

# Mark Scheme with Examiners' Report GCE O Level Tamil (7644)

June 2005

delivered locally, recognised globally

Mark Scheme with Examiners' Report

Edexcel is one of the leading examining and awarding bodies in the UK and throughout the world. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers.

Through a network of UK and overseas offices, Edexcel International centres receive the support they need to help them deliver their education and training programmes to learners.

For further information please call our International Customer Services Unit:

Tel +44 (0) 190 884 7750

Fax +44 (0) 207 190 5700

[www.edexcel-international.org](http://www.edexcel-international.org)

June 2005

Order Code: U0017168

All the material in this publication is copyright  
© Edexcel Limited 2005

## TAMIL 7644, MARK SCHEME

---

The table below shows how marks are distributed throughout the paper.

Question	Transmission Communication/Content	Quality of Language	Total for Question
1	15%	10%	25%
2	15%	10%	25%
3	10%	5%	15%
4	20%	15%	35%

### Assessment criteria and mark scheme

Assessment criteria reflect the standard expected at GCE O level. It will therefore not be necessary for candidates to perform 'perfectly' in order to attract the highest marks available in each grid. References to 'standard' should be interpreted in this context.

**Minor errors** include, for example, the **occasional** omission of accents, incorrect gender, article, slight spelling errors.

**Major errors** include, for example, the **consistent** mismatching of subject and verb forms, use of inappropriate tenses and/or incorrect vocabulary.

Marks are awarded positively using the following assessment grids. The mark awarded reflects the extent to which the task as a whole has been successfully communicated and completed. To determine if a candidate should gain the upper or lower number of marks in the box it is important to refer to the boxes above and below. If the candidate's performance borders more on the performance of the box below than the box above, then the lower mark is allocated. On certain occasions, a candidate performance may require a 'best fit' mark.

## Question 1 and Question 2: Translation

	Transmission
13-15	Excellent transmission skills with clear grasp of detail. Excellent transfer of inference, nuance and idiom. Pleasant to read.
10-12	A generally very competent rendering of the original text with grasp of most detail, nuance and idiom. Some passages, usually more complex, misinterpreted. Some successful attempts at rephrasing. The style is generally pleasing.
7-9	The main points, usually narrative and concrete, are conveyed successfully for the most part, although problems are encountered with more complex language. Inference, nuance and idiom transmitted successfully on occasions. Some passages misunderstood and attempts at rephrasing only partially successful. The style is not always coherent.
4-6	Only the more straightforward concrete points are transmitted successfully. Very little or no awareness of nuance and/or idiom. Several sections totally misunderstood. The style is incoherent with communication impaired at times.
1-3	Only the very basic points are transmitted successfully with some very straightforward sections totally misunderstood. The style is often incomprehensible. Communication is frequently impaired.
0	No language worthy of credit.

	Quality of Language
9-10	A very high level of accuracy with only minor errors. Confident use of a wide range of lexis and structures appropriate to the task. Excellent grasp of tense use. Very pleasant to read overall, although not necessarily faultless.
7-8	A high level of accuracy overall with however occasional basic errors, usually in more complex language. Uses a wide range of lexis and structures appropriate to the task with occasional lapses. Grasp of tense concept/time sequence generally secure although occasional lapses are evident. Pleasant to read for the most part.
5-6	Largely accurate when using simple, short phrases: incidence of error increases in more complex language. Lexis and structures appropriate to the task tend to be adequate with several items unknown. Problems at times with tense use. Some use of given adjectives and/or adverbial phrases with some degree of success. About half of what is written should be free of major errors. Not always easy to read.
3-4	Some inaccuracies in basic grammar although narrative sections, usually short and straightforward, are in general correct. Lexis and structures appropriate to the task restricted with some often quite basic items unknown. At times some fairly basic problems with tense concept/time sequence. Use of given adjectives, and/or adverbial phrases occasionally evident, though these are likely to be only partially successful. Often quite difficult to follow.
1-2	A very high incidence of basic error in all aspects of grammar, syntax and morphology. Basic lexis and structures appropriate to the task unknown. No awareness of tense concept/time sequence. Large sections totally misunderstood. Communication impaired. Very little of credit.
0	No language worthy of credit.

