www.xirenepapers.com

CAMBRIDGE INTERNATIONAL EXAMINATIONS

International General Certificate of Secondary Education

MARK SCHEME for the October/November 2012 series

0470 HISTORY

0470/41

Paper 4 (Alternative to Coursework), maximum raw mark 40

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge is publishing the mark schemes for the October/November 2012 series for most IGCSE, GCE Advanced Level and Advanced Subsidiary Level components and some Ordinary Level components.



Page 2	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470	41

Depth Study A: Germany, 1918–1945

1	(a) (i)	Level 1 -	Repeats material stated in the source, no inference made.	[1–2]
		Level 2 –	- Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the source e.g. Faced armed violence; sympathetic to lawbreakers; resentment against peacemaking; widespread antagonism etc.	[3–4]
		Level 3 -	Supports valid inferences with reference to the source e.g. Faced armed violence from Kapp Putsch; sympathy to lawbreakers with banned Freikorps members allowed to join Operation Consul, and right-wing papers saying patriotic Germans approved of the assassination of Walter Rathenau etc.	[5–6]
	(ii)	Level 1 -	- Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source.	[1–2]
		Level 2 -	- Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g.	
		Yes	Spartacists in Berlin; government needed Freikorps; widespread Communist impact from Bavaria to the Ruhr; increase in vote by 1924 etc.	
		No	some early socialist opposition; Eisner's murder by right-wing; speedy defeats; scale of casualties; only 'attempted' in the Ruhr; SPD maintained its vote etc.	[3–5]
		Level 3 -	- Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addresses the issue of 'How far?'	[6–7]
	(iii)	Level 1 –	- Useful/not useful – Choice made on the basis that one is more detailed/gives more information, but does not specify what information.	[1]
		Level 2 -	- Useful/not useful – One is from a Briton and the other in from a Communist so they could both be biased/unreliable.	[2]
		Level 3 -	- Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specify what information.	[3–5]
		Level 4 -	Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source(s) in context. Include at this Level answers that cross-reference between A and B to show reliability.	
			6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both.	[6–7]

Page 3	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470	41

(b) (i) Level 1 – One mark for each valid aspect to a maximum of two e.g. Refused to believe in the defeat until confirmed by Hindenburg and Ludendorff; initially refused to concede any powers; 1 October appointed Prince Max Imperial Chancellor; by the end of October he was a constitutional monarch; after Kiel Mutiny abdicated 9 November, and went to live in Holland etc. [1–2] (ii) Level 1 – Identifies aspects e.g. Head of State; Article 48, emergency powers. [1-2]Level 2 – Develops aspects e.g. Elected Head of State; Commander-in-Chief; he appointed the Chancellor; maintain public order; Article 48, emergency powers to suspend individual rights and take necessary measures by decree etc. [2 –4] (iii) Level 1 - Single reason. One for the reason, one for the explanation. [1–2] Level 2 – Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for each reason explained e.g. Already strong inflationary pressures because of war debt; scale of reparations; French/Belgian invasion of the Ruhr; economic loss; passive resistance and government printing money to pay striking workers; lack of reserves; loss of confidence in the currency etc. [2–6] (iv) Level 1 – Simple assertions. Yes, wars bring change both social and political. [1] Level 2 – Explanation of change OR lack of change, single factor given e.g. Cha No longer a monarchy; Weimar imposed upon Germany; Versailles brought political protest and social unrest; Stresemann and the Golden Years; Germans more confident in latter years of the 1920s; seemed to have beaten off right and left wing parties; impact of hyperinflation on savings/different classes; trade unions developed; architecture/ literature/cinema/radio; Berlin and its night life; American influence etc. Lack Still very conservative in the countryside; Stresemann's authority seemed like an old style ruler – as did Article 48 powers; much disapproval of high life in Berlin and the loose morals; military; judicial and industrialists wanted no change etc. NB The question refers to social and political change only. [2] Level 3 – Explanation of change OR lack of change, with multiple factors. Allow single factors with multiple reasons. OR Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of the argument (annotate BBB – Balanced but Brief). [3–5]

BOTH sides of Change AND lack of change must be addressed.

