M13/3/PHILO/HP3/ENG/TZ0/XX/M



International Baccalaureate[®] Baccalauréat International Bachillerato Internacional

MARKSCHEME

May 2013

PHILOSOPHY

Higher Level

Paper 3

6 pages

This markscheme is **confidential** and for the exclusive use of examiners in this examination session.

– 2 –

It is the property of the International Baccalaureate and must **not** be reproduced or distributed to any other person without the authorization of the IB Assessment Centre.

Note to examiners

This markscheme outlines what members of the paper setting team had in mind when they devised the questions. The topics listed in the bullet points indicate possible areas candidates might cover in their answers. They are not compulsory points and not necessarily the best possible points. They are only a framework to help examiners in their assessment. Examiners should be responsive to any other valid points or any other valid approaches.

-3-

Paper 3 guidance

Examiners are reminded that in the examination paper it states that candidates are expected to demonstrate the following skills. Since these skills are encouraged within the assessment criteria, examiners should take them into account in their marking:

- develop a response in an organized way using clear, precise language, which is appropriate to philosophy
- identify pertinent issues regarding the philosophical activity raised in the text
- *take an independent position about the nature of philosophical activity in relation to the ideas developed in the text*
- draw upon, and show a holistic appreciation of, the skills, material and ideas developed throughout the course.

Candidates are also told that their responses are expected to include:

- a concise description of philosophical activity as presented in the text
- an exploration of the pertinent issues regarding philosophical activity raised in the text, relating this to their experience of doing philosophy throughout the whole course
- appropriate references to the text that illustrate their understanding of philosophical activity
- their personal evaluation of the issues regarding philosophical activity raised in the text.

Using the assessment criteria

Answers on Paper 3 are assessed according to the assessment criteria set out on pages 4–5.

Paper 3 assessment criteria

A Expression

- Has the student formulated the response in an organized way?
- How clear and precise is the language used by the student?
- To what extent is the language appropriate to philosophy?

| Achievement | Descriptor |
|-------------|---|
| Level | |
| 0 | The student has not reached level 1. |
| 1 | The student expresses some basic ideas but it is not always clear what the response is |
| | trying to convey. The use of language is not appropriate to philosophy. |
| 2 | The student presents some ideas in an organized way. There is some clarity of |
| | expression but the response cannot always be followed. The use of language is not |
| | always appropriate to philosophy. |
| 3 | The student presents ideas in an organized way and the response can be easily followed. |
| | The use of language is appropriate to philosophy. |
| 4 | The student presents ideas in an organized and coherent way and the response is clearly |
| | articulated. The use of language is effective and appropriate to philosophy. |
| 5 | The student presents ideas in an organized, coherent and incisive way, insights are |
| | clearly articulated and the response is focused and sustained. The use of language is |
| | precise and appropriate to philosophy. |

B Exploration

- How well does the student identify pertinent issues regarding philosophical activity raised in the text?
- How effectively does the student explore the text and present appropriate examples and/or illustrations?
- How well does the student draw on the experience of doing philosophy throughout the whole course in exploring issues raised in the text?

| Achievement | Descriptor |
|-------------|--|
| Level | |
| 0 | The student has not reached level 1. |
| 1 | The student demonstrates little or no evidence of identifying pertinent issues regarding |
| | philosophical activity raised in the text. |
| 2 | The student demonstrates some evidence of identifying pertinent issues regarding |
| | philosophical activity raised in the text. |
| 3 | The student demonstrates satisfactory evidence of identifying pertinent issues regarding |
| | philosophical activity raised in the text. Examples or illustrations are used in support of |
| | exploring the issues. |
| 4 | The student demonstrates good evidence of identifying pertinent issues regarding |
| | philosophical activity raised in the text. Appropriate examples or illustrations are used in |
| | support of exploring the issues. The student draws on some experience of doing |
| | philosophy throughout the course in exploring the issues raised in the text. |
| 5 | The student demonstrates precise evidence of identifying pertinent issues raised |
| | regarding philosophical activity in the text. Examples or illustrations are well chosen |
| | and are compelling in support of exploring the issues. The student draws insightfully on |
| | the experience of doing philosophy throughout the whole course in exploring the issues |
| | raised in the text. |

C Relevance of the response and understanding of philosophical activity

- How detailed and appropriate are the student's references to the text?
- How relevant is the response to the text?
- How well does the response demonstrate an understanding of philosophical activity?

