

Examiners' Report June 2019

IGCSE History 4HI1 02



Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications come from Pearson, the UK's largest awarding body. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information visit our qualifications websites at www.edexcel.com or www.btec.co.uk.

Alternatively, you can get in touch with us using the details on our contact us page at www.edexcel.com/contactus.



Giving you insight to inform next steps

ResultsPlus is Pearson's free online service giving instant and detailed analysis of your students' exam results.

- See students' scores for every exam question.
- Understand how your students' performance compares with class and national averages.
- Identify potential topics, skills and types of question where students may need to develop their learning further.

For more information on ResultsPlus, or to log in, visit www.edexcel.com/resultsplus. Your exams officer will be able to set up your ResultsPlus account in minutes via Edexcel Online.

Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Pearson aspires to be the world's leading learning company. Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for our commitment to high standards and raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: www.pearson.com/uk.

June 2019 Publications Code 4HI1_02_1906_ER

All the material in this publication is copyright © Pearson Education Ltd 2019

Introduction

This was the first examination of the new specification and there was plenty of evidence that candidates had been prepared thoroughly and generally understood the demands of the new question types. There were some examples of poor technique so it should prove beneficial to remind centres of the particular demands of the specific questions to ensure candidates do themselves justice in the examination.

Paper 2 offers an unusual challenge in as much as its two separate sections test different assessment objectives with significantly different question types.

SECTION A

Section A focuses on Assessment Objectives (AO) 3 and 4 with candidates asked to consider two historical sources and a modern extract before answering questions based on cross-reference and evaluation of a historical interpretation. There is also a question on AO1 testing knowledge and understanding of the characteristics of the period they have studied.

Question (a) asks for a description of two features of an aspect of the period named in the specification. Candidates have few problems with this type of question as long as they make it clear to the examiner that they are addressing two features (i.e. 'aspects') and not just writing all they know. It is not necessary to write at great length to achieve the 6 marks, and if candidates are writing beyond the allocated space, they are probably using up valuable time which should be spent on other questions.

Question (b) asks 'how far' one source supports what is said in the other source about a given aspect of the topic. Students of history are aware that the use of 'how far' always invites a 'twosided' answer. Therefore, no matter how much the sources may appear to be in agreement or contrast, candidates must look for both agreement and disagreement. Once both of those aspects have been addressed, then the best responses will consider the extent of the agreement and disagreement. Is one more prevalent than the other? Are there different 'moods' or tone in the sources? However, such explanation of the extent of support must go further than repeating 'they agree about x, but disagree about y', which candidates have already explained earlier in their response.

Question (c) ask the candidates to consider a historical interpretation and the extent to which they agree with it, based on what the sources and extract tell them and their own contextual knowledge. Centres should make sure their candidates are aware that marks are awarded according to the following criteria:

- A consideration of the interpretation; at higher levels, candidates should be able to explain and evaluate alternatives to the interpretation given.
- Analysis of the provided materials; so candidates should be using information from both the sources and the extract to support their arguments.
- Use of contextual knowledge; examiners are looking for the candidate to provide precise contextual knowledge (i.e. information that they have not been given in the question) to support their explanation.
- An overall judgement; to score in the highest level, candidates must 'adopt a position' in their response and follow that position through to the conclusion. The awarding of marks specifically for this aspect of the response is something new in this syllabus and centres should be aware

that the judgement is something which is best not left to a concluding paragraph, but is best interwoven in the answer enabling very best responses to a line of reasoning which is 'coherent, sustained and logically structured'.

Common errors which will have prevented higher reward on question (c) were failure to use both sources/extract and contextual knowledge in their response and not reaching an overall judgement until a final short paragraph concluded the response following a 'so there you are, that shows...' approach. It is to be hoped that the comments above will help centres to encourage candidates into better practice next year.

SECTION B

Section B focuses on AO1 and AO2 with an emphasis on change and causation. Candidates should be aware that where they are deploying historical in their responses, it must be to support an explanation about change or causation. Narrative of historical events will not, in itself, be rewarded.

Question (a) asks for candidates to explain two ways in which an aspect of a country's history was different (or similar) in one period, compared to another period. Some candidates chose to describe events related to the aspect in the first period, and then describe events in the second period. Following this, they drew conclusions on similarity or difference. This approach can be successful, but a much better way of answering this type of question is to select the criteria for judgement and then use historical knowledge to support the claim being made. For example 'the role of women in medical care in the First World War was similar to their role in the Second World war because they played a vital role in nursing...'

