draw up a sequence of work with minor lapses in the deployment of time	4 marks
Candidates who draw up a sequence of work with occasional lapses in the deployment of time	3 marks
Candidates who draw up a sequence of work with regular lapses in the deployment of time	2 marks
Candidates who draw up a sequence of work with frequent lapses in the deployment of time	1 mark
Candidate who submits a retrospective sequence of work	0 marks

Candidates may choose to present their sequence of work in an appropriate form eg table, chart, written details, flow chart. An indication of dates, times and details of the proposed work to be undertaken must demonstrate effective use of time by the candidate.

The sequence of work must show logical progression and allow the solution to be manufactured

Candidates' work must be completed on page 13 of the pro forma.

Candidate identifies and requisitions equipment and resources 3 marks

Candidates who identify and requisition all resources and equipment			
Candidates who identify and requisition most resources and equipment			
Candidates who omit any obvious resources and/or equipment	1 mark		

Resources will depend on the chosen solution and may relate to food, equipment.

Note: all resources and equipment required for manufacture must be listed and take into account

- metric weights
- exact weights of foods used.

Candidate consistently justifies effective deployment of equipment and resources

2 marks

Justification should relate to all the identified equipment and resources to gain full marks. Justification can be linked to the functional properties/aesthetic qualities of ingredients or results of investigations

After completing the **plan** for manufacture, candidates should start to manufacture the solution.

Candidates should be encouraged to make notes on page 15 as they are carrying out the manufacturing process. Notes may be made on how manufacture is proceeding, any problems encountered and any changes/modifications made to the plan.

Photographic evidence of the candidates' work must be attached to page 16 of the proforma.

Two photographs are required:

- one should provide evidence of the solution during manufacture.
- the other should provide evidence of the completed solution.

Although the quality of the photographs is not important, they **must** give an indication of the type of work being carried out and completed by the candidate.

Although no marks are awarded here, **photographic evidence must be provided** of the candidates' solution.

If photographic evidence is not provided, no further marking of the Technological Project will be carried out as no evidence has been provided on which to base the marking of the next stages of work.

Please note:

Page 16 of the electronic version of the pro forma has been set up to allow the electronic insertion of digital photographs.

Photographs should be inserted in the spaces provided by using the *'insert picture from file'* facility in Microsoft Word.

3:2 Devise two tests for the manufactured solution – 3 marks available

Candidate presents two tests

1 mark

Candidates should present **two** appropriate tests – failure to do this will result in no marks being awarded.

Candidate identifies techniques to be used

1 mark

Two different techniques should be identified.

Techniques must be **appropriate** to the tests, allowing candidates to collect relevant data/information.

Candidate justifies the two tests

1 mark

Justifications should be

- clear and well thought out
- linked to the test.

NB Candidates are expected to include any literary titles/authors, web addresses and the title of any person/expert interviewed. Candidates are expected to identify the target group which is used during testing.

Briefs 1 & 2

Test		Technique	Justification	
1.	Examination by a specified expert eg dietician/food technologist/supermarket manager/school cafeteria manager/health professional/chef/ Environmental Health Officer	Interview/questionnaire with specified expert Sensory testing with specified expert which is backed up by interview questions	 To check aesthetic qualities To check if item is marketable To check cost effectiveness profitability of item 	
2.	Examination by target group (children)	Interview/questionnaire/ discussion with target group Sensory testing with target group Observational checklist	 To find out if item is acceptable/appropriate to target group To establish marketability 	
3.	Costing exercise	Costing exercise confirmed by interview with an expert, eg food technologist/ supermarket manager/ school cafeteria manager/ chef/restaurant manager/ target group	 To establish whether item is cost effective to produce To establish a selling price for the finished item 	
4.	Nutritional analysis	Nutritional analysis and check interview with specified expert eg dietician/food technologist/chef	To check/assess nutritional suitability for target group	
5.	Time trial of product/ solution	Time trial & check with specified expert	To check if product/ solution can be made in time available	

3:3 Implement the tests for the manufactured solution – 8 marks available (revised)

Implement the overall plan for investigations

Marking Criteria

- Results must be brief, concise and easy to interpret
- Results must show a link to the aim/purpose of the test
- Results must be derived from the tests and based on facts and evidence
- · Conclusions must be based on results obtained

