MNN. Firemed abers com

## **CAMBRIDGE INTERNATIONAL EXAMINATIONS**

**International General Certificate of Secondary Education** 

## MARK SCHEME for the May/June 2013 series

## 0445 DESIGN AND TECHNOLOGY

**0445/12** Paper 1 (Design), maximum raw mark 50

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge is publishing the mark schemes for the May/June 2013 series for most IGCSE, GCE Advanced Level and Advanced Subsidiary Level components and some Ordinary Level components.



	Page 2		Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
			IGCSE – May/June 2013	0445	12
1	(a)	Accept any <b>four</b> additional suitable points – easy to clean, resists water, appropriate height/position, boots easy to access/remove, stable in use, etc.		(1 × 4)	[4]
	(b)	rods, i	ot drawings of any <b>two</b> suitable methods – inverted or in vertical/horizontal boxes, on shelves, on slats, in in slots, etc.	(2 × 2)	[4]
	(c)	maxin Comn Simple of tecl Clear techni High o	uitable ideas. At least <b>three different</b> ideas for num marks. Pro rata if fewer. <b>nunication</b> e drawings displaying a low standard or limited range hniques. drawings displaying a good standard and a range of iques – shading/colour/annotation, etc. quality drawings using a wide range of techniques with annotation and detail.	(0–2) (3–4)	
		Rathe Accur	bility istic designs showing outlines only. or more detail, sensible solutions that could work ate solutions, good fitness for purpose, detailed ruction.	(0-2) (3-4) (5-6)	[12]
	(d)	2 mar	ation of each of the ideas. At least 3 evaluations up to ks each. tion and justification. (1+1)	(0-6) (2)	[8]
	(e)	Poor I Good High s show Dimei  Const A simple constr Most of views All con	ty of drawing ine quality, proportions, little detail. line work, use of colour, proportions, some detail. standard throughout with a range of techniques that clearly all detail. nsions 2 or 3 overall dimensions only – 1 Additional detail dimensions – 2 truction details plistic approach showing little or no detail of ruction to be used. constructional detail may be obvious from overall or with some annotation. nstructional detail will be clear with good annotation dditional detail drawings as necessary.	(1) (2-3) (4) (2) (0-2) (3-4) (5-6)	[12]
	(f)	Suitah	ole <b>specific</b> materials stated.	(2)	
	(')		•		Γ <i>Ν</i> 1
		Appro	priate reasons for choice.	(2)	[4]

	Page 3		Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
			IGCSE – May/June 2013	0445	12
	(g)		ole method stated/described. detailed description of: processes; tools.	(1) (3) (2)	[6]
					[Total. 30]
2	(a)	childre	ot any <b>four</b> additional suitable points – appealing to en, colourful, interesting topic, will not tear/damage, d by child, etc.	(1 × 4)	[4]
	(b)		ot any <b>two</b> forms of movement – pop-up, rotating disc ut, slider, hinge, paper spring, etc.	c, (2 × 2)	[4]
	(c)	maxin	uitable ideas. At least <b>three different</b> ideas for num marks. Pro rata if fewer. <b>nunication</b>		
		Simple drawings displaying a low standard or limited range of techniques.  Clear drawings displaying a good standard and a range of techniques – shading/colour/annotation, etc.  High quality drawings using a wide range of techniques with clear annotation and detail.  Suitability  Simplistic designs showing outlines only.  Rather more detail, sensible solutions that could work.  Accurate solutions, good fitness for purpose, detailed construction.	(0-2)		
			(3–4)		
			th (5–6)		
			(0–2) (3–4)		
			(5–6)	[12]	
	(d)		ation of each of the ideas. At least 3 evaluations up ks each.	to (0-6)	
		Select	tion and justification. (1+1)	(2)	[8]
	(e)	Quality of drawing Poor line quality, proportions, little detail. Good line work, use of colour, proportions, some detail. High standard throughout with a range of techniques that	(1) (2–3)		
		show clearly all detail. <b>Dimensions</b> 2 or 3 overall dimensions only – 1		(4)	
		Additional detail dimensions – 2  Construction details	(2)		
		constr	plistic approach showing little or no detail of ruction to be used.  constructional detail may be obvious from overall	(0–2)	
		views	views or with some annotation. All constructional detail will be clear with good annotation and additional detail drawings as necessary.	(3–4)	
				(5–6)	[12]

Page 4		e 4	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
			IGCSE – May/June 2013	0445	12
	(f)	Suitab	ole <b>specific</b> materials stated.	(2)	
		Appro	priate reasons for choice.	(2)	[4]
	(g)	Suitable method stated/described. Good detailed description of: processes;		(1) (3)	
			tools.	(2)	[6]
					[Total: 50]
3	(a)	acces	ot any <b>four</b> additional suitable points – hygienic, easi sible, easy to clean, fits in with garden surroundings ful, anchored to ground, etc.	•	[4]
	(b)		ot any <b>two</b> safety considerations – falling from height ed fingers/limbs, slipping, location in seats, sharp s, etc.	., (2 × 2)	[4]
	(c)	maxin	uitable ideas. At least <b>three different</b> ideas for num marks. Pro rata if fewer. <b>nunication</b>		
		Simple drawings displaying a low standard or limited range of techniques.	(0–2)		
		Clear drawings displaying a good standard and a range of techniques – shading/colour/annotation, etc.	(3–4)		
		High quality drawings using a wide range of techniques with clear annotation and detail.	ith (5–6)		
		<b>Suital</b> Simpli	bility stic designs showing outlines only.	(0–2)	
			ther more detail, sensible solutions that could work. curate solutions, good fitness for purpose, detailed	(3–4)	
			ruction.	(5–6)	[12]
	(d)		ation of each of the ideas. At least 3 evaluations up ks each.	to (0-6)	
		Select	tion and justification. (1+1)	(2)	[8]

Page 5	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	IGCSE – May/June 2013	0445	12

(e)	Quality of drawing Poor line quality, proportions, little detail. Good line work, use of colour, proportions, some detail. High standard throughout with a range of techniques that show clearly all detail.  Dimensions 2 or 3 overall dimensions only – 1  Additional detail dimensions – 2  Construction details A simplistic approach showing little or no detail of construction to be used.  Most constructional detail may be obvious from overall	(1) (2–3) (4) (2) (0–2)	
	views or with some annotation.	(3–4)	
	All constructional detail will be clear with good annotation and additional detail drawings as necessary.	(5–6)	[12]
(f)	Suitable <b>specific</b> materials stated.	(2)	
	Appropriate reasons for choice.	(2)	[4]
(g)	Suitable method stated/described. Good detailed description of: processes; tools.	(1) (3) (2)	[6]