

Examiners' Report/ Principal Examiner Feedback

Summer 2010

IGCSE

IGCSE Chemistry (4335) Paper 2H

Edexcel is one of the leading examining and awarding bodies in the UK and throughout the world. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers.

Through a network of UK and overseas offices, Edexcel's centres receive the support they need to help them deliver their education and training programmes to learners.

For further information please call our Customer Services on + 44 1204 770 696, or visit our website at www.edexcel.com.

If you have any subject specific questions about the content of this Examiners' Report that require the help of a subject specialist, you may find our **Ask The Expert** email service helpful.

Ask The Expert can be accessed online at the following link:

<http://www.edexcel.com/Aboutus/contact-us/>

Alternately, you can speak directly to a subject specialist at Edexcel on our dedicated Science telephone line: 0844 576 0037

(If you are calling from outside the UK please dial + 44 1204 770 696 and state that you would like to speak to the **Science** subject specialist).

Summer 2010

Publications Code UG024204

All the material in this publication is copyright

© Edexcel Ltd 2010

4335 Paper 2H Chemistry Report - Summer 2010

Section A

Questions in this section are targeted at grades D and C.

Question 1

This question was based on the reaction of metals with water. Most candidates gained the mark in (a)(i), although a number thought calcium would float; it should be noted that "a gas is given off" is not an observation, it is a conclusion - the gas made is colourless and can not be seen. In (a)(ii) there were some answers given which contradicted the answer given in (i); the most common correct answer referred to the sodium melting. The most common errors in (b) were to think the reactions produced metal oxides, rather than hydroxides, and to give a name rather than a formula in (ii). Most gained full marks in (c), although a number just gave the result of the test ("squeaky pop") rather than stating a test and result. In (d) many candidates failed to identify the ion concerned, often giving the metal ion.

Question 2

This question was based on oxygen. The decomposition of hydrogen peroxide is commonly met in work on catalysis while studying rates of reaction and many candidates were familiar with the reaction. However, errors in identifying the solid were more common than errors in identifying the solution - potassium manganate(VII) was often given, there were errors in the oxidation state of the manganese and there was some confusion between manganese and magnesium. Most candidates gained full marks in (b) and (c). The state symbols in (d) should have caused few problems if candidates had read the question with care; they were told G was a solution (and so would be (aq)) and they should be aware that water is met as a liquid (hence (l)) rather than as a solution. In (d)(ii) some candidates tried to ignore the equation and just recall the answer "loss of electrons"; it is difficult to justify that the sulphide ion has in fact lost electrons whereas the equation makes the gain of oxygen evident. In (e)(i) a significant number of candidates did not know the formula of sulphur dioxide; SO₄ was a common error. While most knew that sulphur dioxide was acidic, many candidates were unaware of the test for sulphur dioxide in (iii); seemingly random colours were given in many cases.

Question 3

This question was about the ionic compound magnesium chloride. Part (a) was well answered with many clear answers seen. However, some candidates stated that the electrons were shared and so scored zero. Part (b) was well answered; only a small minority failed to recall the definition of oxidation in terms of electrons. In part (c), very few candidates scored full marks. Most failed to state that the strong attractions were between oppositely charged ions. By far the most common problem was the incorrect use of terminology; many candidates used words such as "atom" or even "molecule" with total disregard for their meanings. If the particles concerned were atoms, then we would be talking about covalent bonding, and if there were intermolecular forces than we would be dealing with a simple molecular substance with a low melting point.

Question 4

This question was about crude oil. Most candidates gained the mark in (a)(i), although the most common error was "cracking". In (a)(ii), many candidates would have been well advised to plan their answers before starting to write. A number described the fractions boiling off one by one (laboratory process) or focussed on the importance of melting points. It should be noted that the oil is heated and vaporised before it is passed into the fractionating tower. In part (b) relatively few candidates were happy having bitumen as the answer to two parts. Part (iv) caused unexpected problems, a common error being to list fractions that were already named in the question; some candidates seemed not to realise that gasoline is petrol while others seemed not to understand that petroleum is crude oil. There were some very poor answers in (c), some candidates attempted to write an equation for cracking while others had one of the missing products being the same substance as they gave for the missing reagent. Part (d) was well answered, although there seemed to be candidates mixing up the trend in physical properties with the similarity of chemical properties. It should be noted that in an homologous series, neighbouring or successive members differ by CH_2 , not all members.

