

2012 History Intermediate 2 Extended Response Finalised Marking Instructions

© Scottish Qualifications Authority 2012

The information in this publication may be reproduced to support SQA qualifications only on a non-commercial basis. If it is to be used for any other purposes written permission must be obtained from SQA's NQ Delivery: Exam Operations.

Where the publication includes materials from sources other than SQA (secondary copyright), this material should only be reproduced for the purposes of examination or assessment. If it needs to be reproduced for any other purpose it is the centre's responsibility to obtain the necessary copyright clearance. SQA's NQ Delivery: Exam Operations may be able to direct you to the secondary sources.

These Marking Instructions have been prepared by Examination Teams for use by SQA Appointed Markers when marking External Course Assessments. This publication must not be reproduced for commercial or trade purposes.

Intermediate 2 History Extended Response 2012 - Criteria Statements

The Extended Response is marked out of 20. The response is designed to permit candidates to research and produce an **independent** piece of work in which he/she:

- identifies clearly a suitable issue *
- · sets the issue in context
- demonstrates knowledge and understanding of the issue, its context and related concepts
- selects, organises and presents relevant evidence from a variety of sources which substantiates the line of argument
- reaches an appropriate conclusion which shows evidence of analysis.

The following criteria provide guidance as to the features of Extended Responses categorised as meriting the rankings of D, C, B, A, A+ and A++. Many Responses will exhibit some, but not all, of the features listed; others will be stronger in one area than another. To reward candidates for what they have achieved, the features of the Response are awarded maximum marks as indicated below:

Introduction/Conclusion [IC]
Argument [A]

D	С	В	Α	A+	A++
1	2	3	4	5	5
1	2	3	4	5	6

Knowledge [KU] (up to 9 marks, based on valid points)

Criteria Statements

<u>Introduction + Conclusion</u>

1 mark Limited attempt at an introduction/states the question.

Unclear/limited attempt at a conclusion.

Conclusion has a limited relationship to the topic/issue.

2 marks Basic introduction which refers to context or factors.

Basic conclusion which relates to the topic/issue.

3 marks Introduction identifies context or the factors covered/refers to context and

lists factors.

Reasonably well constructed conclusion which relates to the evidence.

Addresses the topic/issue.

4 marks Clear introduction which sets the topic/issue in its historical context and

identifies the factors involved in answering the question.

Well structured conclusion arising from the evidence and arguments.

Clearly addresses the topic/issue.

5 marks Clear introduction which sets the topic/issue in its historical context and

identifies the factors involved in answering the question. Indicates a line of

argument.

Fluent conclusion which gives an overview and a judgement of factors.

Clearly addresses the topic/issue.

^{*} If you think the title is invalid, the Extended Response should be marked as if it were valid and then referred to the Principal Assessor (see General Marking Instructions (under PA referrals).

Argument

1 mark The style is narrative and descriptive but there is at least one hint of analysis.

2 marks The style is largely narrative or descriptive in nature.

Basic analysis of some of the points covered.

3 marks Basic analysis with use of evidence to illustrate and develop some of the

points made.

4 marks Some analysis of most of the points and in most places the line of argument

is clear.

5 marks The line of argument is well developed and clear throughout the Response.

6 marks Clear, coherent analysis which advances the argument in depth and/or

breadth.

Marks for the Introduction and Conclusion [IC] are awarded for the *overall* quality, using the criteria statements, eg the Introduction merits 2 marks and the Conclusion merits 3 marks, therefore the mark is rounded up to 3 marks.

Marks are awarded for the overall quality of the Argument [A], using the criteria statements.

Marks for Knowledge [KU] are awarded for each recognisable and relevant point, up to a maximum of 9 marks. Points that are developed may be credited with 2 marks.

If in doubt, go with the candidate.

Marking Code

I = Introduction

✓ = KU point

D√ = Developed point KU

A = Well developed argument/analysis

a = Simple, limited analysis

IR = Irrelevance

SE = serious error

C = Conclusion

[END OF MARKING INSTRUCTIONS]