For both tests – candidates have done as they intended from page 15		
For 1 test – candidates have done as they intended from page 15		
No test – candidates have done as they intended from page 15	0 marks	

Both tests contain brief/concise/easy to interpret results.	2 marks
One test contains brief/concise/easy to interpret results.	1 mark
No test contains brief/concise/easy to interpret results.	0 marks

All results of tests are based on fact/valid evidence/relevant to specification points/design brief.	2 marks
One result of tests is based on fact/valid evidence/relevant to specification points/design brief.	1 mark
No results of tests are based on fact/valid evidence/relevant to specification points/design brief.	0 marks

Conclusions for two tests are based on the results of tests and/or show progression	2 marks
Conclusions for one test are based on the results of tests and/or show progression	1 mark
No conclusions for the tests are based on results of tests and/or show no progression	0 marks

4:1 Evaluate the chosen solution – 6 marks available

Candidate provides accurate evaluation some of which is detailed against the specification

5 marks

Candidates must rewrite (or copy and paste) the specification points in the appropriate column. Candidates must evaluate the solution against each specification point. Candidates should use the results of the investigations, manufacture and/or testing where appropriate.

Candidates who evaluate all or five specification points	5 marks
Candidates who evaluate four specification points	4 marks
Candidates who evaluate three specification points	3 marks
Candidates who evaluate two specification points	2 marks
Candidates who evaluate one specification point	1 mark

Candidate provides detailed accurate evaluation against specification

1 mark

If further detail is provided within the evaluations then an additional mark will be awarded.

Extra detail means that one additional point of evaluation is provided for **any one** of the specification points.

4:2 Evaluate the Technological Project – 6 marks available

Candidates evaluate the Technological Project: Step 1 Analysing, Step 2 Investigating and Step 3 Manufacturing and Testing

All of the following criteria must be used in the evaluation

- time
- resources
- skills and abilities.

Marks will not be awarded to candidates who do not use these criteria in their evaluation.

The evaluation, which may include adaptations/modifications, **must be based on evidence** which can be found within the candidates' Technological Project pro forma eg from investigations, manufacture and/or testing.

In the evaluation the candidates should give an opinion based on facts from their Technological Project and then explain the **consequences for the final solution**.

Candidates should provide **two** points of evaluation for **each** step of the Technological Project.

One mark should be awarded for each point of evaluation. A minimum of one mark must come from each step evaluated.

Step 1	Analysing	2 marks
Step 2	Investigating	2 marks
Step 3	Manufacturing and Testing	2 marks

Pages 23 to 24 of the pro forma should be used for the evaluation.

Appendix 1
Higher Technological Project
Guidance on Carrying out Investigations/Tests

Three investigations and two tests must be carried out.

The aim, which should be linked to the candidates' specification, should be rewritten or cut and pasted from page 8 of the pro forma onto the top of the investigation page.

Questionnaire

- Minimum of 20 respondents.
- Minimum 5/8 relevant/valid questions linked to aim/specification to allow relevant data to be collected.
- Questions and all possible answers must be displayed.
- All responses must be displayed including nil responses.
- Given constraints of space, it is not necessary to display results as pie charts/graphs.
- Table format for displaying results of questionnaires can be space saving.

Survey

- Must identify the source(s) of information.
- Source of information must be relevant to investigation.
- The following sources could be used including the Internet, literary, shop, restaurant/café as a source of information.
- The source of information should be identified.
- The place selected should be related to the quality and quantity of the data available rather than the number of sources however more than one source should be used.
- Information should be displayed using appropriate headings, sub-divisions etc.

Interviews

- Carefully consider the suitability of the person interviewed. Must clearly identify their position in establishment/job title.
- Minimum 5/8 relevant questions linked to aim/specification to allow relevant data to be collected.
- Open-ended questions should be used to allow more data to be collected from the interviewee.
- Questions should be carefully formatted to extract useful facts and avoid one word responses such as Yes/No.
- All questions and responses must be displayed.

Internet/Literary search

- All sources must be clearly identified.
- Should be related to the quality/quantity/relevance of the data available rather than the number of sources.
- Graphics may be included where relevant.
- Data collected should be organised using appropriate headings/sub-divisions etc.
- Information should not be lifted 'en bloc' from websites. It is appropriate to summarise key points which are relevant to the aim/specification.

