## PHILOSOPHY STANDARD LEVEL PAPER 1

Friday 3 November 2000 (afternoon)

2 hours

## INSTRUCTIONS TO CANDIDATES

- Do not open this examination paper until instructed to do so.
- Answer two questions.

880-066 3 pages

Not all questions are formulated in the same way: sometimes they are direct questions, sometimes they are statements you are asked to explain and assess.

For each question you choose, you should do the following: explain the concepts involved in the question; identify the presuppositions of the question, if there are any; find arguments (and counter-arguments) and examples (and counter-examples) to support your views and your answer.

- 1. Can human behaviour be reduced to explanations in mechanical terms?
- **2.** Is it possible to love somebody we don't really know?
- 3. 'The fact that we are genetically different *should* justify the superiority of some (the most talented) over others (the less talented).' Explain and critically assess this proposition.
- **4.** How can we define injustice?
- 5. 'Since I do not have a responsibility for the laws and norms which were decided before my birth, what was decided before my birth should not limit my Liberty.' Explain and critically assess this proposition.
- **6.** Could there be a society without coercion?
- 7. Is it justified to differentiate between natural and artificial needs?
- **8.** If it is impossible to combine the well-being of all humans and the preservation of nature, what should we choose?
- **9.** Is work an impediment to the true enjoyment of life or do earnings provide the means to enjoy it?
- **10.** Is intuition rational?
- 11. Do we need not only sensations but also concepts in order to perceive?
- **12.** 'The only function of reason is to provide a justification of and means for satisfying our passions.' Explain and critically assess this statement.

- 13. Is it self-evident that torture is morally wrong and that compassion is morally right?
- **14.** Should we regard the International Declaration of Human Rights as the minimum acceptable foundation for a secular international ethics?
- **15.** Since different religions make contradictory claims, does it not follow that they are all true or all false?