| Achievement | Descriptor |
|-------------|--|
| Level | |
| 0 | The student has not reached level 1. |
| 1–2 | The student makes no references to the text. There is only a basic understanding of the |
| | way the text raises issues about philosophy as an activity. |
| 3–4 | The student makes few relevant references to the text. There is a limited understanding |
| | of the way the text raises issues about philosophy as an activity and the beginnings of an |
| | awareness of how philosophical activity is carried out. |
| 5–6 | The student makes some relevant references to the text. There is a satisfactory |
| | understanding of the way the text raises issues about philosophy as an activity and a |
| | limited awareness of how philosophical activity is carried out. |
| 7–8 | The student makes effective references to the text. There is a good understanding of the |
| | way the text raises issues about philosophy as an activity and an awareness of how |
| | philosophical activity is carried out. |
| 9–10 | The student makes compelling and convincing references to the text. There is an |
| | in-depth understanding of the way the text raises issues about philosophy as an activity |
| | and a clear awareness of how philosophical activity is carried out. |

D Evaluation and personal response

- How well does the student evaluate the philosophical activity raised in the text?
- To what extent does the student express a relevant personal response?

| Achievement | Descriptor |
|-------------|---|
| Level | |
| 0 | The student has not reached level 1. |
| 1–2 | The student expresses little or no personal response. There is little or no evaluation of |
| | the philosophical activity raised in the text. |
| 3–4 | The student expresses little personal response to the issues regarding philosophical |
| | activity raised in the text. There is a basic evaluation of the philosophical activity raised |
| | in the text. |
| 5–6 | The student expresses some personal response to the issues regarding philosophical |
| | activity raised in the text. There is a satisfactory evaluation of the philosophical activity |
| | raised in the text. |
| 7–8 | The student expresses a thoughtful personal response to the issues regarding |
| | philosophical activity raised in the text. There is a good evaluation of the philosophical |
| | activity raised in the text. |
| 9–10 | The student expresses a thoughtful and insightful personal response to the issues |
| | regarding philosophical activity raised in the text. There is a convincing evaluation of |
| | the philosophical activity raised in the text. |

Unseen text - exploring philosophical activity

This paper consists of an unseen text to which candidates are required to write a response. The purpose of the exercise is to allow candidates to reflect upon and explore the nature, function, methodology and meaning of philosophical activity as presented in the text, relating this to the candidate's experience of doing philosophy throughout the course (drawing on all elements including the Core Theme, Optional Themes, the Prescribed Text and Internal Assessment). Responses will reflect this in different ways, using specific examples from the candidate's own experience of the course. Responses will use the text extract to identify pertinent issues which enable an exploration of philosophical activity, and references to the text extract should reflect an understanding of the way the text raises issues about philosophy as an activity.

In this particular text extract there are many pertinent issues raised about philosophical activity including: the relationship between philosophy and persuasion by legitimate means; whether persuasion by legitimate means differs between "analytic" approaches to philosophy and "Continental" approaches; what the place of argument is in philosophy; whether there is a difference in the construal of argument between "analytic" and "Continental" approaches; whether there is a difference in the construal of argument between "analytic" and "Continental" approaches; whether the willingness of an audience to be persuaded has any bearing upon what constitutes philosophical argument; whether Cora Diamond's conviction that imagination has precedence over ratiocination when doing philosophy is well founded; and whether Bernard Williams' complaint about over-reliance on argument when doing philosophy is appropriate?

Candidates might consider links like the following to their experience of doing the HL course in response to this text extract:

- " ... (P)hilosophical discussion about such a subject as abortion or the moral status of animals ..." (lines 18–19) might be linked to their experience of studying the Core Theme or Optional Theme 2 Theories and Problems of Ethics
- Experience of argumentation might be linked to their experience of studying the Prescribed Text; a possible comparison might be made between Diamond's and Plato's views about reading literature in the education of the philosopher (line 62)
- "... (F)or Diamond the best model for such an effort is not narrow argument but imaginative literature" (lines 61–62) might be linked to their experience of the Internal Assessment activity in using non-philosophical material as a stimulus
- The issues of narrow arguments raised by Williams and Diamond *passim*; "rational clarity" (line 58); "... making them into more sensitive and more refined readers ..." (lines 66–67) might enable candidates to develop a response about the philosophical skills they have encountered and developed through doing the HL course. *Eg* analysis as encountered in the Internal Assessment task; evaluation of arguments encountered in the Prescribed Text and the Core and Optional Themes.