Section B

Questions in this section are targeted at grades A*, A and B.

Question 5

This question was about atoms and was, in general, well answered. In (a) the most common error was to omit a reference to atoms. All aspects of (b) were well answered. In (c)(i) most candidates gained the mark for working out the percentage of Cu-65. A number of candidates gave answers that were not between 63 and 65, and so had to be wrong - candidates should be encouraged to think about their answers in relation to the question. There was also some confusion between significant figures and decimal places. Most candidates gave good answers to (c)(ii), although some answers were incomplete, just saying things such as "chemical properties depend on the number of electrons" without stating that the number of electrons in each isotope was identical.

Question 6

This question was about magnesium carbonate and proved to be very demanding for some candidates. In (a) it was not uncommon to find oxygen on the left hand side of the equation (as though it was combustion) despite the question stating that the process was thermal decomposition. Magnesium was a common product, as was carbon and carbon monoxide. In (b) it was evident that many candidates did not know the solubility rules given in the specification; some candidates selected salts that contained neither carbonate nor magnesium. In (b)(ii) there were some well constructed equations, but many candidates did not know the valencies of the ions involved and so could not produce an acceptable equation. Some candidates did not read (iii) with sufficient care and proceeded to describe how the salt solutions are reacted together. Most gained the mark for filtration (although some felt the need to describe at length how filtration is carried out); a common omission was the washing of the residue, but some candidates did not seem to understand that the required product was the precipitate and proceeded to evaporate the filtrate.

Question 7

This question was about hydrocarbons. Part (a) was well answered, although a small minority of candidates got the test results the wrong way round or gave an incorrect test reagent (such as bromide). Almost all candidates gained the mark in (b). In part (c) the expected error of drawing a linear structure was common, despite the structure in the diagram being drawn in such a way as to try to reduce the chance of this error. Most gained the mark for the name of the polymer, but some candidates went for "eth" rather than "prop" and a few called it an "...ane" rather than an "...ene".

Question 8

This question explored metal reactivity and its application. There were some good equations in (a)(i), although errors in the valency of copper or zinc were common, as were errors in the formula of the sulphate ion. It should be noted that although the copper salt used was a copper(II) salt, the metal produced in the reaction would be copper, and so the symbol Cu(II) is incorrect on the right hand side of the equation. There were few errors in (a)(ii), but some candidates were careless and claimed that "copper is less reactive than copper". In part (iii) candidates were directed to use the information in the table. While there were many completely correct answers, a large number thought the zinc sulphate produced would be white (ignoring the fact that it would be a solution) and many only gave one colour change rather than one for the solution and one for the solid. The most common error in (b)(i) was to call the technique "galvanising" - which it is not (galvanising involves fully coating the surface in zinc, not attaching discrete blocks). Part (b)(ii) was better answered than when it has been asked previously and most candidates worked out the problem with using copper in (iii), although some incorrectly compared the reactivity of copper and zinc.

Question 9

This question was based on the formation and properties of hydrogen chloride. The chemical equation in (a) was very well answered - most candidates scored two marks, and a number scored one (a mark being lost through failure to balance the equation). Those who scored zero normally did so because they had an incorrect formula in the equation - this was often the formula of hydrogen chloride, in these cases the formula given tended not to match the bonding diagram given in (c)(i). Despite many good answers in (b), there were some common errors and omissions; it was common to omit the starting colour of the universal indicator, or mistakenly claim it was colourless. It was, rather surprisingly, also fairly common for candidates to claim the hydrogen chloride was alkaline or that it would behave like chlorine and bleach the indicator. The diagram in (c) was often correct but the explanation in (ii) often scored only one mark - for reference to the shared pair of electrons, many candidates seemed to have no appreciation of the fact that it is the mutual attraction of the nuclei of the bonded atoms to the shared pair that is a "covalent bond". There was very careless use of terminology in (d), with "ions" and "atoms" being common errors along with the idea that a covalent bond is weak.

