

**Student Name.**  
**Project Description.**

**Project Type.**

**Identify. Standard and Extension. All candidates marked on scale of 0 - 5**

A statement of the problem which is unclear or lacks detail. Understandable = 1. Otherwise = 0	0 - 1
A clear statement of the problem which identifies the user(s). By name, position, function or company name.	2 - 3
Consideration of possible alternative solutions.	
Objectives or user requirements stated in general terms.	
A clear statement of the problem, background detail, 'real' user(s). By name and position / function.	4 - 5
Consideration of possible alternative solutions with choice justified.	
Objectives or requirements stated in quantitative / testable terms. Min. 3 for 5 marks. 2 for 4 marks	
Mark (0) (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)	

**Analyse. Standard 0 – 6. Extension 0 – 9.**

**Look for extension features in Implement before awarding the final mark in this section.**

Software identified.	0 - 2 / 0 - 3	Appropriate choice of output.	
Some raw data identified		Backup and security considered.	
Output identified.		HW / SW identified, with reasons.	5-6 / 7-9
Some idea of data manipulation.		Raw data and source(s) identified.	
Software and hardware identified.	3 - 4 / 4 - 6	Data collection and input explained.	
Most raw data identified.		Data manipulation explained.	
Data source(s) and collection partly explained		Data flow is clear.	
Some idea of processing.		Alternative outputs considered and justified.	
Some idea of data flow.		Backup and security explained.	
Alternative outputs considered.		Mark (0) (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7) (8) (9)	

**Design. Standard 0 – 6. Extension 0 – 9.**

**Look for extension features in Implement before awarding the final mark in this section.**

Initial design not clear enough to judge suitability.	0 - 2 / 0 - 3
Final design has too little detail for the candidate to be able to complete the solution.	
Initial design is enough to give an idea of how the problem will be solved.	3 - 4 / 4 - 6
User comments reported.	
Final design is detailed enough for the candidate to complete the solution, but not a third party.	
Incomplete test plan.	
Initial design is good enough to allow a reasoned judgment of its suitability for solving the problem.	5 - 6 / 7 - 9
User comments reported or recorded, with evidence.	
Final design makes allowance for user comments.	
Final design is detailed enough for a third party to complete the solution.	
Solution broken into manageable sub-tasks.	
Full and effective test plan. Which tests the stated objectives.	
Suitable test data and / or expected results. Where appropriate.	
Mark (0) (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7) (8) (9)	

## IGCSE ICT Coursework Project. Student Feedback Form.

**Implement. Standard 0 – 8. Extension 0 – 12. ( Circle the level awarded )**

**Check here for evidence that extension features have been made, not just planned or written about.**

**As a guide, at least two extension features should be present to qualify as an extension project.**

Evidence of some attempt to use the software.	0 - 2 / 0 - 3
Some evidence of following design.	
Test plan but no results.	
Evidence of an incomplete solution.	3 - 4 / 4 - 6
Design followed but with unexplained omissions.	
Some error correction.	
Some unstructured testing. ( Usually no test plan )	
Evidence of the design being implemented, a production process.	5 - 6 / 7 - 9
Evidence of a solution to the problem. A product which matches the design.	
Error correction or explanation of design changes.	
Partial implementation of the test plan <b>or</b> structured tests without a test plan <b>or</b> the test plan is carried out but is inappropriate to the problem	
Evidence of the design being fully implemented, a production process shown.	7- 8 / 10 -12
Evidence of a <b>full</b> solution to the problem. A product which matches the design.	
Explanation and justification of all design changes.	
Evidence for an appropriate test plan being followed.	
Error correction and re-testing.	
Mark (0) (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7) (8) (9) (10) (11) (12)	

**Evaluate. Standard and Extension. All candidates marked on scale of 0 – 5**

A weak evaluation with only general comments.	0 - 1
Some <b>evidence</b> of evaluation of original objectives. ( A re-statement or a link. )	2 - 3
User comments reported but are too general.	
Reference made to all the original objectives. Evidence given to support success or failure. ( Links. )	4 - 5
<b>Evidence</b> of user evaluation. ( Not reported comments. )	
Constructive comment on the user evaluation.	
Sensible suggestions made for further work. ( Not just a repeat of the user comments. )	
Mark (0) (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)	
Cover sheet / declaration. Signed.	Set out logically in 5 sections.
Front cover with at least the Centre and Candidate numbers visible.	Spell checked and proof read.
Contents page and matching page numbering.	Clear proof of Extension work.
Everything annotated / explained so that a marker will understand it.	Clear proof of all test results.