



# **MARKSCHEME**

**May 2012**

**HISTORY**

**Route 2**

**Higher Level and Standard Level**

**Paper 1 – The Arab–Israeli conflict  
1945–79**

*This markscheme is **confidential** and for the exclusive use of examiners in this examination session.*

*It is the property of the International Baccalaureate and must **not** be reproduced or distributed to any other person without the authorization of IB Cardiff.*

*For the attention of all examiners: if you are uncertain about the content/accuracy of a candidate's work please contact your team leader.*

**1. (a) What does Source A reveal about Sadat's reasons for attacking Israel in October 1973?** *[3 marks]*

- To try and persuade Israel to make peace so that Egypt could regain the Sinai and reopen the Suez canal;
- To attract the attention of the US to the problems in the Middle East;
- To find a way of aligning with the West;
- To overcome Egypt's problems by reducing the defence burden and by gaining domestic stability.

*Award [1 mark] for each relevant point up to a maximum of [3 marks].*

**(b) What is the message conveyed by Source B?** *[2 marks]*

- There is tension in the Middle East;
- There is suspicion of Brezhnev's intentions (shown by the paper in the hand of the person on the left);
- The oil embargo is being used as a weapon;
- Brezhnev is shown as implacable and non-cooperative.

*Award [1 mark] for each relevant point up to a maximum of [2 marks].*

2. **Compare and contrast the views expressed in Sources C and D about the events of October 1973.** **[6 marks]**

**For “compare”**

- Both agree that Egypt and Syria attacked Israel;
- Both agree that they were pushed back by Israel after some days;
- Both agree that the superpowers were involved in establishing a ceasefire;
- Both agree that Egypt had the support of other Arab nations; Source C specifically mentions the oil embargo and Source D mentions financial and military aid;
- Both agree the superpowers supported their client states.

**For “contrast”**

- Source D mentions policy differences between Egypt and Syria; Source C does not;
- Source C focuses more on the causes of the 1973 war, whereas Source D considers its consequences;
- Source C mentions the role of the United Nations in achieving a ceasefire, D makes no reference to this.

End-on description of both sources would be worth up to **[3 marks]** if the comparative element is only implicit, and **[4 marks]** with excellent explicit linkage. If both sources are used with a good running linkage of both comparison and contrast award a maximum of **[4–5 marks]**. For the maximum of **[6 marks]** expect a detailed, comprehensive, running, comparison and contrast. If there is only either comparison or contrast award a maximum of **[4 marks]**.

**3. With reference to their origin and purpose, assess the value and limitations of Source A and Source E for historians studying the October War of 1973. [6 marks]**

**Source A**

Origin: *An International History of the Twentieth Century* (published in London in 2004) with contributions from a group of leading academics.

Purpose: To give information on key historical events in the twentieth century – rather than to score a political point.

Value: As it was written by a group of academics the book should be well researched. The publication date allows the benefit of hindsight with regard to the events of 1973.

Limitations: As it is a history of the whole twentieth century it will have limited detail of the events of October 1973. Also, because it was published in London, it may only offer a western perspective.

**Source E**

Origins: The United Nations Security Council Resolution 338 (22 October 1973) on the need for a ceasefire.

Purpose: To officially record and make known the UN policy with regard to the conflict, an attempt to bring an end to the fighting.

Value: Immediacy of this source. Provides evidence of the attitude of the international community at the time.

Limitations: Immediacy means that it cannot show how the belligerents actually responded. Also the U.N.'s pacific intent may not reflect the reality.

Do not expect all of the above. Ideally there will be a balance between the two sources, and each one can be marked out of [3 marks], but allow a [4/2 marks] split. If only one source is assessed, mark out of [4 marks]. For a maximum of [6 marks] candidates must refer to both origin and purpose, and value and limitations.

4. **Using the sources and your own knowledge, to what extent do you agree that Sadat achieved his aims in going to war in 1973?** *[8 marks]*

**Source material**

- Source A: This source shows that Sadat was searching for peace and stability and that he planned a war not only to set up the potential for negotiations but also to regain the Sinai and attract the attention of the U.S.
- Source B: This source shows that the U.S.S.R. was interested in the Middle East, and that the oil embargo was a major feature of the conflict.
- Source C: This source indicates that Sadat had created conditions that “broke the deadlock” in the Middle East. It also shows Sadat’s success in gaining the involvement of the superpowers.
- Source D: This source refers to Arab sympathy and unity and some military success for Egypt. It also indicates the US’s interest in protecting Egypt from defeat. This indicates that Sadat had attracted the attention of the US.
- Source E: This source states clearly that negotiations were to begin based on UN resolution 242 which aimed at establishing a just, durable peace in the Middle East – this was Sadat’s main aim.

**Own knowledge**

Own knowledge could include: Cold War context. Egypt’s pride was restored after the humiliation of 1967, meetings began in Geneva between the two sides, and Kissinger’s “shuttle diplomacy” began which facilitated the peace process. The reopening of the Suez Canal in 1975, which would help the Egyptian economy, was agreed. The Sinai was restored to Egypt in 1975 and the Camp David agreements led to the Washington Treaty in 1978. Other factors could be the willingness of the US to send aid to Egypt and better relations with the US and hence the western powers. Sadat did not succeed in getting any resolution of the problem of the Occupied Territories. Emergence of the P.L.O. and Arafat – rather than Egypt – as the flag bearer of the Palestinian cause.

Do not expect all the above and accept other relevant material. If only source material or own knowledge is used the maximum mark that can be obtained is *[5 marks]*. For maximum *[8 marks]* expect a balanced argument, synthesis of source material and own knowledge, as well as references to the sources used.

---