MARKSCHEME

November 2004

LATIN

Higher Level

Paper 2

This markscheme is **confidential** and for the exclusive use of examiners in this examination session.

It is the property of the International Baccalaureate and must **not** be reproduced or distributed to any other person without the authorization of IBCA.

SECTION A

[30 marks]

1.(a)

- (i) Mark only for length of syllables. [1 mark] if all correct line; no mark otherwise.
- (ii) Pregnancy [1 mark]; appropriate because there are living beings within [1 mark].
- (iii) Cassandra was an infallible prophet [1 mark] condemned by the god to being never believed [1 mark]; her story underlines the Trojan blindness to their own situation [1 mark]; judge other suggestions on their merits.
- (iv) There is a good choice; award [1 mark] for each good explanation, no mark for a word or phrase unexplained.

- (i) Venus [1 mark] hoping to persuade Aeneas to give up on Troy [1 mark].
- (ii) Both are 'half-lines' [1 mark]; any reasonable discussion on why they are there should attract a second mark.
- (iii) All on the Greek side [1 mark]; anything else, no mark. [1 mark] each for any two of Neptune trident, Juno (accept 'Hera') ferro accincta as often in art, Minerva (accept 'Athene' but NOT 'Pallas') nimbo effulgens OR Gorgone saeva.
- (iv) [3 marks] for a correct translation (or one with a minor error); [2 marks] for a translation with one major error or with two minor errors; [1 mark] for a translation with two major errors or with three minor errors (or one of a similar quality). Otherwise, award no mark.

- (i) The great fire of Rome [1 mark].
- (ii) There is an ample supply; [1 mark] for each up to three.
- (iii) [3 marks] for a correct translation (or one with a minor error); [2 marks] for a translation with one major error or with two minor errors; [1 mark] for a translation with two major errors or with three minor errors (or one of a similar quality). Otherwise, award no mark.
- (iv) There is often, as here, a sting in the tail of any Tacitean paragraph [1 mark]; candidates should correctly report the substance of the section [1 mark] and offer a reasonable judgement on Tacitus' fairness here [1 mark].

- (i) The Pisonian conspiracy [1 mark]; award [1 mark] for each of any two indications of Tacitus' attitude to it.
- (ii) [3 marks] for a correct translation (or one with a minor error); [2 marks] for a translation with one major error or with two minor errors; [1 mark] for a translation with two major errors or with three minor errors (or one of a similar quality). Otherwise, award no mark.
- (iii) There is a wide choice. Award up to [2 marks] for two good examples.
- (iv) Tacitus uses more than one source [1 mark] and hopes to find the truth [1 mark]. Reward other suggestions on their merits.

- (i) Up to [3 marks] for any three of 'contrasting the apparent severity of the case with the triviality actually put forward' [1 mark], 'a presumption of the good character of his client, Caelius' [1 mark], 'a suggestion that a case is being brought against Caelius only because he himself has been and is prosecuting members of the prosecuting family' [1 mark], 'that the prosecution is relying on the testimony of a wanton woman' [1 mark], 'he is trying to secure the good will of the judges by sympathising with the fact that they must work on a public holiday' [1 mark].
- (ii) There is a wide choice. Award [1 mark] for each good example if reasonably explained.
- (iii) Clodia [1 mark].
- (iv) [3 marks] for a correct translation (or one with a minor error); [2 marks] for a translation with one major error or with two minor errors; [1 mark] for a translation with two major errors or with three minor errors (or one of a similar quality). Otherwise, award no mark.

- (i) That Caelius took gold from Clodia (candidates will give varied degrees of detail but need only the essential point for their mark) [1 mark]; and that Caelius planned to kill Clodia [1 mark].
- (ii) That all the other allegations are trivial [1 mark]; the rhetoric used involves three pairs of contrasting words: A: non crimina, sed maledicta, B: iurgi petulantis magis quam publicae quaestionis, C: convicium est, non accusatio [1 mark]. For a third mark candidates need to make an extra point, e.g. the variety between these passages, the mocking quotation: ("Adulter, impudicus, sequester"), other suggestions on their merits.
- (iii) There is no shortage to choose from. Award [1 mark] for a good choice and [1 mark] for a good analysis.
- (iv) [3 marks] for a correct translation (or one with a minor error); [2 marks] for a translation with one major error or with two minor errors; [1 mark] for a translation with two major errors or with three minor errors (or one of a similar quality). Otherwise, award no mark.

