

Examiners' Report Principal Examiner Feedback

January 2018

Pearson Edexcel International GCSE In Arabic (4AR0) Paper 2



Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications are awarded by Pearson, the UK's largest awarding body. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information visit our qualifications websites at <u>www.edexcel.com</u> or <u>www.btec.co.uk</u>. Alternatively, you can get in touch with us using the details on our contact us page at <u>www.edexcel.com/contactus</u>.

Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Pearson aspires to be the world's leading learning company. Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for our commitment to high standards and raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: www.pearson.com/uk

January 2018 Publications Code 4AR0_02_1801_ER All the material in this publication is copyright © Pearson Education Ltd 2018

4AR02 January 2018

This paper required students to produce **two** pieces of continuous writing. Candidates were asked to choose **two** tasks from a choice of six. They were advised to write about 300 words on each of their chosen topics. The six tasks included a range of writing styles. Each piece of writing was marked out of 30 marks according to the marking grid on pages 6-7 of the specification. The 30 marks were awarded on three writing qualities; 18 marks for Communication and Content, 6 marks for Application of Language and 6 marks for Accuracy.

This exam is designed to assess candidates' performance and writing ability, rather than choosing among pre-determined options, as in multiple choice questions. Candidates were expected to construct and produce a thorough piece of writing in response to question demands. As this exam allowed candidates to construct an original response, examiners' task was to assess the cognitive thinking and reasoning skills and candidates' ability to apply knowledge to solve realistic, meaningful problems in a well-structured language style.

Question demands and candidates' performance:

Question 1:

In this question, the candidates were asked to write an essay about the importance of moderation in the use of the internet and to elaborate on the risks of its excessive use. This question proved to be the most popular question among the candidates where the majority of candidates responded to it. Candidates generally found the topic easy to write confidently about. Many candidates excelled in their answers and managed to score well here. The popularity of the topic of this question, and the familiarity of its topic to the candidates resulted in a larger number of candidates with higher marks in comparison to other questions. Higher marks were awarded to those candidates who concentrated and elaborated mainly on the risks of the excessive use of the internet and the importance of moderation rather than listing the advantages and disadvantages.

Question 2:

In this question candidates were asked to write a speech in which they should expand and elaborate about their own or someone else's experiences of achieving goals in life through determination, motivation and drive.

In general, candidates responded very well to this question. They understood the key words in the question and were able to respond accordingly, providing a personal account of an experience which they had gone through showing determination and great resilience. In general, students used the appropriate language and speech conventions to complete the task. A few candidates forgot to include an appropriate speech ending, despite achieving a desirable content that fulfilled the task requirements in the body of their work. A few candidates wrote very broadly about the importance of determination and resilience in life and provided speeches that were too generic that did not contain a personal account in which they have had to rely on these traits.

Question 3:

In this question candidates were asked to write a report about issues related to healthy food and the importance of having a healthy lifestyle and healthy bodies, all of which was discussed in a focus group they attended.

The topic of this question was a popular topic and many candidates enjoyed writing about it. Although a question which requires writing a report is not so popular among candidates, more candidates responded to this report question than in previous years, but only those who managed to respond well to the required task in a report style were able to score well here.

Question 4:

Candidates were required to write a letter about how voluntary activities can help in building and integrating society. **The voluntary work could be referred to as participation or donation.**

This question was not as popular as other questions. Many of those who tried this question showed good understanding of the task and managed to score well in communication and content.

Question 5:

Candidates were asked to write an essay about the effects of the increasing number of public parks on the environment as well as on the lives of people in cities.

This question proved to be the most challenging question to candidates who attempted it. Higher marks were scored by candidates who were able to fully access and respond to the task. All candidates fully understood the first part of the question which dealt with the impact of the Ministry of Environment's plans to increase the number of public green spaces / gardens on the environment. This part was handled, in most cases, well as candidates understood how this initiative had a number of environmental benefits. Having said this, the second part of this question caused a lot of confusion for many candidates even those who completed the first part very well. This was because many candidates considered the increase in green spaces/ gardens in their towns would have a negative impact citing reasons such as an increase in traffic; lack of parking spaces; expensive housing due to lack of land to build on and so on.

A very small number of candidates did not adhere to the specified format for the response and wrote a speech instead of an article.

Question 6:

In this question, as in the previous series, candidates were asked to write a story linked to a picture that had been provided. About half of the candidates who tried this question managed to produce well-structured stories which were relevant to the provided picture.

General Comments

In general, most candidates were able to understand the required tasks. The most serious issue that examiners noticed in this series was the bad handwriting. They also noticed that a considerable number of candidates required more training on writing with good and correct language structure and grammar.

Samples of candidates' performance:

a. According to the Mark Scheme, a high standard response is the one which shows that the candidate has clearly understood the task, and is able to narrate, expand, give full descriptions and express opinions as appropriate to the task using appropriate vocabulary, idiom and complex sentence structures, with a high level of accuracy. Furthermore, it has to be coherent as well as easy and pleasant to read.

The following two paragraphs are from a high-standard candidate who managed to score the highest marks. The response may not be faultless, but the candidate responded fully to the task in question 3, in writing a well-structured report with a good level of accuracy in grammar and spelling. The report is coherent and pleasant to read.

The following response is what the mark scheme describes as pedestrian, although there is some evidence that the student can go beyond a minimal response due to some expansion of ideas and opinions as appropriate to the task. There are some attempts to link the piece together as a whole however it may be sometimes ambiguous. The candidate's handwriting required attention and improvements.