[6–8]

Level 4 – Answers that offer a balanced argument.

Page 4	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470	41

Depth Study B: Russia, 1905–1941

2

(a)	(i)	Level 1 -	Repeats material stated in the source, no inference made.	[1–2]
		Level 2 –	Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the source e.g. Lenin had been ill; he had been outmanoeuvred but showed his authority again etc.	[3–4]
		Level 3 –	Supports valid inferences with reference to the source e.g. Lenin became exhausted at meetings because of his recent illness; Politburo members had tried to sneak votes past him in his absence; he re-asserted his authority by putting time limits on meetings etc.	[5–6]
	(ii)	Level 1 –	Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source.	[1–2]
		Level 2 -	Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g.	
		Yes	Not deeply divided on issues; both industrialisers; not overly fond of peasants; disagreements less obvious than personality differences etc.	
		No	Rivals over issues like industrialisation and peasants; Stalin was accused of stealing Trotsky's policy over rapid industrialisation; rank and file saw there were differences but not large ones etc.	[3–5]
		Level 3 –	Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addresses the issue of 'How far?'	[6–7]
	(iii)	Level 1 –	Useful/not useful – Choice made on the basis that one is more detailed/gives more information, but does not specify what information.	[1]
		Level 2 –	Useful/not useful – One source is British, the other is from America, so both could be biased/unreliable.	[2]
		Level 3 –	Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specify what information.	[3–5]
		Level 4 –	Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source(s) in context. Include at this Level answers that cross-reference between A and B to show reliability.	
			6 marks for one source 7 marks for both	[6–7]

raye J		Wark Scheine	Syllabus	Гареі
		IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470	41
(b) (i)	Leve	I 1 – One mark for each valid aspect to a maxim Established as the newspaper of the Bolsh from Gorky; Stalin a contributor; Bukharin v Truth etc.	evik Party with mon	
(ii)	Leve	I 1 – Identifies Rebellion e.g. Rebellion by sailors soviet.	s who set up their ov	wn [1–2]
	Leve	I 2 – Develops aspects of the Rebellion e.g. The naval base had been great supporters of th had become disenchanted with the results made demands, set up separate soviet; cru led by Trotsky; helped influence Lenin to in	e Bolsheviks in 191 of War Communism uelly put down by tro	7; n; pops
(iii)	Leve	I 1 – Single reason. One for the reason, one for	the explanation.	[1–2]
	Leve	I 2 – Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one explained e.g. Late convert from Menshevi achievements in negotiations at Brest-Litov brilliant speaker, he was the obvious choice things for granted, arrogant, not liked by fel built support through position of Secretary- one took Stalin seriously; no-one had Lenin funeral; Stalin's role and speech at Lenin's	ks but outstanding rsk and in the Civil Ve to succeed; but he low Bolsheviks; Sta General of the Party's nomination; Leni	e took lin /; no-
(iv)	Leve	I 1 - Simple assertions.Yes, he was the obvious leader. No, he wa	s paranoid.	[1]
	Leve	I 2 – Explanation of security OR lack of security,	single factor given	e.g.
	Sec	He was leader; he had outmanoeuvred all Five Year Plans and collectivisation were of Personality working well; art and literature propaganda etc.	ver early problems;	Cult
	Lack	Largely his own paranoia made him see riv Kirov meant that he began the Great Purge military, managers, experts and 'slackers'; show his lack of security etc.	e of Old Bolsheviks,	the
	Leve	I 3 – Explanation of security OR lack of security Allow single factors with multiple reasons.	with multiple factors	
	OR	Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides	of the argument (an	notate

Syllabus

Paper

[3–5]

[6-8]

Page 5

BOTH sides of security AND lack of security must be addressed.

BBB - Balanced but Brief).

Level 4 – Answers that offer a balanced argument.