- (i) [3 marks] for a correct translation (or one with a minor error); [2 marks] for a translation with one major error or with two minor errors; [1 mark] for a translation with two major errors or with three minor errors (or one of a similar quality). Otherwise, award no mark.
- (ii) Something on the oxymoron (term not required) [1 mark]. Any sympathetic understanding of the phrase should be awarded the second mark.
- (iii) The treacherous sea [1 mark]. There is a wide choice [2 marks].
- (iv) Similar because both compare some aspect of a maritime career to being Pyrrha's lover; different because this one has to do with the end of the affair and/or involves Horace not Pyrrha.

- (i) Venus [1 mark].
- (ii) Mark only for length of syllables. [1 mark] for each all-correct line; no mark otherwise.
- (iii) [3 marks] for a correct translation (or one with a minor error); [2 marks] for a translation with one major error or with two minor errors; [1 mark] for a translation with two major errors or with three minor errors (or one of a similar quality). Otherwise, award no mark.
- (iv) Leda and Europa [1 mark]; both were seduced by Jupiter (accept 'Zeus') [1 mark], and were immortalised by poets [1 mark], something that Ovid can do for this girl if only she co-operates [1 mark].

- (i) Montanus [1 mark]. His sententia was to cook the fish whole [1 mark]. His sententia was digna either because he had had great experience of gluttony in Nero's court or because he was a noted gournet [1 mark].
- (ii) It suggests that Domitian is at least as interested in flattery and/or gluttony [1 mark] as he is in the defence of Rome against her enemies [1 mark].
- (iii) Mark only for length of syllables. [1 mark] for each all-correct line; no mark otherwise.
- (iv) [3 marks] for a correct translation (or one with a minor error); [2 marks] for a translation with one major error or with two minor errors; [1 mark] for a translation with two major errors or with three minor errors (or one of a similar quality). Otherwise, award no mark.

- (i) *Idaeum* refers to Mt Ida where Cybele came from [1 mark]; when she came to Rome the Megalesian Games were instituted in her honour [1 mark].
- (ii) The Romans suffered a terrible defeat at Cannae [1 mark]; for people to equate that with the defeat of the charioteer they had bet on [1 mark] is absurd [1 mark].
- (iii) [3 marks] for a correct translation (or one with a minor error); [2 marks] for a translation with one major error or with two minor errors; [1 mark] for a translation with two major errors or with three minor errors (or one of a similar quality). Otherwise, award no mark
- (iv) Mark only for length of syllables. [1 mark] if all correct line; no mark otherwise.

SECTION B

[10 marks]

Ouestions 6 - 10.

Essays are notoriously hard to mark. Here follows an attempt to characterize what might be expected for a given mark.

The essays are worth only [10 marks] each; you should not expect anything very long. Also, please note the syllabus set and do not expect material outside it (although you may reward such material).

- **9 or 10** This suggests an essay which shows a detailed knowledge of the text coupled with a persuasive answer to the question posed.
- 7 or 8 This suggests an essay which shows either a detailed knowledge of the text coupled with a weaker or less well focussed answer to the question posed OR one which shows a reasonable knowledge of the text coupled with a persuasive answer to the question posed.
- This suggests an essay which is competent and worthy but which shows little or no knowledge or understanding beyond the obvious. Occasionally, such a mark will indicate an essay in which gross error is combined with excellent knowledge or judgement.
- 3-4 This suggests an essay which combines pedestrian knowledge and judgement combined with some error.
- 0-2 This suggests the essay of a candidate who has read little or nothing of the syllabus.