مديقي العزيز/. -. / 2ilais tut a stat Rel NU NI Coi ILLIO m soflit. and 1, <u>e</u>s >10 العز يز 5118 Euro gulting o the Li so g àne la احب العزيز wilno z af 91 Fin le ا ن slin à Ers pill Unii 6 à chuile dat is wi Liss Sier, shu a Sur lie ino pro 19 روه منتل النعبان ورفانت to unt iame, EL uppe actives 901 un list og ag , Co i is many electrons hu inine Bi ani time of the strange of the stand of the stan Al chrun tha Eleni it was dis and م) عد هم بل ³نك الا تدبيد أن المحقق تفعل دللعا وأيضا عندما Eco Binde ou Turado al all lie slie slie when Fi

زرى فريت و جمع النار بارمم يبتسون مثل النانيم Expil Chin & I and hast jour cells , sl, or No e Day US Les e _____ , 12, 51 Jie o webl and - pro la contra -Let . Locisels Fin parti de i -2 ellis raisall is a se fun pales Finide Edges in Finite laleo! Linils, edg) Ited/2 gla ف بالجر الناس الذين ليس e pouriel spiriel is sel for بما تنعطى الغلباء والفقراء أكل المعبامن ما تتنبل و آن U. ree (llas Lungele Jer itto in

Tight a sign why why why will all a sign and the sign of the sign and the sin the sign and the sin the sign a Jeni Brundi Winderig e inne lloging wige and and Fi Zic of Durch surgi ن) دون وطيبون ويفعلون آى ist quill Esclard si لات فعد قلت لم درس من shuin ins as pull abili & آن يكون ناس جوندل و اطيب فان با مديقي (يجب آن أسال نس قل آتا آبريد الجنه آن يكون Le oùt il the ciq et ر ير عمل الحدثيم أن لكون مغل Wie Lip P e Bul un Usin The priver and you with the history e hung alies and sully skon liter.

This is an example of a candidate who misunderstood most of the task in question 5, and as there was little relevant material, the candidate was not able to score well in all three assessment measures, Communication and Content, Knowledge and Application of Language and Accuracy. The response is too brief due to the lack of elaboration, description and expansion on relevant points.

1 Italy apply in a file line مرائق العلمة مرزارة عددها 1 2 اتوزا العرار سوف رؤثر على alta وعلى 1 حمام الناس على در قدما. and life Tul (i light) JS LUJ All LS ا(زاره ro Laid 4 J.W

Advice to candidates:

Candidates are advised to:

- قراءة السؤال بتأنى ومحاولة فهم ما هو مطلوب.
- الالتزام بالكمية المطلوب كتابتها من حيث العدد المطلوب من الكلمات.
- التأكد من إعطاء أمثلة لها صلة وثيقة بالفكرة الرئيسة لموضوع الكتابة.
- التعرف على أنواع الكتابة الأدبية المختلفة قبل الدخول إلى الامتحان، وذلك لأن لكل نوع أُسلوب خاص في الكتابة؛ فأسلوب كتابة التقرير يختلف عن القصة، وأسلوب كتابة الرسالة يختلف عن الخطاب وهكذا دواليك.
 - 1. Make sure that each point of view is referred to and clearly stated in one paragraph.
 - 2. It is important to link your paragraphs together by reading what you have written before.
 - 3. It is better to be clear when you write your ideas.
 - 4. Write about what you know, and what you believe in. Examiners assess your language ability rather than your point of view.
 - 5. If you feel under pressure in the exam, focus as much on examples as explanations when you write. Make sure that your examples are relevant to the main idea.
 - 6. Do not write too much. The more you write, the more likely you are to make language mistakes, or to go off topic.
 - 7. See the whole essay in your head before you start writing. If you do not do that, you may lose significant marks for both coherence and task response.
 - 8. Focus on the backbone of your essay. The backbone is:
 - a. **The introduction**: this should identify the question and outline your position. Don't rush it as it is the first thing the examiner will read. First impressions count.

- b. **The first/topic sentences of each paragraph**: these should be clear and to the point. They should identify exactly what that paragraph is about and show how it relates to the rest of the essay. Start off general and then build towards the specific.
- c. **The conclusion**: this is the easiest part of the essay normally. Most often, all you need to do is go back to the introduction and rephrase it.
- 9. Before you write each paragraph of your response, refer to the question to remind yourself about what you are meant to write about.
- 10. Enrich your general knowledge through reading different styles of writing from books, magazines, newspapers and the internet, as well as acquiring knowledge from other media sources.
- 11. Read and understand previous International GCSE exam material which normally carries valuable information that can be very helpful when answering future exams.
- 12. Read the model sample answers of previous exams.
- 13. Familiarise yourselves with the format of the question paper.
- 14. Adhere to writing on the designated lined pages in the answer book.
- 15. Clearly mark the question of your choice and answer it in the specified area (lined pages) ensuring that there isn't any answer of any other question in the same area.
- 16. Ask for extra lined paper from invigilators should the specified area not be big enough for your responses.
- 17. Underline the important words or phrases that refer to the required task, when answering a question, to ensure that you respond to the entire task.

Grade Boundaries

Grade boundaries for this, and all other papers, can be found on the website on this link:

http://www.edexcel.com/iwantto/Pages/grade-boundaries.aspx

Pearson Education Limited. Registered company number 872828 with its registered office at 80 Strand, London, WC2R 0RL, United Kingdom