Page 6	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470	41

Depth Study C: The USA, 1919-1941

3	(a) (i)	Level 1 -	- Repeats material stated in the source, no inference made.	[1–2]
		Level 2 -	 Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the source e.g. Most groups benefited; variable impact; middle class overspending; greedy; conspicuous consumption etc. 	[3–4]
		Level 3 -	 Supports valid inferences with reference to the source e.g. Owners and investors doing very well with properties; middle class fairly well off but unused to controlling spending; skilled workers better off with higher wages; unskilled have progressed least but life is a little less intolerable etc. 	[5–6]
	(ii)	Level 1 -	- Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source.	[1–2]
		Level 2 -	- Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g.	
		Yes	Reduced tax and National Debt; benefits to trade and investment; 'freed' labour etc.	
		No	Higher wages fuelled demand; confidence; large business more ethical etc.	[3–5]
		Level 3 -	 Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addresses the issue of 'How far?' 	[6–7]
	(iii)	Level 1 -	 Useful/not useful – Choice made on the basis that one is more detailed/gives more information, but does not specify what information. 	[1]
		Level 2 -	 Useful/not useful – One is from an American analyst and the other is from President Coolidge so they could both be biased/unreliable. 	[2]
		Level 3 -	 Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specify what information. 	[3–5]
		Level 4 -	- Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source(s) in context. Include at this Level answers that cross-reference between A and B to show reliability. 6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both.	[6–7]

Page 7	•	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
<u> </u>		IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470	41
(b) (i)	Leve	el 1 – One mark for each valid industry to a maximu iron, textiles, ship building etc.	m of two e.g. Coa	ıl, [1–2]
(ii)	Leve	el 1 – Identifies problems e.g. overproduction; debt.		[1–2]
	Leve	el 2 – Develops problems e.g. Tariffs; competition from in grain; overproduction; mechanisation; price debt and loss of farms etc.		
(iii)	Leve	el 1 – Single reason. One for the reason, one for the	e explanation.	[1–2]
	Leve	el 2 – Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for Ford and production line assembly; economic falling with competition; demand increased; a purchase; increased mobility of owners etc.	es of scale; prices	•
(iv)	Leve	el 1 – Simple assertions. Yes, more jobs. No, lacked rights.		[1]
	Leve	el 2 – Explanation of benefit OR lack of benefit, sing	le factor given e.ç].
	Ben	Expansion of new industries created jobs; tari markets; could afford new consumer goods; shad shorter hours etc.	-	
	Lack	Wages not keeping pace with profits; no job porganisation; unemployment remained high; rune demand for labour; 42 per cent living below the unskilled; problems of older industries, ago the South etc.	new methods redu w poverty line – la	uced argely
	Leve	el 3 – Explanation of benefit OR lack of benefit with single factors with multiple reasons.	multiple factors. A	Allow
	OR	Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of BBB – Balanced but Brief).	the argument (an	notate [3–5]
	Leve	el 4 – Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of benefit AND lack of benefit mu	ust be addressed.	[6–8]

Page 8	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470	41

Depth Study D: China, 1945-c.1990

4

(a)	(i)	Level 1 -	Repeats material stated in the source, no inference made.	[1–2]
		Level 2 –	Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the source e.g. The troops went around in small groups; they were unfamiliar with the towns; they seemed nice people etc.	[3–4]
		Level 3 –	Supports valid inferences with reference to the source e.g. They were well-armed from a variety of sources; either Japanese weapons captured in battle or US rifles from deserting KMT troops; were polite with bows and smiles; unfamiliar with the area and had to ask for directions etc.	[5–6]
	(ii)	Level 1 –	Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source.	[1–2]
		Level 2 –	Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g.	
		Yes	KMT much better armed and equipped; KMT had the larger army; assistance from USA to transport troops to northern China etc.	
		No	Red Army got to Manchuria first; did it without the help of fellow communists in USSR; Red Army still felt it could win as manoeuvring and fighting had already started etc.	[3–5]
		Level 3 –	Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addresses the issue of 'How far?'	[6–7]
((iii)	Level 1 –	Useful/not useful – Choice made on the basis that one is more detailed/gives more information, but does not specify what information.	[1]
		Level 2 –	Useful/not useful – One is from a German and the other is from a Chinese person, so they could both be biased/unreliable.	[2]
		Level 3 –	Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specify what information.	[3–5]
		Level 4 –	Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source(s) in context. Include at this Level answers that cross-reference between A and B to show reliability.	
			6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both.	[6–7]

