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General notes:

- The following are to be considered suggestions rather than prescriptions, that is, all points made by candidates to be marked on their merits.
- Any attempt to answer should be acknowledged as such; that should be followed especially in translation, when some candidates depart from literal in favour of a more poetic rendition, faithful, of course, to the original idea.
- The second use of the same figure of speech to answer a style question should be penalized, by not being taken into account: for example, double mention of alliteration.


## Genre: elegiac and lyric poetry

1. (a) Award [3] for a correct answer, or for an answer with no more than one minor error. Award [2] for answers with up to two or three minor errors or one major error. Award [1] for answers with two major errors (or four minor errors). Otherwise, no mark should be awarded.
(b) Mark only for length of syllables. Award [1] per line if all correct; no mark otherwise.
(c) Ariadne is referring to the Minotaur [1] who is her (half-) brother/son of Pasiphae/son of a bull/half-human half-bull [do not accept son of Minos/monster] [1]. Accept other responses on their merits as long as they give relevant additional information.
(d) Award [1] for responses that either

- explain the relevance for Ariadne's story
- or identify as a geographical location
- or mythological reference each of Syrtis, Scylla, Charybdis or Athens/Acropolis/Sounion (vestras sedes). Explanations may refer eg to Scylla and Charybdis as comparison for Theseus's heartless behaviour, or to Theseus's homeland as the place where he could have taken her.

2. (a) Award [1] for any details such as: Eos is the goddess of the dawn; it refers to the East/India; it refers to distant places.
(b) Award [1] for any details such as: these events occur in 55/54/the 50sBCE; they refer to Caesar's campaign on the Rhine/Britain; they give us a terminus post quem for the composition of the poem (if precise date is given, accept any date between 55 and 1BCE). Other answers on their merits.
(c) Accept a range of substantiated answers, awarding [1] each up to [6] for any point supporting the argument such as:

- the topos of faithful companionship in difficult circumstances (comites)
- standard poetic references to the ends of the earth (Indos; Eoa; Hyrcanos; Arabas; etc)
- epithets for geographic places emphasize dangers (sagittiferosve Parthos; altas Alpes; horribile aequor; etc)
- line end placement of key terms (voluntas; parati; moechis; amorem; etc)
- juxtaposition (eg between friends and moechi; trecentos and nullum amans; etc)
- simile (of the field and flower) (aratro, flos)
- litotes (non bona)
- alliteration (eg vivat valeatque, etc).

Award up to [2] for the coherence and clarity of the argument; [2] if very coherent and well-argued; [1] if coherent and well-argued; no marks if incoherent and poorly argued.

## Genre: epic

3. (a) Mark only for length of syllables. Award [1] per line if all correct; no mark otherwise.
(b) Award [3] for a correct answer, or for an answer with no more than one minor error. Award [2] for answers with up to two or three minor errors or one major error. Award [1] for answers with two major errors (or four minor errors). Otherwise, no mark should be awarded.
(c) Dido did not swear to fight against the Trojans (non ego exscindere Troianam gentem iuravi) [1]; she did not send a fleet against Pergamum (non ... classemve ad Pergama misi) [1]; she showed no disrespect to the remains of Anchises (nec patris/Anchisae cineres/Manis revelli) [1].
(d) Award [1] for any answers such as: respite (requiem); time to calm down/interval between bouts of madness (spatium furori) [1]; and the knowledge/understanding to endure defeat (me doceat fortuna dolere) [1].
4. (a) Award [1] for any of the following: she is wearing a Sidonian garment (Sidoniam chlamydem); with an embroidered hem (picto limbo); her quiver is golden (pharetra auro); her hair is knotted with gold (crines nodantur aurum); has a golden brooch (aurea fibula); a purple garment (purpuream vestem).
(b) Award [1] up to [2] for any of the following: Ascanius is outrunning (praeterit cursu) the others; he is riding a horse; he is praying (to encounter game); accept Ascanius is enjoying the ride.
(c) Accept a range of substantiated answers, awarding [1] each up to [6] for any point supporting the argument such as:

- use of intensive and superlative (eg ipse ante alios pulcherrimus omnis)
- emphasis through simile of Apollo/comparison to a god (qualis ... Apollo) (tantum egregio decus). Accept references to internal details of the extended simile, eg. polysyndeton (Cretesque ... Agathyrsi), alliteration (fronde fingens)
- enjambment ibat Aeneas
- assonance (eg egregio decus enitet ore)
- diction/word choice (eg pulcherrimus, segnior)
- visual imagery (eg enitet ore).

Award up to [2] for the coherence and clarity of the argument; [2] if very coherent and well-argued; [1] if coherent and well-argued; no marks if incoherent and poorly argued.

## Genre: historiography

5. (a) Award [3] for a correct answer, or for an answer with no more than one minor error. Award [2] for answers with up to two or three minor errors or one major error. Award [1] for answers with two major errors (or four minor errors). Otherwise, no mark should be awarded.
(b) Award [1] for any details such as: he prosecuted defendants before the praetor; not the prefect of the city; to get them off their punishment; attempting to pervert justice/collusion/legal subterfuge.
(c) Award [1] for any details such as: he refused to grant freedom (negata libertate); which he had promised/for which a price had been agreed (pretium pepigerat); because the master was a rival (dominum aemulum non tolerans).
(d) They should have been executed [1]; opposition by plebs/the senate stopped it [1].
6. (a) Award [1] for any details such as: Agrippina might seek to arm the slaves; or to stir up the soldiers [not: a soldier]/guard/army; she might appeal to the senate/people; reveal the attempted murder/what had happened
(b) Award [1] up to [2] for relevant details, such as: he was prefect of the fleet; was a freedman; he came up with the idea of the ship; he shared mutual hatred with Agrippina; he was a tutor of Nero; he was exiled; he was in command of the soldiers who killed her; other responses on their merits.
(c) Tacitus presents an inept Nero motivated primarily by fear and insecurity, who is dependent on his advisors for deciding actions. Accept a range of substantiated answers, awarding [1] each up to [6] for any point supporting the argument such as:

- emphasis on Nero's terror about vengeance (pavore exanimis et iam iamque adfore obtestans vindictae properam)
- uncertainty of situation expressed in doubled possibilities (sive ... sive, etc)
- Nero is worried about the security of his power against military insurrection (servitia armaret vel militem accenderet ... quod contra subsidium sibi?)
- Nero is worried about his power in the face of popular and senatorial opinion (ad senatum et populum pervaderet)
- Help from Seneca and Burrus is presented as answer to question (nisi quid Burrus et Seneca)
- contrast of imperium (power to command) with ability to act: Nero, the Emperor, does not wield enough authority to command the troops that guard Agrippina (praetorianos toti Caesarum domui obstrictos memoresque Germanici nihil adversus progeniem eius atrox ausuros) but Anicetus (a freedman) can be the agent of Nero (perpetraret Anicetus promissa)
- words of moral valuation for Nero's actions (eg scelus)
- awareness of his own misdeed (naufragium ... obiciendo)
- alliteration (propere ... promptissimos)
- contrast of Nero's, Seneca's and Burrus's inaction and Anicetus action (illo ... die; dari imperium; propere duceretque promptissimos)
- contrast of Nero's actions precipitated by the actions of others (eg ad vocem ... profitetur; audito missu ... parat scaenam; ille [Burrus] respondit ... qui [Nero] poscit).

Award up to [2] for the coherence and clarity of the argument; [2] if very coherent and well-argued; [1] if coherent and well-argued; no marks if incoherent and poorly argued.