Question (b) is a type of question with which candidates should be very familiar. Almost all candidates were able to find reasons for the events outlined. However, they must take care that they link the cause they have given to the outcome they are asked to explain. So, whilst it is undoubtedly true that a cause of the Suez crisis was Nasser's actions, to achieve the top level candidates needed to explain why those actions led to the crisis, rather than just stating that they did.

Question (c) focuses on change. Candidates are asked how far something changed or how significant an event or person was at bringing about change. The comments made in discussing section A are relevant to this question as well, though the criteria are different. Responses are judged against:

- The quality of explanation in answering the question.
- The use of contextual knowledge in supporting the explanation.
- An overall judgement which is justified with sustained support.

Unlike in section A, candidates are given two stimulus points to assist them in their response. They do not have to use these stimulus points, but it is obviously to their advantage to do so. They must be careful, however, not to treat these stimulus points as an invitation to write everything they know about the topics. They are a suggestion that, in some way, information about them could be used to support an answer and candidates are not required to provide a narrative about them. Centres should also be aware that a consideration of three aspects is required to reach a high level 3 mark, or access level 4.

Question 2 A1

This was a popular option and candidates demonstrated good knowledge of the period. The sources presented few problems, although some candidates struggled to use contextual knowledge to support their analysis of the sources and extract in part (c).

The candidate has chosen to answer on the Triple Alliance, rather than the Bosnian Crisis.

From Two Ecotures of the triple alliance that it was up of the counter European vies Cermany, susse Austria - H on and matio increased em in Europe during de 1900 s. The Triple Alliance was Exemple due to including small outbreaks such as Bosnian Mockocan Crisis of 1905-1906



This answer does address two features of the Triple Alliance (who was in it and why it was formed), but the support is not sufficient to enable access to level 3. The response is, therefore, marked at level 2 for providing two features and some information about them.



Make sure that the examiner can clearly see that two features are being addressed by having two clear paragraphs - one on each feature.

Question 2 A2

The most popular option on the paper; Rasputin is always popluar and proved so once more this year. Candidates had few problems with the sources and were well-acquainted with alternative interpretations of why the Provisional Government fell.

This candidate has shown good examination technique.

(b) One way that source A is similar to source koth agree that Kornilov attempted to The Provisional Government by porte source A, it says: I am being forced to remove government: In source B it says: Kormlov the Provisional Government: Both similar tunes as they are both from the perspective of the two key figures: they are both passionate their beliefs and are not passive bounds the two sources differ because they describe different reasons for the revolt. Komilor says in source I desire nothing for myself other than the salvation of Russia. He says he did it for the benefit of the people. However, Kerensky says Komilov's intention was to establish personal dictaturship. These at two very contrasting also differ because of the way they two sources new the revolt would impact the world war. A, Kumiler sours he would lead the country to victory the Germans' and there to this, Keensky says: any attempt to revese the ... revolution would only help the Komior felt it would make Russia where Kerenshy be weathered. Russia would Bunk The two source is due to the fur. than agree . This extructs being from Kurniler and Kerensky who had pesonal accounts of why the revolt



Both agreement and difference are identified and exemplified, which takes this answer to the top of level 2. There is also a suggestion that one side is presented more strongly than the other, which moves this response into level 3.



Remember that this question asks 'How far', so best responses will consider the extent of support, rather than just identify support and difference.

Question 2 A3

Another very popular option. Most candidates answered well on mass production, though some were diverted into agricultural production instead of its use in manufacturing. Prohibition was very well-known and there were some very impressive discussions on whether it damaged or benefitted American society.

This is a very good response, showing the approach needed to be rewarded a level 4.