Costing

- Breakdown cost of all ingredients/components must be included.
- Details of quantities and unit costs must be included.
- Sources should be included where appropriate.
- Comparative costing should measure 'like for like'.

NB Costing only proves cost of items/components. On its own it does not provide low/high cost, value for money, acceptability of price to target group.

Nutritional Analysis

- Sources must be shown.
- All nutrients relevant to the aim should be shown.
- Nutritional analysis of all ingredients must be included. (A 'total' for a dish is not acceptable).
- Sufficient data must be accessed in order to draw relevant conclusions.
- When used as a test the suitability of the results should be assessed by a suitable expert eg community dietician, food technologist etc.

Fabric Analysis

- There is no need to repeat fabric tests where information is already easily available in textbooks/websites.
- Fabrics used for testing must be clearly identified ie construction/fibre composition.
- Only fabrics being considered for potential solution should be tested/sampled/investigated towards final solution.
- Details of method testing must be given.

Sensory Testing

- All potential solutions must be clearly described.
- Breakdown of results must be shown. Summary of results is not acceptable.
- Key must be provided.
- It is appropriate to ask questions to elicit potential improvements/modifications.
- It is suggested for sensory testing that a minimum of five people are used to assess the products.

Technological Project Higher

Summary Mark Allocation

Total 70 marks available

Step	Mark Breakdown	Allocation
1.1	Identification of the key points with explanation	
	Identify the key points	2 marks
	Additional key points	1 mark
	Key points plus basic and accurate explanation	2 marks
	Key points plus detailed and accurate explanation	1 mark
		Total mark allocation 6
1.2	Draw up appropriate criteria for a specification	
	Allow for a range of possible solutions	1 mark
	Contain more detail than the brief	2 marks
	Be written in measurable/able to be tested terms	2 marks
	Link each specification point to the key points	2 marks
	Provide basic explanations	2 marks
	Provide detailed explanations	1 mark
		Total mark allocation 10
1.3	Devise an overall plan for investigations	
	Present a list of investigations	2 marks
	Identify techniques to be used	2 marks
	Justify the need for the investigations	2 marks
	,	Total mark allocation 6
	Total mark allocation for Step 1 — 22 n	narks
2.1	Implement the overall plan for investigations	
	Aims fulfilled	3 marks
	Brief, concise, easy to interpret	3 marks
	Relevant and valid results	3 marks
	Conclusions	3 marks
	Constant	Total mark allocation 12
2.2	Derive a solution from the investigations	
	Generate one solution – based on evidence	1 mark
	Relevant to brief	1 mark
	Describe the solution in detail	1 mark
		Total mark allocation 3
	Total mark allocation for Step 2 — 15 n	 narks

Step	Mark Breakdown	Allocation	
3.1	Manufacture the chosen solution		
	Step by step sequence of work showing effective deployment of time Requisition of resources Justification of resources/equipment	5 marks 3 marks 2 marks Total mark allocation 10	
3.2	Devise two tests for the manufactured solution		
	Present two tests Identify techniques to be used Justify the two tests	1 mark 1 mark 1 mark Total mark allocation 3	
3.3	Implement the tests for manufactured solution		
	Aims fulfilled Brief, concise and easy to interpret Relevant and valid reasons Conclusions	2 marks 2 marks 2 marks 2 marks Total mark allocation 8	
	Total mark allocation for Step 3 — 21 marl	ks	
4.1	Evaluate the chosen solution		
	Accurate explanation some of which is detailed against each specification point (to include results of investigations and/or tests where appropriate)		
	Valid evaluations Provide detailed accurate explanation	5 marks 1 mark Total mark allocation 6	
4.2	Evaluate the Technological Project		
	Candidate can evaluate Steps 1-3 of the Technological Project with detailed reference to the following criteria:		
	Time Resources Skills/abilities		
	Step 1 Analysing Step 2 Investigating Step 3 Manufacturing and Testing	2 marks 2 marks 2 marks Total mark allocation 6	
	Total mark allocation for Step 4 — 12 marks		

[END OF MARKING INSTRUCTIONS]