### Question 3 - Practical application of grammar

Five sentences each worth 2 marks, plus a global mark for Quality of Language.

Marks are awarded for **Communication** as well as for **Quality of Language**.

Please refer to the following grids:

Communication	
2	Fully communicated in the target language although with some ambiguity in the expression.
1	At least half the sentence is correctly communicated in the target language.
0	No relevant communication.

The Quality of Language grid is applied **GLOBALLY** to all five sentences.

Quality of language	
5	High level of accuracy with only minor errors.
4	Level of accuracy generally secure but incidence of error increases in more complex language.
3	Accuracy variable with some basic errors.
2	High incidence of error which impedes communication at times. Inconsistent.
1	Frequent basic error with only isolated examples of accurate language.
0	No language worthy of credit.

#### Question 4 - Writing

This question attracts marks for **Communication and content** and **Quality of Language**. Please see the following grids:

	<b>Communication and content</b>
17-20	Responds fully and appropriately to the stimulus with excellent and relevant expansion. Gives detailed descriptions, expresses and justifies opinions as appropriate to the task. The time sequence is clear and unambiguous. A coherent piece of writing which is pleasant to read.
13-16	Responds to nearly all of the task although there may be some omissions. Some relevant expansion at times. Provides evidence of description, narration and opinion as appropriate to the task. Time sequence generally sound with occasional lapses. A generally well structured piece of writing. A sound attempt overall to link the piece into a coherent whole with, however, some lapses. May be a little pedestrian and predictable or somewhat over-ambitious at times.
9-12	Majority of task completed with, however, some significant omissions. There may be some irrelevance. Goes beyond a minimal response with some expansion of ideas and straightforward opinion relevant to the task. Time sequences show a degree of ambiguity at times. Comprehensible overall with some attempt to link the piece into a coherent whole. Ambiguous in places especially in more ambitious language. Tends to be somewhat predictable.
5-8	Main points of the task understood but some points totally misunderstood. Some major omissions with a degree of irrelevance and/or repetition. Level of response fairly limited with little opinion and justification appropriate to the task. Functions predominantly in simple, concrete sentences for the most part. Some evidence of correct time sequences but mostly inconsistent and insecure. Just about comprehensible overall with, however, a marked degree of ambiguity. Not easy to read.
1-4	Task generally misunderstood with little relevant information conveyed. Much ambiguity, confusion and omission. Level of response minimal with only a few relevant phrases. Communication largely impaired. Time sequences rarely correct. Largely incomprehensible with the exception of isolated items. Very difficult to read.
0	No language worthy of credit.

Quality of Language	
13-15	Predominantly accurate: free of all but minor errors in grammar, syntax and morphology. Uses a wide range of vocabulary, idiom and structure appropriate to the task with very little or no repetition. Excellent use of tense concept/time referents. Excellent examples of subordination and appropriate use of more complex structures. Clear ability to manipulate language with a high degree of accuracy to suit the purpose. Very pleasant to read, though not necessarily faultless.
10-12	Generally accurate and secure in grammar, syntax and morphology with some lapses. Accuracy less secure when more complex language is attempted. Uses a good range of vocabulary, idiom and structure, which are for the most part appropriate to the task. Attempts at more ambitious structures not always successful. Generally a secure grasp of tense concept/time referents. Manipulates language to suit the task at hand with, however, some errors. A wide range of vocabulary, idiom and structure may compensate for a lower level of accuracy. Generally easy to read despite the errors.
7-9	Fairly accurate in simple language however tends to be inconsistent in application of grammar, syntax and morphology when attempting more complex language. Range of vocabulary, idiom and structure standard and somewhat predictable. Some inconsistency in use of tense concept/time referents. Some attempts at subordination and sentence linking which are only partially successful. Attempts enhancement of fact with adjectives and/or adverbial phrases with moderate success. About half of what is written should be free of major errors. Despite inaccuracies the basic message is conveyed.
4-6	Accuracy is inconsistent with frequent basic errors in grammar, syntax and morphology. Simple, short sentences are sometimes correct but very little beyond. Range of vocabulary and structure very limited. Use of tense concept/time referents limited and often inappropriate. Limited success in attempts at enhancement of fact with adjectives, and/or adverbial phrases. Not easy to read.
1-3	A high level of inaccuracy with very frequent and basic errors in grammar, syntax and morphology. There may be the occasional correct phrase. No awareness of tense concept/time referents. Vocabulary very basic with little or no evidence of correct use of basic structures. Communication is severely impaired overall. Extremely difficult to read.
0	No language worthy of credit.