Elsa Extract C suggests that prohibition was one of the Causes of exomins damage to American society. It says that "ordinary Amerians 5.) smuggled illegal alishor" into the country". This statement that prohibition turned laulul citories into commisals is supported by some A which states that possibilition "hams the morals" of people and "spreads contempt" for legality, I Exales Stopported by This vicease in crine is supported by the fact that the wee 32,000 speaheases in New York City, and 34 people died from wood poisoning are 4 days, due to the production of their our alwho. This is stated in Extract C: they made "moonshire" and the "smuggled Megal alrohor" Ench as Mexican toquila and Canadain Whishey, could not always be contined as sale. The fact that "even those close to the president drank alcohor" as stated in Estract C shows that prohibition caused great comption in Ameria; many policine were paid off with \$50 bills or cigars and other gills this is supported by by organish crime groups, such as the gary led by Al Capore. The effect of prohibition on organical grove is supported by Source B which states that people who are "abouty commists" Grate had "just shilled from other crimes to bootleggery" However, prohibition did not always cause danage as the such as "alwho abuse" as Lowce Extract C states. In fact, the level of live damage decreased from 23. 9 pe 10,000 to 17.6 in the 1920s. Also ((c) continued) one survey carried out by an America mayurus Stated that 40% of people were in favour of the Volstead Act of 1919. This shows disagreened with Exhaut C's statement that prohibitur "clearly failed" to love alishor consumption. Source R agrees with this the surey, saying that people have "greater respect for morality and religion" - this contrasts with the "Social problems "claimed by Extract C. In addition, Extract C states that "Consumption increased in all social groups" but the levels of drinking in 1929 were only 70% of the levels in 1920; although this is high, it indicates that Extact C's interpretation is less communing. & Prohibition was not the only reason for damage in America society. Extract C states that drailing "caused a hall is production " The At this disagrees however prohibition was not the only cause of this. Following Europe's recovery from the First World War, the price of a bushel of whent drypes from \$2.50 6 \$1 and the properties of harner dropped from 1/3 of the population to 1/5. This was because of a decrease is demand as Europe was able to produce its on food again by 18 by 1920. This carried extensive problems such as wenployment and love mayer is ned overs, which shows that possibilin was not the only cause of extensive damage to human society. This "musuriderstanding" as stated in Some B shows that Extract Cis for more regative about possibilition causing agraillier problems as us in the

((c) continued) Newspupers (Sorra B) Nevertheless, the regative time of Extract C (" Pailed" "Smuggled" and "Estern eromons damage") is supported by the regative time of some A ("crimin" "compts" "denoralises") shoring there is some support by the reguline exterpetation of Estatt & prohibition in Extract C. Furthermore prohibition lost the government 8/1 billion to in taxes and the rise is organised crime led to 200 garg-related murders in Chrisago alone.

In conclusion, the interpretation provided by Extract C is commany to a great extent. Although it amits the benefits of prohibitum (decease in line disense) and fails to mertion other reasons for the decrease in production (such as lack of denard to goods podoops) such as US agreets eigns that were popular in the Fire World War), it nightfully exphanses the fastly regative elberts, which castly outweighed the positives. It led to ordingry people Being loved to become animals, an invense is protestion comptin and it did cause extensive damage as Stated by Extrust C, and supported by Source A to a greater extent although Source P does agree on the rie of organised crine.



Thorough use of the sources and the extract, impressive contextual knowledge and a thoughtful conclusion.



The question calls for an assessment of the interpretation using the sources, extract and your own knowledge. So make sure all of those are included in your response.

Question 2 A4

Most candidates chose to answer part (a) on the Gulf of Tonkin and had a very good understanding of its significance, which they used as one of its features. Similarity and difference was readily identified in part (b) and there was some excellent contextual knowledge demonstrated in part (c)

Question 2 A5

Very few candidates chose this option and many of the responses suggested that some of them were hoping to find questions on Nazi Germany. Those candidates who had been prepared for the option had a good understanding of the importance of sport in part (a) and identified similarity and difference in part (b). Alternatives to Gorbachev being the reason for the end of the GDR were less well known.

Question 2 B1

An option chosen by only a small number of candidates. The precision needed to score well on parts (a) and (b) was generally lacking, but there was some good knowledge of the changing position of black Americans and the impact of the Civil War in part (c)

Question 2 B2

One of the more popular options and one in which candidates demonstrated good contextual knowledge and an understanding of change and development across the period. The question on the significance of Koch was very well answered.

This response shows good exam technique, with two paragraphs clearly demonstrating two similarities.

any in which the ide of was similar the age two world wars nurses played an important rale IN DOTH World so nurses played on care to such patient near the front line work every mod at explicit of the that ruses would work with doctors to provide care Money way in which the role of women first world Second world was female decrois one made up number of doctors with only 10% of doctors fenale. Moreover after both was worm to ga back to being aps.



This response addresses two similarities - the role of women as nurses and, the reluctance to accept women as doctors on equal terms with men. Both points are well supported so a level 3 mark is awarded.



Rather than writing about women in the First World War and then the Second World War, pick an aspect of their work (e.g. nursing) and then consider similarities in that aspect in the two wars.

Question 2 B3

Very few candidates chose to answer on this option. However, those that did seemed to know the topic well. There were some good responses on how Japanese society had become more open (part a) and thoughtful answers on how far the Japanese government changed after the Meiji restoration.

