

Cambridge Assessment International Education Cambridge International General Certificate of Secondary Education

GLOBAL PERSPECTIVES

0457/13 October/November 2018

Paper 1 Written Examination MARK SCHEME Maximum Mark: 70

Published

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge International will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge International is publishing the mark schemes for the October/November 2018 series for most Cambridge IGCSE[™], Cambridge International A and AS Level components and some Cambridge O Level components.

Generic Marking Principles

These general marking principles must be applied by all examiners when marking candidate answers. They should be applied alongside the specific content of the mark scheme or generic level descriptors for a question. Each question paper and mark scheme will also comply with these marking principles.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 1:

Marks must be awarded in line with:

- the specific content of the mark scheme or the generic level descriptors for the question
- the specific skills defined in the mark scheme or in the generic level descriptors for the question
- the standard of response required by a candidate as exemplified by the standardisation scripts.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 2:

Marks awarded are always **whole marks** (not half marks, or other fractions).

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 3:

Marks must be awarded **positively**:

- marks are awarded for correct/valid answers, as defined in the mark scheme. However, credit is given for valid answers which go beyond the scope of the syllabus and mark scheme, referring to your Team Leader as appropriate
- marks are awarded when candidates clearly demonstrate what they know and can do
- marks are not deducted for errors
- marks are not deducted for omissions
- answers should only be judged on the quality of spelling, punctuation and grammar when these features are specifically assessed by the question as indicated by the mark scheme. The meaning, however, should be unambiguous.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 4:

Rules must be applied consistently e.g. in situations where candidates have not followed instructions or in the application of generic level descriptors.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 5:

Marks should be awarded using the full range of marks defined in the mark scheme for the question (however; the use of the full mark range may be limited according to the quality of the candidate responses seen).

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 6:

Marks awarded are based solely on the requirements as defined in the mark scheme. Marks should not be awarded with grade thresholds or grade descriptors in mind.

Question	Answer	Marks
1(a)	Candidates should identify the following trend from Source 1:	1
	urban population is increasing/rising/going up	
	1 mark should be awarded for the identification of the above.	
	Further guidance: The only acceptable answers are listed above. However, candidates may use their own words.	
1(b)	Candidates should identify two of the following reasons from Source 2:	2
	 they want to improve their standard of living find a well-paid job better opportunities for housing better opportunities for education better opportunities for healthcare better leisure opportunities better entertainment facilities 1 mark should be awarded for each of two correctly identified reasons. <i>Further guidance:</i> <i>The only acceptable answers are listed above. However, candidates may use their own words.</i> 	

Question	Answer	Marks
1(c)	Indicative content: • they want to improve their standard of living • find a well-paid job • better opportunities for housing/education/healthcare • better leisure / entertainment facilities Candidates may give the following reasons, any of which could be used, to justify their choice: • the degree of impact on quality of life • the degree of impact on quality of life • the degree of impact on lifestyle / life chances • possible further effects on lifestyle / life chances • the number of people likely to be affected • other reasonable response Further guidance: Candidates are most likely to discuss reasons from Source 2 as listed above. However, the assessment is focused mainly upon their reasoning / justification and therefore additional reasons should be credited. The following levels of response should be used to award marks: Level 3 Good response (3 marks) Clearly reasoned explanation explicitly linked to a reason with one developed point or two or three relevant but undeveloped points.	3
	Level 2 Reasonable response(2 marks)Some explanation with two (or more) undeveloped points. The link between the explanation and a reason may be implicit / unclear at times.(1 mark)Level 1 Limited response(1 mark)Identifies a reason with limited explanation not linked to the reason explicitly or simply identifies a disadvantage without explanation.(2 marks)	
	Level 0(0 marks)No relevant response or creditworthy material.	

Question	Answer	Marks
1(d)	Indicative content:	6
	 Candidates are likely to identify the following reasons in their explanation: may cause overpopulation in the cities increasing crime spread of disease 	
	 causes pressure on rural areas causes depopulation, increased poverty and fewer facilities in rural areas 	
	Level 3 Good response(5–6 marks)Clearly reasoned, credible and structured explanation; usually two (or more)developed arguments clearly linked to the issue; or three (or more)undeveloped reasons. The local dimension is explicit.	
	Level 2 Reasonable response(3-4 marks)Some reasoned explanation; usually one (or more) developed argument(s)with some link to the issue, but may be implicit at times; or two (or more)undeveloped reasons. The local dimension may be implicit at times.	
	Level 1 Limited response(1–2 marks)Limited reasoning and explanation; the response is likely to contain only simple, undeveloped and asserted points. Arguments are partial, generalised and lack clarity. The local dimension is not apparent.	
	Level 0 (0 marks) No relevant response or creditworthy material.	
	Note: Copying directly from the source without further amendment/addition should be awarded L0 – 0 marks.	