## **TAMIL 7644, CHIEF EXAMINER'S REPORT**

---

### **General Comments**

Considering the examination as a whole, it must be stated that there were some pleasing performances this year. However, inability to write clearly in simple Tamil without making spelling and grammatical mistakes continued to be a major problem. In the case of quite a good number of candidates their handwriting was indecipherable. It was also apparent that candidates who have scored lower marks (below 40) lack the ability to understand and write in both Tamil and English.

### **Question 1**

The best responses were received for this question. Nevertheless, it was evident that many candidates who had no problem in understanding the Tamil passage, had difficulties in translating it satisfactorily into idiomatic English. Many candidates were unable to find the equivalents in English for 'progress', 'civilization' and 'paddy.'

### **Question 2**

Though it was clear that most of the candidates did not have much difficulty in understanding the given English passage, they found it difficult to translate it into Tamil. The mistakes they made in spelling and in constructing sentences led to lower scores. It was found that a quite a number of candidates were unable to write correctly the Tamil equivalents for words such as 'paws', 'healthy', 'wet' and 'occasional.'

### **Question 3**

It was observed that this question where the candidates were asked to translate complex sentences turned out to be the most arduous. No candidate was able to translate all the sentences with an acceptable degree of accuracy. Insufficient command of the written idiom in complex structures was responsible for the lower scores obtained. It was rather disappointing to find most candidates unable to render into Tamil phrases such as, 'found guilty', 'applied for' and 'out of work'.

### **Question 4**

From the choice of titles here, all were attempted, with (d) letter to a friend being the most popular choice. Some described more than one of their leisure-time activities. Those who attempted the topic (b) regarding the evils of smoking did pleasingly well. Though most of the candidates had interesting ideas to express, inadequate linguistic skills and lexical competence led to lower scores.

It is important that candidates and their teachers be advised to pay more attention to calligraphy, orthography and to construction of sentences.

Past exam papers should be referred to for practice.

## TAMIL 7644, GRADE BOUNDARIES

---

Grade	A	B	C	D	E
Lowest mark for award of grade	68	54	40	35	26

**Note:** Grade boundaries may vary from year to year and from subject to subject, depending on the demands of the question paper.

---

Further copies of this publication are available from  
Edexcel International Publications, Adamsway, Mansfield, Notts, NG18 4FN, UK  
Telephone: +44 (0) 1623 450 781  
Fax: +44 (0) 1623 450 481  
Email: [intpublications@linneydirect.com](mailto:intpublications@linneydirect.com)

Order Code: U0017168

For more information on Edexcel International, please contact our  
International Customer Services Unit on +44 (0) 190 884 7750  
or visit [www.edexcel-international.org](http://www.edexcel-international.org)  
Edexcel Limited. Registered in England and Wales No. 4496750  
Registered Office: 190 High Holborn, London WC1V 7BH, UK

**edexcel**   
**INTERNATIONAL**