Question 2 B4

This was the most popular option on the paper and candidates demonstrated both an excellent understanding of the events in China during this period and a thorough understanding of how to answer questions related to change. Part (a) saw very good comparisons and in part (b) there was very good linkage between the reasons given and the outcome. In both questions in part (c), candidates used alternatives well to assess the significance of the given event.

A persuasive answer, showing a clear link between the various factors.

(Applicantinued) The Chinese civil war was the most significant event in the changing of position to the community party in the years 1921-49 as they were placed as Chinis Tyrisal government However this was only possible with the propagands bed you the Long Mark . 1934 and the loss an support of the Guomenyday brought from the war with japan. The Long March began on the 16th of October 1934 os the small community parts were trapped to the Trange Somet by the 640 after the college of the good united yout. Covering 14 mountains and 7 runs the Long March was Langerous that wilk thousands the hover it was successful. The communist party energed the GMB with the support of peasants and gain in status. They hand teatment of the persons band the party a good name and more mensor, it also would not impotently of community propagantes in the year to come of dictator Man Theology completed it. By the end of the long March though the party remained vulnesble and although with more support in the same postion as begon, it would be the The nor with jupon that would influence the part's portion yester The war with japan goved the westing the second united grant, that slone improving justles the party's reputation as after the GMD, externistion campaigns of the early 30; they agreed to gight

(c)(i) OR (c)(ii) along side the showing the parts is constrained to the Chance people. The GMD's poor good in the wor made up of more tell could testing where hundreds against the CCP painted the party as pathetic and are uncommitted in companion to the CCP, their guerella tarties and continous hundress to the peasants. The war ended as Assessed from bombed pearl harbour and support of the GMD plummetted, learning the CCP stronger than over begone with yearst support and a greater none. This change in position is limited Wa though so the party remain still not the oppind government of this being brought about through the churese und war your 1945 -The Chinese civil nor brought a conclusive change to the CCP. , posterio as they jurily become Epp Chini's official government although not gaining any jurtle support. The civil nor lasted 4 years and ended in January 1949 with the battle of Hushrei . It secured the parties position on top os Mos Thebay had g the comment ports became the hard of state. It changed their opposite position although the support yes you the party had been corried your the nor with jupon 8 years carbein.



The response sets out a judgement at the beginning of the essay and follows that judgement through the essay to a final conclusion.



Try to decide what you think the overall answer is to the question before you start writing and then make sure your paragraphs support that answer.

Question 2 B5

It was surprising to see a number of nil responses to the question in part (b). The work of the UN in Mozambique is listed in the specification and candidates should have been aware of its success in that country. Part (a) was better answered, but there was a tendency in part (c) to provide narrative about the stimulus points, rather than use them to address the question.

Question 2 B6

Although part (a) proved a little challenging for some candidates, the reasons for the Allied success against German u-boats in the Atlantic was very well-known (part b). There were some extremely detailed descriptions of guerilla warfare in part (c), but candidates did not always consider how far it changed. Similarly, in part (c) (ii) candidates sometimes answered as if the question were about nuclear weapons and drones, rather than developments in technology and communication.

Question 2 B7

Another popular option with some excellent responses. Candidates demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the two intifadas and had a good understanding of the significance of terrorism and the Yom Kippur War.

The answer shows good technique by dividing the response into two paragraphs with the first stating 'one cause' and the second 'a further cause'.

of the Suez Crisis was that withdrew their oper



The candidate has clearly identified and explained two reasons for the crisis. However, the first reason (withdrawing the loan) is not clearly linked to the outcome. Why was Nasser's nationalisation the cause of a crisis? This is explained in the next paragraph, but overall there is one cause linked to the outcome, which means a low level 3 mark is awarded.



Ensure that when you provide a cause of an event, you point out why the cause led to the event. Don't leave it to the examiner to work it out.

Paper Summary

Based on their performance on this paper, candidates are offered the following advice:

- Ensure they provide support to the features identified in section A, part (a), but do not write at excessive length.
- Provide evidence of agreement and difference in section A, part (b) and consider the extent of support/difference.
- Make sure they use sources, extract and contextual knowledge in answering section A, part (c).
- Ensure a judgement is provided in section A and section B, part (c) and that the judgement provided is supported.
- Compare aspects of a period in section B, part (a), rather than just writing about each period and then drawing conclusions.
- When providing causes in section B, part (b), make sure an explanation is given as to how those causes brought about the outcome.

Grade Boundaries

Grade boundaries for this, and all other papers, can be found on the website on this link:

http://www.edexcel.com/iwantto/Pages/grade-boundaries.aspx