The calculation in (e) was very well answered by many candidates, although the conversion of volume to dm^3 was often omitted in (i) and (iii); the 1:3 ratio in the equation seemed to cause very few difficulties.

Question 10

This question was based on the hydration of alkenes. Most candidates gained marks in (a), although there was some confusion with other industrial processes evident. The calculation in (b) produced some good answers; there were, however, a couple of common errors - these were failure to include the formation of the C-C in (ii) and performing the subtraction the wrong way round in (iii). Part (c) required candidates to take the hydration of ethene to form ethanol and work out what alkene could be used to make propan-1-ol; while there were some good answers, this proved to be a step too far for many candidates - a very large number of candidates gave structures with three or five co-ordinate carbon atoms.

Question 11

The calculation in (a) proved very difficult for some candidates. In (i) all that was required was correct use of the ratio of the stoichiometric coefficients in the provided equation; this did not prevent candidates trying all sorts of mathematical manipulations with the provided data. In (ii) candidates were expected to calculate the relative formula mass of copper(II) carbonate and use this, and the answer to (i), to calculate a mass. A mark was available for correct working if a candidate got the final answer wrong, but it could only be awarded where working could be followed. Part (iii) of (a) proved to be the most poorly answered part of the calculation; many candidates tried to use formula masses in their calculations while those who did the right thing and simply multiplied the number of moles by the molar volume of a gas sometimes still managed to lose a mark by stating incorrect units in their answer. Part (b) was well answered; many candidates knew the formula of the complex ion, while the most common omission was the formation of the blue precipitate prior to the blue solution.

Question 12

This question was based on the electrolysis of brine. In (a) many candidates focussed on why metals are able to conduct electricity rather than address the whole question which also required description of the structure of a metal - including the lattice of **positive** ions. Part (b)(i) yielded many surprising answers; it was common for candidates to think along the line that because iron contains delocalised electrons it will repel the negative chloride ion, or that the delocalised electrons prevent the chloride ion losing an electron. All that was required was an understanding that chlorine is a reactive element and so will react with the iron electrode. There was a wide variety of wrong answers in (b)(ii), many of them involving materials that were not metals (carbon), would be prohibitively expensive (gold) or would still react (sodium!). Splitting the calculation in (c) into two parts seemed to help candidates work through it successfully. Common errors were a failure to convert time into seconds in (i) and missing the 1:2 ratio in the equation in (ii); some candidates tried to work out the mass of chlorine produced, despite being asked for the number of moles.

General points

- If a word equation is asked for then a chemical equation (using formulae) will not score. The opposite is also true.
- If **one** or **two** etc. reasons points are asked for then it is not a good idea to give more than the requested number since any errors will lead to the loss of a mark.
- If a colour is required, do not give two colours as the answer as both colours must be correct.
- If a temperature (or pressure) is required then do not give a range since both extremes of the range must be correct.
- Candidates should use words in calculations to explain what they are doing.

CHEMISTRY 4335, GRADE BOUNDARIES

Option 1: with Written Alternative to Coursework (Paper 3)

	A*	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
Foundation Tier				55	44	33	22	11
Higher Tier	78	65	52	39	27	21		

Option 2: with Coursework (Paper 04)

	A*	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
Foundation Tier				58	46	34	23	12
Higher Tier	79	67	55	43	30	23		

Note: Grade boundaries may vary from year to year and from subject to subject, depending on the demand of the question paper.

Further copies of this publication are available from
International Regional Offices at www.edexcel.com/international

For more information on Edexcel qualifications, please visit www.edexcel.com
Alternatively, you can contact Customer Services at www.edexcel.com/asktheexpert or on + 44 1204 770 696

Edexcel Limited. Registered in England and Wales no.4496750
Registered Office: One90 High Holborn, London, WC1V 7BH