Page 9		Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	_	IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470	41
(b) (i)	Leve	el 1 – One mark for each valid aspect to a maximun with Nationalist supporters to Taiwan; an isla mainland China; always a threat to communis position; threats, alternative systems and sup	nd off the coast of st China because	of its
(ii)	Leve	el 1 – Identifies working e.g. Courts where landlords	were brought.	[1 – 2]
	Leve	el 2 – Develops working e.g. Courts where landlords receive allegations and both verbal and physi against the peasants over many years; land of often executed etc.	ical abuse for action	
(iii)	Leve	el 1 – Single reason. One for the reason, one for the	e explanation.	[1–2]
	Leve	el 2 – Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for explained e.g. USA hostile to communists; a with communist USSR and if China became of threaten the whole Asian continent, where the fundamental rejection of anything that smelle already invested much in keeping China nation must continue to invest etc.	Iready had a probl communist it would e USA had interes d of communism;	d ts; had
(iv)	Leve	el 1 – Simple assertions. No, the Communists definitely won it for them	nselves.	[1]
	Leve	el 2 – Explanation of KMT weakness OR other factors.	ors, single factor gi	ven
	KMT	Exhausted fighting Japanese since 1931; des support from USA, the KMT leadership, strate poor; KMT unpopular with peasants; many de etc.	egy and discipline	were
	Othe	Impact of long civil war and the war against J discipline and drive of CCP army and leaders Chinese people largely wanted peace and did delivered it etc.	ship; land issues;	side [2]
	Leve	el 3 – Explanation of KMT weakness OR other factor Allow single factors with multiple reasons.	ors with multiple fa	ctors.
	OR	Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of BBB – Balanced but Brief).	the argument (anr	notate [3–5]
	Leve	el 4 – Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of KMT weakness AND other fac addressed.	ctors must be	[6–8]

Page 10	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470	41

Depth Study E: Southern Africa in the Twentieth Century

5

(a)	(i)	Level 1 –	Repeats material stated in the source, no inference made.	[1–2]
		Level 2 –	Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the source e.g. Divided; urgent problems; some wishing to avoid apartheid; representation of blacks etc.	[3–4]
		Level 3 –	Supports valid inferences with reference to the source e.g. Dangerous situation brewing because of propaganda and actions; urgent action required for a more just solution; separation would undermine all races and the country as a whole; co-operation vital; an experienced white Senator etc	[5–6]
	(ii)	Level 1 –	Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source.	[1–2]
		Level 2 –	Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g.	
		Yes	National Party had won voter support on a clear statement of its aim; Afrikaner dominance in government would give support; nothing new; most policies favoured segregation; ruthless intention gave confidence to supporters etc.	
		No	Narrow majority; Afrikaners only 12 per cent of the population; implies division among whites – United Party and British; scale of the task etc.	[3–5]
		Level 3 –	Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addresses the issue of 'How far?'	[6–7]
	(iii)	Level 1 –	Useful/not useful – Choice made on the basis that one is more detailed/gives more information, but does not specify what information.	[1]
		Level 2 –	Useful/not useful – One is from a white South African and the other is British so they could both be biased/unreliable.	[2]
		Level 3 –	Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specify what information.	[3–5]
		Level 4 –	Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source(s) in context. Include at this Level answers that cross-reference between A and B to show reliability.	
			6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both	[6–7]

		IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470	41	
(b) (i)	Level 1 – One mark for each valid group to a maximum of two e.g. Hertzog's Afrikaner Party; Malan's National Party; Pirow's New Order Party; Broederbond; Ox-wagon Sentinel (Ossewabrandwag); pro-Nazis; republicans; Communists (till 1941).		ty;	[1–2]	
(ii)	Level 1 –	ldentifies aspects e.g. It increased membersh up.	ip; Youth League	set	[1–2]
	Level 2 –	Develops aspects e.g. Xuma president. 1940- executive stronger; 1943 – new constitution, r chiefs; active recruitment, published Africans' founded in 1944 by Lembede, Sisulu, Mandel for Pan-Africanism; cooperation with NRC for campaign etc.	reduced power of claims; Youth Le la, Tambo etc; sup	ague	[2–4]
(iii)	Level 1 –	Single reason. One for the reason, one for the	e explanation.		[1–2]
	Level 2 –	Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for explained e.g. By 1945 it was producing 50 per arms/ ammunition; naval ship repairs; ports e too dangerous; Britain carried most of the cost government domestic investment increased; of imports stimulated domestic production; chemical contents and the cost of	er cent of Allies' s xpanded as Suez st of SA military; S demand for gold; l	/Med A	[2–6]
(iv)	Level 1 –	Simple assertions. Yes, racial classification. No, continuation of e	earlier policies.		[1]
	Level 2 –	Explanation of change OR lack of change, sin	gle factor given e	.g.	
	Cha	It designated the racial group of every citizen; ended; 1953 – segregated every aspect of facremovals, restricted blacks'/coloureds' town a prohibited; Bantu Education Act; suppression reactivated ANC; Treason Trials etc.	cilities; forced ireas; black strike:		
	Lack	Reserves/townships/Pass Laws already exist power of chiefs; opposition failed – Defiance Charter; Afrikaner control tightened – Strijdon election etc.	Campaign/Freedo	m	[2]
	Level 3 –	Explanation of change OR lack of change with single factors with multiple reasons.	n multiple factors.	Allow	
	OR	Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of BBB – Balanced but Brief).	the argument (anı	notate	[3–5]
	Level 4 –	Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of change AND lack of change m	nust be addressed	I.	[6–8]

Syllabus

Paper

Page 12	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470	41

Depth Study F: Israelis and Palestinians, 1945–c.1994

6	(a) (i)	Level 1 -	Repeats material stated in the source, no inference made.	[1–2]
		Level 2 -	- Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the source e.g. Decided to act from previous experience; outcome not always popular etc.	[3–4]
		Level 3 -	Supports valid inference with reference to the source e.g. Eden decided that this 'dictator' would not be appeased; Britain had French and Israeli support; unpopular in British Commonwealth and among Britain's friends as well etc.	[5–6]
	(ii)	Level 1 -	- Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source.	[1–2]
		Level 2 -	- Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g.	
		Yes	Dishonoured commercial agreements; many people in the audience would have agreed as a dictator must not be appeased; accepts that there is a chance he could attack neighbours and close the Canal etc.	
		No	Had broken no treaties; does not rule by terror; got rid of corruption at home; land reform: had not turned on his neighbours and closed the Canal etc	[3–5]
		Level 3 –	- Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addresses the issue of 'How far?'	[6–7]
	(iii)	Level 1 -	 Useful/not useful – Choice made on the basis that one is more detailed/gives more information, but does not specify what information. 	[1]
		Level 2 -	- Useful/not useful – One is a British history book, the other is from a British newspaper, so they could both be biased/unreliable.	[2]
		Level 3 –	- Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specify what information.	[3–5]
		Level 4 –	- Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source(s) in context. Include at this Level answers that cross-reference between A and B to show reliability.	
			6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both.	[6–7]