Question	Answer	Marks
2(a)	Indicative content:	6
	Candidates are likely to discuss the following evaluative points relating to Source 3:	
	 Strengths: the view of the author is stated at the very beginning there is some factual evidence this is backed up by possibly reliable sources the evidence is generally relevant the consideration of poorer families the estimates for the scale of increase of city population. 	
	 Weaknesses: there is no detail about the scale/amount of money needed or where it might come from there is no further explanation of what 'well managed' means there is no source for the notion of more congestion or housing prices what makes the cities more attractive to families? 	
	The following levels of response should be used to award marks.	
	Level 3 Good response(5–6 marks)Clearly reasoned, credible and structured evaluation; two (or more)developed points clearly linked to the issue, with some other undevelopedpoints; or a wide range (four or more) of undeveloped points.	
	Evaluation is clearly focused on the evidence, its strengths and/or weaknesses and the way it is used to support the claim.	
	A convincing overall assessment or conclusion is reached.	
	Level 2 Reasonable response(3–4 marks)Reasonable evaluation mainly focused on the evidence, its strengths and/or weaknesses, and the way it is used to support the claim. The response may contain one (or more) developed point(s), with some other undeveloped points. Some (two or more) undeveloped points may be sufficient.	
	An overall assessment or conclusion is attempted.	
	Level 1 Limited response(1–2 marks)Limited evaluation which is often unsupported and asserted. The responseis clear in part but is incomplete and generalised. It contains oneundeveloped point only. Answers at this level may repeat source materialwith little understanding.	
	An overall assessment or conclusion is weak or not attempted.	
	Level 0(0 marks)No relevant response or creditworthy material.	

Question	Answer	Marks
2(b)	Indicative content:	8
	 Candidates are likely to discuss the following ways to test the claim stated in Source 3: Possible types of information: compare statistics/information on the types of employment in the area data from local government, websites and local families individual testimony or personal experience interviews and questionnaires other relevant response. 	
	 Possible sources of information: national and local governments and their departments international organisations, e.g. United Nations; UNESCO research reports pressure groups, charities and NGOs media and the internet other relevant response. 	
	 Possible methods: review of secondary sources/literature/research/documents interview relevant experts on employment internet search questionnaires surveys other relevant response. 	
	The following levels of response should be used to award marks.	
	Level 4 Very good response(7–8 marks)Clearly reasoned, credible and structured explanation of a range of ways to test the claim. The response contains three (or more) developed points, and may contain some undeveloped points.	
	The response is clearly and explicitly related to testing the claim.	
	Level 3 Good response (5–6 marks) Reasoned and mainly credible explanation of ways to test the claim. The response contains two (or more) developed points, and may contain some undeveloped points.	
	A wide range of undeveloped points linked to the claim may enter this level of response.	
	The response is generally related to testing the claim.	
	Level 2 Reasonable response(3-4 marks)Some reasoning and explanation of ways to test the claim. The response contains one (or more) developed point(s), and/or a range of undeveloped points. The response may lack clarity.	
	The response is implicitly related to testing the claim.	

Question	Answer	Marks
2(b)	Level 1 Limited response(1–2 marks)Limited explanation of ways to test the claim. The response contains one or two simple, undeveloped and asserted points.There is little relevance in the response to testing the claim or the methods,	
	sources and types of information are generally not appropriate for the claim being tested.	
	Level 0(0 marks)No relevant response or creditworthy material.	
	Further Guidance: Responses that do not link explicitly to the issue/context are unable to score higher than Level 2.	

Question	Answer	Marks
3(a)	 Candidates may identify one of the following from Source 4: My teacher told us that this year 70 young people left our village Half of them left to find work My grandparents have lived in this village all of their lives, as did their grandparents My father runs a business here My two young sisters are living at home I have some relatives in the city Ni Lui said that soon there will be no new generations in our village Ni Lui said that our traditions will die out 1 mark should be awarded for the identification of one of the above. 	1
	Further guidance: The only acceptable answers are listed above. However, candidates may use their own words.	
3(b)	 Candidates may identify one of the following from Putu: if I am lucky I may be able to go to University there next year I will be able to send some money back to my family finding a part-time job will be easy 1 mark should be awarded for the identification of one of the above. <i>Further guidance:</i> The only acceptable answers are listed above. However, candidates may use their own words. 	1

Question	Answer	Marks
3(c)	Indicative Content:	3
	Bias is a tendency or prejudice for or against something; an attitude of strong like or dislike; an unbalanced approach not prepared to consider counter-arguments or other points of view.	
	Candidates are likely to identify the following reasons for bias from Ni Lui's background/experience:	
	 she has an emotional link to grandparents and the history of her village her father runs a business in the village and if too many people leave the village his business may have to close she would like her children to grow up in the village 	
	 Candidates are likely to identify the following features of the statement that suggest possible bias: Lack of balance Emotive language – 'our traditions will die out' Focus on local/personal evidence only 	
	The following levels of response should be used to award marks:	
	Level 3 Good response(3 marks)A clear and full explanation of why the statement may be biased supportedwith evidence from the statement. The response contains two (or more)points, with one (or more) of these developed.	
	Level 2 Reasonable response(2 marks)A basic or partial explanation of why the statement may be biased. The response contains one developed point or two undeveloped points.	
	Level 1 Limited response(1 mark)A limited explanation of why the statement may be biased. The response contains one undeveloped point.	
	Level 0(0 marks)No relevant response or creditworthy material.	

