MARKSCHEME

May 2004

LATIN

Higher Level

Paper 2

This markscheme is **confidential** and for the exclusive use of examiners in this examination session.

It is the property of the International Baccalaureate and must **not** be reproduced or distributed to any other person without the authorization of IBCA.

SECTION A

[30 marks]

1.(a)

- (i) Mark only for length of syllables. One mark for each all-correct line; no mark otherwise.
- (ii) The Trojans believe that the Greeks have gone home [1 mark] but they are in fact waiting to return when the Trojan Horse trick has worked [1 mark].
- (iii) tendebant and solebant are imperfect [1 mark], stupet, mirantur and hortatur are present [1 mark]. The imperfect verbs set the scene, the presents continue the narrative [1 mark]. Other answers on their merits but a bald 'more vivid' will not do.
- (iv) Trickery [1 mark] and fate [1 mark]. The effect is to underline the absence of any good possibility; some candidates may mention the contrast between the reader's knowledge and Aeneas', i.e. dramatic irony. One of those or any plausible view should attract the third mark.

- (i) There is a good choice; award [1 mark] for each well explained word or phrase up to a maximum of [3 marks].
- (ii) soceros refers to Andromache's parents-in-laws, Priam [1 mark] and Hecuba [1 mark]; avo refers to Astyanax' grandfather, Priam [1 mark].
- (iii) miseri [1 mark] and inrita [1 mark].
- (iv) Mark 467 only for length of syllables. [1 mark] if all-correct line; no mark otherwise. The enjambment (technical term not required) of *incidit* clearly brings out the sense of the previous line [1 mark].

- (i) Any three of: supplicationes, templumque fecunditatis, certamen ad exemplar Actiacae religionis, Fortunarum effigies aureae, ludicrum circense. English translations also acceptable. Other suggestions on their merits.
- (ii) A *votum* is a promise to perform some service to a god if (s)he grants a favour requested. They are multiplied [1 mark] and paid [1 mark].
- (iii) I would expect answers such as the way the incident reveals character flaws in Nero [1 mark], and extreme sycophancy in the Senate [1 mark]. Other suggestions on their merits.
- (iv) [3 marks] for a correct translation (or one with a minor error); [2 marks] for a translation with one major error or with two minor errors; [1 marks] for a translation with two major errors or with three minor errors (or one of a similar quality). Otherwise, award no mark.

- (i) The Pisonian conspiracy [1 mark].
- (ii) Nero sought to avoid criticism by speaking to the Senate, publishing an edict to the people, and publishing documents establishing guilt. Any two of those for [2 marks]. Tacitus supports Nero by pointing out that no one at the time doubted that there was a conspiracy [1 mark] and by pointing out that many exiles later confirmed the fact [1 mark].
- (iii) Because of the fate of his brother, Seneca [1 mark].
- (iv) They thought he was using public affairs [1 mark] to pursue private hatred [1 mark]; now that Nero had calmed down [1 mark], they did not want him roused up again [1 mark].

- (i) [3 marks] for a correct translation (or one with a minor error); [2 marks] for a translation with one major error or with two minor errors; one mark for a translation with two major errors or with three minor errors (or one of a similar quality). Otherwise, award no mark.
- (ii) Ptolemy Auletes was the murderer [1 mark] who did not fear prosecution because he was a king [1 mark].
- (iii) Complicity with Asicius in the murder of Dio [1 mark]. That Asicius' acquittal was achieved by collusion [1 mark].
- (iv) There is a wide choice. Award [1 mark] for a good example and up to [2 marks] for the explanation.

- (i) The *barbarti* are the traditional severe males with full beard [1 mark]. The *barbula* is the small beard worn by the smart men around Clodia [1 mark]. The humour is in the fact that the obvious preference of Clodia is revealed [1 mark].
- (ii) His blindness. [1 mark]
- (iii) That Clodia lent money to Caelius [1 mark]; that Caelius wished to poison Clodia [1 mark].
- (iv) The long list of emotive words [1 mark] gives way to description [1 mark], but both are making the same point [2 marks].