Page 13	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470	41

- (b) (i) Level 1 One mark for each valid aspect to a maximum of two e.g. Secret plan between Britain, France and Israel for Israel to attack Egypt and advance on the Canal; Britain and France to demand that both sides withdraw 16km from the Canal; Britain knew Nasser would not comply so could invade under the pretext of taking 'police action' and, thus, secure the Canal Zone. [1–2] (ii) Level 1 – Identifies roles e.g. both were angry and threatened action. [1-2]Level 2 – Develops roles e.g. USSR threatened Britain with a missile attack – probably bluff and bluster to cover its own invasion of Hungary; USA stopped oil for Britain from its only available source in Latin America - the pound sterling collapsed etc. [2–4] (iii) Level 1 – Single reason. One for the reason, one for the explanation. [1–2] Level 2 – Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for each reason explained e.g. Resented the foreign ownership of the Canal which had been dug using Egyptian labour with many lives lost; felt that Egypt should have more control of and revenue from the Canal; had received promises from USA, Britain and World Bank in December 1955 to finance the Aswan Dam; May 1956 Nasser recognised Communist China; July, West withdrew the offer of aid, hoping Nasser would fall; 26 July, Nasser announced his intention of nationalising the Canal as he would receive more revenue from tolls than from Western aid etc. [2–6] (iv) Level 1 – Simple assertions. No, he lost money as he sank ships in the Canal. [1]
 - Level 2 Explanation of triumph OR lack of triumph, single factor given e.g.
 - Triu Had humiliated Britain and France whose influence in the Arab world would be much diminished; his current backer, the USSR, became the sponsor to many Arab states; Nasser was stronger and more popular than ever; Israel gave back gains in Sinai in 1957; Nasser could claim that Israel had only won the military campaign as it had Britain and France to help it etc.
 - Lack The Canal was closed with sunken ships; much of Egypt's Czech arms had been captured; Israel knew it could defeat Arab armies; nothing had changed in the domestic area of Egyptian politics when popularity subsided, criticism would grow again etc. [2]
 - Level 3 Explanation of triumph OR lack of triumph with multiple factors. Allow single factors with multiple reasons.
 - OR Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of the argument (annotate BBB – Balanced but Brief) [3–5]
 - Level 4 Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of triumph AND lack of triumph must be addressed. [6-8]

Page 14	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470	41

Depth Study G: The Creation of Modern Industrial Society

7	(a) (i)	Level 1 -	Repeats material seen in the source, no inference made.	[1–2]
		Level 2 -	- Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the source e.g. The demand and use for steel gets greater and greater; the whole industry must be flourishing, providing jobs and creating wealth etc.	[3–4]
		Level 3 -	- Supports valid inferences with reference to the source e.g. Demand and production of steel is climbing at a huge rate; production in 1913 is about twenty-five times as great as in 1870, in 1900 it was three times that of 1880; so there must be huge demand for steel in so many areas of construction etc.	I5 61
				[5–6]
	(ii)	Level 1 –	- Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source.	[1–2]
		Level 2 -	- Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g.	
		Yes	Converter rendered wholly unfit for the envisaged process as there was too much phosphorus in the iron ore; failure had paralysed his energies; the press insulted him as a wild enthusiast etc.	
		No	The concept of the process was fine but the iron ore spoilt it; in conception it would have produced huge masses of iron ready to be treated; if the phosphorus in the ore could be overcome he would have his crowning success; he is writing his autobiography so somebody wants to read about his Converter and his success etc.	[3–5]
		Level 3 -	- Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addresses the issue of 'How far?'	[6–7]
	(iii)	Level 1 -	 Useful/not useful – Choice made on the basis that one is more detailed/gives more information, but does not specify what information. 	[1]
		Level 2 -	- Useful/not useful – One is a graph and the other is from Sir Henry Bessemer so they could both be biased/unreliable.	[2]
		Level 3 -	- Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specify what information.	[3–5]
		Level 4 -	- Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source(s) in context. Include at this Level answers that cross-reference between A and B to show reliability.	
			6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both.	[6–7]

Page 15		Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
		IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470	41
(b) (i)	Leve	I 1 – One mark for a valid example for each half of Sheffield; (ii) Yorkshire, Durham, Newcastle asks for English examples.		` '
(ii)	Leve	l 1 – Identifies problems e.g. Underground, difficul	t to get at.	[1–2]
	Leve	I 2 – Develops problems e.g. Drainage, flooding, v gases e.g. fire damp; depth of mines, raising transportation away from the pithead etc.		ons – [2–4]
(iii)	Leve	I 1 – Single reason. One for the reason, one for the	e explanation.	[1–2]
	Leve	I 2 – Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for explained e.g. Demands of growing industry tools; expansion of the use of steam in factor engines and rails; improved technology mean steamships and naval vessels driven by scree ever improving as problems of production we production reduced the cost; domestic utensi	 for machinery ar ies and railways – nt the developmen w mechanisms; que ere solved; mass 	t of
(iv)	Leve	I 1 – Simple assertions. No, both about the same.		[1]
	Leve	I 2 – Explanation of iron and steel OR coal, single	factor given e.g.	
	1 & S	Without iron and steel industries there would in machinery or the railways as both were materials, food and people etc.	ajor drivers of indu	strial
	Coal	Coal was the driving force behind increased steel and for the steam industries; railways a have been severely restricted; domestic heal badly hit.	nd steamships wo ing would have be	uld een
		NB – They are interdependent for growth who see this.	– reward candida	tes [2]
	Leve	I 3 – Explanation of iron and steel OR coal with musingle factors with multiple reasons.	ultiple factors. Allo	N
	OR	Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of BBB – Balanced but Brief).	the argument (ani	notate [3–5]
	Leve	I 4 – Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of iron and steel AND coal must	be addressed.	[6–8]