Question	Answer	Marks
3(d)	Indicative content:	15
	Candidates are expected to evaluate the arguments presented in Source 4 and compare their effectiveness. They should make a supported judgement with some explanation about which person has the most convincing argument.	
	Candidates may support their judgement by considering:	
	Strength of reasoning: • logic	
	 structure balance claims 	
	Use of language:	
	 tone – emotive, exaggerated, precise clarity 	
	Evidence:range of information and depth	
	relevancesufficiency – sample	
	 source – media; internet date – how recent 	
	 different types of information – fact, opinion, value, anecdote testimony – from experience and expert 	
	 Sources of bias local interest 	
	economic	
	 personal values experience	
	Likely consequences of the ideas presented	
	 Acceptability of their values to others how likely other people are to agree with their perspective/view 	
	The following levels of response should be used to award marks.	
	Level 5 Very good response(13–15 marks)Clear, credible and well supported points about which argument is more convincing. Coherent, structured evaluation of both arguments with clear comparison.	
	The response contains three (or more) developed evaluative points, and may include some undeveloped points.	
	A clear judgement is reached.	

Question	Answer	Marks
3(d)	Level 4 Good response(10–12 marks)Clear, supported points about which argument is more convincing.Evaluation of both arguments, with comparison.	
	The response contains two (or more) developed evaluative points and may include some undeveloped points. A wide range (four or more) of undeveloped but clearly appropriate points may be sufficient to enter this band at the lower level.	
	A judgment is reached.	
	Level 3 Reasonable response(7–9 marks)Reasonable points about which argument is more convincing. Some evaluation of both arguments, with an attempt at comparison. Judgements and evaluative points are partially supported or sometimes asserted.	
	One (or more) developed evaluative points, possibly with some undeveloped points; three (or more) undeveloped points may be sufficient to enter this band at the lower level.	
	An attempt is made to give an overall judgement.	
	Level 2 Basic response(4–6 marks)Basic points about which argument is more convincing. There may be only one argument considered in any detail, with little attempt at comparison. Judgements and evaluative points are partially supported, asserted and lack clarity/relevance at times.	
	The response contains two (or more) undeveloped points.	
	A basic judgement may be reached.	
	Level 1 Limited response(1–3 marks)Limited and unsupported points about which argument is more convincing. The response considers the arguments briefly and/or tangentially. There is little clarity. Answers at this level may repeat source material with little understanding or simply agree/disagree with the arguments presented.	
	The response may not contain any clear evaluative points.	
	Level 0(0 marks)No relevant response or creditworthy material.	

Question	Answer	Marks
4	 Indicative content: Candidates are expected to make a judgement about the best course of action to reduce the number of people moving from rural to urban areas, using reasons and evidence to justify their choice. Candidates may use and develop the material found in Sources 1 to 4, but should go beyond simply repeating or recycling without adaptation. Other material may be introduced but is not necessary to gain full marks. Candidates may consider some of the following: reference to scale of impact on the investment reference to different consequences and implications for individuals/groups/government how long it might take to make a difference with the investment the power of collective action, e.g. cooperation between countries over investment issues the influence of individuals and groups on decision making the role of vested interests and power differences potential conflicts of interest difficulties in planning and coordinating improvements from investment further cost and access to resources to implement change other reasonable response. 	24
	Level 5 Very good response(20–24 marks)Clear, well supported reasoning about the chosen course of action. Different arguments and perspectives are clearly considered.Different arguments and perspectives are clearly considered.The response contains a wide range of clearly reasoned points and/or evidence to support the views expressed, with four (or more) developed points, and some undeveloped points.Different argument is reached.The response is very well-structured and a clear judgement is reached.Level 4 Good response(15–19 marks)Clear, supported reasoning about the chosen course of action. Different arguments and perspectives are considered.Different arguments and perspectives are considered.The response contains a range of reasoned points and/or evidence to support the views expressed, with three (or more) developed points, and some undeveloped points.The response is generally well-structured and a judgement is reached.	

Question	Answer	Marks
4	Level 3 Reasonable response(10–14 marks)Some supported reasoning about the chosen course of action. Different arguments and perspectives are included.	
	The response contains some points and/or evidence to support the views expressed, with two (or more) developed points, and some undeveloped points.	
	The response is structured but at times difficult to follow and an attempt is made to give an overall judgement.	
	Level 2 Basic response(5–9 marks)Basic reasoning about the chosen course of action. Different arguments are included; perspectives, if present, are unclear. The response relies on assertion rather than evidence but contains one (or more) developed point(s) or a range of undeveloped points.	
	The response lacks structure and is difficult to follow through a basic judgement may be attempted.	
	Level 1 Limited response(1–4 marks)Limited and unsupported reasoning about the topic in general. Different arguments may be included.	
	Level 0(0 marks)No relevant response or creditworthy material.	