- (i) In the first stanza, the boy thinks that the girl, Lydia, has taken up with a different boy [1 mark]; in the second stanza the girl thinks that the boy has taken up with a different girl [1 mark].
- (ii) Ilia (*alias* Rhea Silvia) was the mother of Romulus and Remus [1 mark]; here (the tragic part of her story suppressed), she is regarded as an example of great success because of the fame of her son(s) [1 mark].
- (iii) First, the boy reveals that he has indeed taken up with Chloe [1 mark], then the girl reveals her infatuation with Calais [1 mark]. This is surprising because of the very different situation described in the first two stanzas [1 mark].
- (iv) Hitherto, we have been led to suppose that the love between Lydia and the boy has been replaced on both sides by another [1 mark]; now we learn that the boy believes that Venus will not release him from his first love [1 mark] and Lydia, though she is aware of the boy's failings and of Calais' virtues, still prefers to return to the boy [1 mark]. The boy is surely not Horace but candidates should not be penalized for thinking that he is.

- (i) She is a good hairdresser [1 mark], she is good at helping to persuade Corinna to come to Ovid [1 mark], she is good in times of trouble [1 mark].
- (ii) Mark only for length of syllables. [1 mark] for each all-correct line; no mark otherwise.
- (iii) [3 marks] for a correct translation (or one with a minor error); [2 marks] for a translation with one major error or with two minor errors; one mark for a translation with two major errors or with three minor errors (or one of a similar quality). Otherwise, award no mark.
- (iv) In 19-20, Ovid expresses a preference for a long letter, filling the whole tablet [1 mark]; in 23-4, he will be happy with one word, ueni [1 mark].

- (i) Winter and cold weather bring milder fevers [1 mark] and help to refrigerate the fish [1 mark].
- (ii) [3 marks] for a correct translation (or one with a minor error); [2 marks] for a translation with one major error or with two minor errors; [1 mark] for a translation with two major errors or with three minor errors (or one of a similar quality). Otherwise, award no mark.
- (iii) The emperor is so impressed by his power [1 mark] that he is susceptible to the suggestion that the fish wanted to be caught [1 mark].
- (iv) When the fish is presented to Domitian, the senators are excluded [1 mark]; the emperor is openly said to hate the members of the council (quos oderat ille) [1 mark] and they fear him [1 mark]. That Domitian summons the council over so trivial an issue is also worth a mark, if that is needed.

- (i) Obscure academic knowledge is useless [1 mark] if not accompanied by practical knowledge [1 mark].
- (ii) 'Know yourself' [1 mark]; inscribed on Apollo's temple at Delphi or Apollo's message to Socrates [1 mark].
- (iii) Ulixes had successfully competed for Achilles' armour [1 mark] because, although he did not deserve it on the grounds of heroism, he knew that his rhetoric would win the day [1 mark]. Thersites was an uncouth malcontent who knew himself sufficiently not even to consider competing for the armour [1 mark].
- (iv) [3 marks] for a correct translation (or one with a minor error); [2 marks] for a translation with one major error or with two minor errors; [1 mark] for a translation with two major errors or with three minor errors (or one of a similar quality). Otherwise, award no mark.

SECTION B

Questions 6-10.

Essays are notoriously hard to mark. Here follows an attempt to characterize what might be expected for a given mark.

The essays are worth only [10 marks] each; you should not expect anything very long. Also, please note the syllabus set and do not expect material outside it (although you may reward such material).

- **9 or 10** This suggests an essay which shows a detailed knowledge of the text coupled with a persuasive answer to the question posed.
- **7 or 8** This suggests an essay which shows either a detailed knowledge of the text coupled with a weaker or less well focussed answer to the question posed **OR** one which shows a reasonable knowledge of the text coupled with a persuasive answer to the question posed.
- This suggests an essay which is competent and worthy but which shows little or no knowledge or understanding beyond the obvious. Occasionally, such a mark will indicate an essay in which gross error is combined with excellent knowledge or judgement.
- 3-4 This suggests an essay which combines pedestrian knowledge and judgement combined with some error.
- 0-2 This suggests the essay of a candidate who has read little or nothing of the syllabus.