

MARKSCHEME

May 2003

LATIN

Higher Level

Paper 1

*This markscheme is **confidential** and for the exclusive use of examiners in this examination session.*

*It is the property of the International Baccalaureate and must **not** be reproduced or distributed to any other person without the authorization of IBCA.*

SECTION A

[35 marks]

Since the rules forbid half marks it is important to find a way to distinguish between more and less serious errors. Otherwise, in this kind of exercise, it can become difficult to reflect the range of performance. The scheme below divides the passage into short sections assigned **[2 marks]**, **[3 marks]** or **[4 marks]**.

In the case of three-mark sections, assign full marks to correct answers or answers with no more than one minor error (tense, number *etc.*). Two or three minor errors or one major error should be awarded **[2 marks]**. For **[1 mark]**, candidates should have no more than two major errors, or four minor errors or a combination of one major error and two minor errors.

In the case of four-mark sections, assign full marks to correct answers or answers with no more than one minor error (tense, number *etc.*). Two or three minor errors or one major error should be awarded **[3 marks]**. For **[2 marks]**, candidates should have no more than two major errors, or four minor errors or a combination of one major error and two minor errors. For **[1 mark]**, candidates should be ineligible for **[2 marks]** but should demonstrate some understanding of the section.

In the case of two-mark sections, assign full marks to correct answers or answers with no more than one minor error (tense, number *etc.*). Two or three minor errors or one major error should be awarded **[1 mark]**.

I do hope that this will seem fair and reasonably easy to apply. Please mark the boundaries on the scripts you mark, and please put your individual marks in the margin of the scripts (not in the candidates' work), with the total (circled) at the end.

- | | | |
|----|--|------------------|
| 1. | cum trigeminis...ferro: (line 6) | [3 marks] |
| | ibi imperium...victoria fuerit: (line 6) | [2 marks] |
| | nihil recusator...convenit: (line 7) | [2 marks] |
| | priusquam...legibus: (line 7) | [3 marks] |
| | ut cuiusque...imperitaret: (line 8) | [4 marks] |
| | foedera...fiunt: (line 9) | [3 marks] |
| | tum ita...memoria est: (line 10) | [3 marks] |
| | fetialis...rogavit: (line 11) | [2 marks] |
| | iubesne...ferire: (line 11) | [3 marks] |
| | iubente...posco: (line 12) | [2 marks] |
| | rex...attulit: (line 12) | [3 marks] |
| | postea...rogavit: (line 13) | [2 marks] |
| | rex...meos: (line 14) | [3 marks] |

SECTION B

2. I would anticipate that most candidates would discuss Livy's concern to let his readers know about the controversy over which side had the Horatii triplets and which the Curiatii. Other issues (*e.g.* the careful reporting of the exact language of the formal discussions should be rewarded on their merits). **[3 marks]**

 3. A variety of approaches is possible, but I would expect some account of the way that indirect speech helps to maintain the momentum of a narrative and that direct speech is used to enhance the dramatic effect and when, for whatever other reason, the exact wording is required. **[2 marks]**
-