

# **Coimisiún na Scrúduithe Stáit** State Examinations Commission

# **Junior Certificate 2014**

# **Marking Scheme**

Typewriting

**Ordinary Level** 

#### Note to teachers and students on the use of published marking schemes

Marking schemes published by the State Examinations Commission are not intended to be standalone documents. They are an essential resource for examiners who receive training in the correct interpretation and application of the scheme. This training involves, among other things, marking samples of student work and discussing the marks awarded, so as to clarify the correct application of the scheme. The work of examiners is subsequently monitored by Advising Examiners to ensure consistent and accurate application of the marking scheme. This process is overseen by the Chief Examiner, usually assisted by a Chief Advising Examiner. The Chief Examiner is the final authority regarding whether or not the marking scheme has been correctly applied to any piece of candidate work.

Marking schemes are working documents. While a draft marking scheme is prepared in advance of the examination, the scheme is not finalised until examiners have applied it to candidates' work and the feedback from all examiners has been collated and considered in light of the full range of responses of candidates, the overall level of difficulty of the examination and the need to maintain consistency in standards from year to year. This published document contains the finalised scheme, as it was applied to all candidates' work.

In the case of marking schemes that include model solutions or answers, it should be noted that these are not intended to be exhaustive. Variations and alternatives may also be acceptable. Examiners must consider all answers on their merits, and will have consulted with their Advising Examiners when in doubt.

#### **Future Marking Schemes**

Assumptions about future marking schemes on the basis of past schemes should be avoided. While the underlying assessment principles remain the same, the details of the marking of a particular type of question may change in the context of the contribution of that question to the overall examination in a given year. The Chief Examiner in any given year has the responsibility to determine how best to ensure the fair and accurate assessment of candidates' work and to ensure consistency in the standard of the assessment from year to year. Accordingly, aspects of the structure, detail and application of the marking scheme for a particular examination are subject to change from one year to the next without notice. Question 1

Line number	Marks	Aggregate marks
1	2.0	2.0
2	2.0	4.0
3	0.9	4.9
4	2.0	6.9
5	2.0	8.9
6	2.0	10.9
7	2.0	12.9
8	1.9	14.8
9	2.0	16.8
10	2.0	18.8
11	2.0	20.8
12	1.1	21.9
13	2.0	23.9
14	1.1	25.0
		Total: 25.0

Completed lines marked pro rata at 2.0 marks per full line.

Penalties		
Error	Deduction	
Carbon omitted	2 marks	
Incorrect line spacing (should be double)	2 marks	
Inadequate margins	2 marks	
Errors and omissions (incorrect letter,	0.5 each, up to a maximum of 12 marks	
punctuation, capitalisation and overtype)		

Where no carbon copy is produced, apply the maximum deduction for errors.

Line	Content	Marks	Aggregate marks
	Envelope	2.0	2.0
1	Reference	1.0	3.0
2	Date	1.0	4.0
3 – 7	Address $[1.0 \times 5]$	5.0	9.0
8	Salutation	1.0	10.0
9 – 10	Paragraph 1	2.0	12.0
11 – 13	Listed Items $[1.0 \times 3]$	3.0	15.0
14	Paragraph 2	1.0	16.0
15 - 17	Bullet Points $[2.0 \times 3]$	6.0	22.0
18	Paragraph 3	1.0	23.0
19	Complimentary close	1.0	24.0
20 - 22	Preparation for signature	1.0	25.0
			Total: 25.0

### Question 2 Business Letter 25 marks

Penalties		
Error	Deduction	
Errors and omissions	0.5 per error up to a maximum of 8 marks	
Incorrect layout (vertical and horizontal display)	1.0 each up to 3 marks	

Where there is evidence that candidates used a Word processor to answer the question, the following deductions are to be applied for method:

Envelope:	-2
Bullet points:	-6
Preparation for signature:	-1
Vertical and horizontal display	-3

## Question 3

### **Tabular Statement**

30 marks

Line	Content		Marks	Aggregate marks
1	Capitals + emphasis + underscore [	[1.0 + 1.0 + 1.0]	3.0	3.0
2	Centre over 5 columns + emphasis	[2.0 + 1.0]	3.0	6.0
3	Centre over columns + emphasis	[2.0 + 2.0] + 1.0	5.0	11.0
4	Text + emphasis	$[0.5 \times 5]$	2.5	13.5
5	Text	$[0.5 \times 5]$	2.5	16.0
6	Text	$[0.5 \times 5]$	2.5	18.5
7	Text	$[0.5 \times 5]$	2.5	21.0
8	Text	$[0.5 \times 5]$	2.5	23.5
9	Text	$[0.5 \times 5]$	2.5	26.0
10	Text	$[0.5 \times 5]$	2.5	28.5
11-13	Text	$[0.5 \times 3]$	1.5	30.0
			Т	otal: 30.0

Penalties			
Error	Deduction		
Errors and omissions (incorrect letter, punctuation, capitalisation and overtype)	0.5 each, up to a maximum of 5 marks		
Euro symbol omitted or not substituted	0.5 each, up to a maximum of 3 marks		
Ruling untidy or none	0.5 each occurrence up to 5 marks		
Inadequate or uneven space between columns (tabs)	1.0 each up to 4 marks		
Incorrect line spacing (should be double)	up to 2 marks		
Uneven line-up	up to 2 marks		
Inadequate margins	up to 2 marks		

In instances where a candidate presents a Table instead of a Tabular Statement, award 0 marks.

Line	Content		Marks	Aggregate marks
Line 1 – Heading 1	Capitals, centre, underscore	[1 + 0.5 + 0.5]	2.0	2.0
Line 2 – Heading 2	Capitals, centre, underscore	[1 + 0.5 + 0.5]	2.0	4.0
Line 3	Text, rule	[0.5 + 0.5 + 0.5 + 0.5]	2.0	6.0
Line 4	Text, rule	[0.5 + 0.5]	1.0	7.0
Line 5 – 6	Rule	[0.5 + 0.5]	1.0	8.0
Line 7	Text, rule	[0.5 + 0.5 + 0.5 + 0.5]	2.0	10.0
Line 8 -13	Text, rule	[0.5 +0.5] x6	6.0	16.0
Line 14	Rule	[0.5]	0.5	16.5
Line 15	Text, rule	[0.5 + 0.5 + 0.5 + 0.5]	2.0	18.5
Line 16	Text, rule	[0.5 + 0.5 ]	1.0	19.5
	Consistent use of case		0.5	20.0
				Total:20.0

### Question 4 Booking Form20 marks

Penalties		
Error	Deduction	
Errors and omissions (incorrect letter,	0.5 each, up to a maximum of 5 marks	
punctuation, capitalization and overtype)		
Inadequate space between lines (writing	1.0 up to 4 marks	
space)		
Uneven line endings	up to 2 marks	
Incorrect vertical display	up to 2 marks	
Incorrect horizontal display	up to 2 marks	

Where there is evidence that candidates used a Word processor to answer the question, deduct 1 mark per line for method.