## Genre: letters

7. (a) Award [1] each up to [3] for reasons such as: he lived for 30 years after his consulship/height of his public career [accept glory] (triginta annis gloriae supervixit); he read about his own deeds/his deeds were written about (legit/scripta de se); he survived to see what his reputation would be / he knew his reputation would be good (posteritati interfuit); he held the consulship three times (tertio consulatu) but declined to be emperor (fastigium principis noluisset).
(b) Award [1] each up to [2] for relevant details such as: a public funeral (publicum funus) was a state funeral; given to important men; the populace were called to attend; a public eulogy was delivered; there might be a public feast or a distribution of money to the public; costs were often assumed by the state.
(c) Award [3] for a correct answer, or for an answer with no more than one minor error. Award [2] for answers with up to two or three minor errors or one major error. Award [1] for answers with two major errors (or four minor errors). Otherwise, no mark should be awarded.
(d) Award [1] each for details such as: he fell and broke his hip; which was not set properly; and did not heal. Accept other details referring to preceding events (eg he was getting his voice ready...).
[10 marks]
8. (a) Award [1] each for details such as: he was hoping for legacy; he had only just begun to court him; he first advocates for curative medical treatment; and afterwards for bringing an end to suffering/letting him die; being poor he wanted legacies. Do not accept references to mutat personam or similar, that are facts and not reasons.
(b) Award [1] each for details such as: he asked Aurelia to bequeath him her clothes (rogo has mihi leges); he forced her (to do what he wanted) (coegit); and stood watching her writing (observavit scribentem); he checked (inspexit).
(c) Accept a range of substantiated answers, awarding [1] each up to [6] for any point supporting the argument such as:

- alliteration and/or assonance (eg of -t-, -s-, and -m- sounds)
- use of direct speech to make Regulus more vivid (quousque ... potestis)
- polysyndeton (et Aurelia ... et hic; etc)
- asyndeton (hic hereditates; hic legata praemia; etc)
- use of Greek to stress his irritation ('a $\lambda \lambda \alpha$...)
- contrast of phrases (eg ludere putabat ... serio instabat)
- hyperbole connected to the degradation of Roman culture as exemplified by Regulus (immo ... habent).

Award up to [2] for the coherence and clarity of the argument; [2] if very coherent and well-argued; [1] if coherent and well-argued; no marks if incoherent and poorly argued.

## Genre: philosophy

9. (a) Mark only for length of syllables. Award [1] per line if all correct; no mark otherwise.
(b) Award [1] each for details such as: the soul is thin/slender/light (tenuem); is made of small parts/elements/particles/corpuscles [not bodies] (minutis corporibus); smaller principles/particles than those of liquid/cloud/smoke (minoribus principiis quam liquidus/umor/aquai/nebula/fumus. English and Latin must match); it is/they are very mobile/ moveable (longe mobilitate praestat or tenui causa magis movetur).
(c) The soul departs the body like liquid/water from a shaken/cracked/smashed jar(s) [1] or like smoke/mist disperse into the air/wind(s)/breeze(s)/atmosphere [1].
(d) Award [3] for a correct answer, or for an answer with no more than one minor error. Award [2] for answers with up to two or three minor errors or one major error. Award [1] for answers with two major errors (or four minor errors). Otherwise, no mark should be awarded.
[10 marks]
10. (a) Men fear disease(s) [1] and shame/disgraced life [1].
(b) Award [1] each for details such as: he sacrifice is to the ancestors; to the dead/gods of the dead; black cattle/sheep are slaughtered.
(c) Accept a range of substantiated answers, awarding [1] each up to [6] for any point supporting the argument such as:

- alliteration (morbos magis; venti ... voluntas; acrius advertunt animos)
- use of value/judgment words (infamem, laudis, turpi)
- assonance (fugati ... foedati)
- casual character of theories of the soul (si fert ita forte)
- enjambment (laudis iactari)
- polysyndeton (et quo ... et nigras ... et manibus).

Award up to [2] for the coherence and clarity of the argument; [2] if very coherent and well-argued; [1] if coherent and well-argued; no marks if incoherent and poorly argued.