Page 16	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470	41

Depth Study H: The Impact of Western Imperialism in the Twentieth Century

8	(a) (i)	Level 1 –	Repeats material stated in the source, no inference made.	[1–2]
		Level 2 –	Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the source e.g. Admired and envied; good role model of ruling; inspires imitation etc.	[3–4]
		Level 3 –	Supports valid inferences with reference to the source e.g. Growing empire with increasing colonial possessions; role model imperialist as governs well; inspires German rivalry etc.	[5–6]
	(ii)	Level 1 –	Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source.	[1–2]
		Level 2 –	Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g.	
		Yes	Gave protection to both weak and strong; all else consolidates their conquests and victories etc.	
		No	Justice and prudence; interest in material comfort; respect for local customs and religious beliefs inspires loyalty and acceptance of British rule etc.	[3–5]
		Level 3 –	Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addresses the issue of 'How far?'	[6–7]
	(iii)	Level 1 –	Useful/not useful – Choice made on the basis that one is more detailed/gives more information, but does not specify what information.	[1]
		Level 2 –	Useful/not useful – One source is from a Frenchman, and the other is from a German so they could both be biased/unreliable.	[2]
		Level 3 –	Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specify what information.	[3–5]
		Level 4 –	Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source(s) in context. Include at this Level answers that cross-reference between A and B to show reliability. 6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both	[6 – 7]
			o marks for one source. / marks for both.	10-/

Page 1	7	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
<u> </u>		IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470	41
(b) (i)	Leve	I 1 – One mark for each valid example to a maximum (German South-West Africa), German East A Cameroon (accept German spelling with 'K').		nibia [1–2]
(ii)	Leve	I 1 – Identifies motives e.g. Gain and reward etc.		[1–2]
	Leve	I 2 – Develops motives e.g. A ready market for go imperial and industrial nation; a source of che source of cheap labour for whatever industrie source of labour for other imperial tasks e.g. Africa; source of cheap and numerous troops and the imperialists' interests etc.	eap raw materials; es established loca Indians used in So	a lly, a outh
(iii)	Leve	I 1 – Single reason. One for the reason, one for the	e explanation.	[1–2]
	Leve	I 2 – Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for explained e.g. Wanted the prestige and recog power, not just a European one; envied earli the sun'; believed economic advantages wou celebrating the union of German states throu- territory; to prove Germany, as a state, had a	gnition as a world er empire; a 'place ld accrue; a mean gh acquisition of	
(iv)	Leve	I 1 – Simple assertions. Yes, they took land and material and made fo	ortunes.	[1]
	Leve	I 2 – Explanation of European benefit OR other fac given e.g.	ctors, single reasor	1
	Euro	Gained empires, raw materials, markets; indi Africa and India; some altruistic missionaries, they achieved their missions; traders; govern government and gained reputations – Lugard prestige etc.	explorers gained ment officials imp	if roved
	Othe	r Native populations gained from law and orde education, missionary work etc. but not alway of local political organisation; break up of tribinterference in local customs and religions; A governments made great successes of empir missionaries, explorers met sad ends etc.	vs in these fields. L al groupings; LSO not all Europe	oss ean
	Leve	I 3 – Explanation of European benefit OR other factors given. Allow single factors with multip	-	
	OR	Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of BBB – Balanced but Brief).	the argument (anr	notate [3–5]
	Leve	I 4 – Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of European benefit AND other f addressed.	actors must be	[6–8]