MARKSCHEME

MAY 2006

ENVIRONMENTAL SYSTEMS

Standard Level

Paper 3

This markscheme is **confidential** and for the exclusive use of examiners in this examination session.

It is the property of the International Baccalaureate and must **not** be reproduced or distributed to any other person without the authorization of IBCA.

Option A — Analysing Ecosystems

A1. (a) first part of graph resembles 'j' curve;

typical "boom and bust"/"increase and crash" graph;

initial low population;

period of exponential increase;

as population increases with few limiting factors (E);

e.g. with minimum effects of predators (E);

perhaps because predators killed off by humans (E);

population peaks in about 1924;

eventually population falls rapidly / crash occurs;

decline might be due to hunting pressure, owtte (E);

in due course control factor(s) take(s) effect (E);

e.g. as food supplies exhausted (E);

as population exceeds carrying capacity;

and/or disease/starvation occurs (E);

as death rate > birth rate (E);

population eventually approaches stability;

possibility that there may be an effect of severe weather (E);

Any other reasonable points.

At least two explanation (E) points are required for full marks.

(b) "catch and release" method;

sample number of deer caught and marked/tagged without harming them;

released and allowed to mix with population;

recaptured and checked for marks;

use of Lincoln index to calculate number;

use of aerial photos/satellite images;

photos/images could be divided into quadrats;

select sample quadrats using random number tables or similar;

multiply to obtain value for whole region;

use of hunting records;

use of tracks/scats (dung)/fallen antlers to estimate numbers;

statistical/computer modelling;

obtain separate estimates for areas of each distinctive habitat;

[3 max]

[4 max]

Any other reasonable points elaborating methods. Any points addressing difficulties with methods should not be credited here but may gain credit under (c).

(c) possibility that marking might interfere with ability to move/feed/reproduce;

some animals may become "capture-prone" or "capture shy";

possibility of immigration/emigration/births/deaths within census period;

hunting records can be unreliable (poaching/illegal shooting etc.);

some animals may be hidden from aerial surveillance beneath cover;

if sample quadrat system is used, sample area may not be typical;

deer are social animals and move in herds/groups - leads to under- or over-

indirect method (tracks, scats etc.) notoriously unreliable;

[3 max]

Any other reasonable points. Points mentioning difficulties under (b) may be credited here.

Do not credit the same point in (b) and (c).

(d) The methodology must be appropriate for the selected ecosystem. (Terrestrial or aquatic)

- 7 -

NPP = GPP - R;

NPP measured by selection of typical quadrat/appropriate ecosystem sample; collection of biomass at start of growing period;

air-drying of organic material;

careful weighing to obtain value for dry-weight biomass per unit area/sample; collection of biomass from an identical quadrat/sample at end of growing period; drying and weighing of new sample;

obtain caloric value from tables;

or use bomb calorimeter;

difference related to time gives productivity;

allowance needs to be made for underground material, roots *etc*. / special efforts must be made to obtain this;

and material consumed by herbivores;

repetition of studies and taking of mean for greater accuracy; *Any other reasonable points.*

[4 max]

N.B. Do not award a mark for naming an ecosystem, but mark out of [3] if no ecosystem is mentioned. Do not credit details of calculation of secondary productivity (e.g. measure mass of animals, amount of feces produced, etc.).

(e) (i) The abiotic factor must be appropriate to ecosystem specified in (d) and must change over time. The link with a biotic factor (i.e. living organisms) must be reasonable. If no ecosystem is named in (d), allow ECF.

E.g. for a freshwater system:

temperature varies both on a daily and a seasonal basis; as photosynthesis varies with temperature, the abundance of producers (e.g. phytoplankton) and consumers (e.g. water fleas) varies seasonally with temperature;

[2]

(ii) Method will depend on factor selected. E.g. for temperature and number of water fleas in freshwater:

Method [2 max]

use thermometer/thermograph; repeat at regular intervals; e.g. at different times of day/times of year; under identical conditions; count number of water fleas in a scoop (with net) of water; preferably at same time as temperature measurements; under identical conditions each time;

Evaluation [2 max]

Should address issues of:

subjectivity of observation (different people observe/record in different ways);

standardization of procedures;

"generalizability" (avoidance of atypical conditions *e.g.* a shaded area); difficulties in qualitative measurements (*e.g.* poor light conditions); correlation not necessarily indicative of causality;

Any other reasonable points;

[4 max]

Do not allow full marks for a detailed description of the method, plus evaluation of that method, of a single factor, however detailed. For the full [4] the candidate must emphasize the relationship with the second factor. Thus a very full account of the measurement of soil pH, even if some evaluation is included, cannot obtain full marks if there is no comment about the factor supposedly influenced by it.

Option B — Impacts of Resource Exploitation

the area of land (and water) required to provide all the necessary resources; **B1.** (a) and assimilate all wastes (based on syllabus definition) / OWTTE; [2]

(b) CO₂ footprint varies widely / 0.28 to 11.03 ha;

> higher in MEDCs such as Singapore, Australia / lower in LEDCs such as Sri Lanka; Australia, Singapore have high standard of living;

therefore much energy use for domestic purposes, e.g. air conditioning/cooking/ refrigeration;

Australia has high private car (automobile) ownership (Singapore less so);

Singapore, Australia highly industrialised;

high energy subsidy in Australia's agriculture (diesel, fertilizers, transport);

difference in capacity of local vegetation to absorb CO₂; Any other valid points

(c) Australia [1]

Sri Lanka, Singapore [1] (d) Award no mark if only one given, or if Australia is also given.

(e) (i) Advantages

once built, cheap to run;

almost no atmospheric pollution;

not confined to a particular locality;

therefore may be a source of employment in economically depressed areas;

amounts of fuel very small in relation to electricity produced;

may be a source of military plutonium etc. for weapons;

(allow also as disadvantage – but not both)

may provide a source of isotopes for medicine/industry/research;

sometimes seen as "cleaner" and safer than industries based on coal;

do not reward very vague responses; "not harmful" is insufficient. Do not allow "renewable resource".

Disadvantages

very expensive to construct;

risk of hijacking/terrorist use of nuclear materials;

precautions against these may have civil liberties implications;

expensive and elaborate health and safety measures needed;

consequences of escape of nuclear radiation very serious (Chernobyl, Three

Mile Island, Windscale);

problems of decommissioning at end of useful life;

supply of nuclear fuel may eventually run out;

problem of disposal of radioactive waste;

[3 max]

[4 max]

Any other reasonable points

Award [1] for each two points (advantages or disadvantages), but allow only [2 max] if only advantages or disadvantages are given.

(ii) early in nuclear power development, advantages seen to outweigh disadvantages;

and many power stations built 1960-1980;

power generation increased rapidly;

later, disadvantages appreciated;

particularly after certain disasters e.g. Chernobyl;

power production after about 1988 stabilized as few new stations introduced; [2 max] Do not allow credit for a simple regurgitation of the data on the graph.

(f) (i) Nature of answer will depend on example selected. Allow a specific name e.g. Marron (freshwater crayfish) farm, near Margaret River, Western Australia, or a somewhat more general response (e.g. cereal farming in Canadian Prairies). Should give some point of detail other than simply the name for full [2].

e.g. sample descriptions

organisms kept in artificial pools under controlled conditions;

extensive monoculture in areas of flat topography;

[2]

(ii) e.g. Marron farm

inputs:

stock marron;

food;

fresh water;

power/energy for pumping etc.;

labour;

funds/investment;

Any three reasonable points for [1]

outputs:

named examples of pollutants (e.g. fecal material, food waste);

heat:

marron for sale to restaurants, etc;

waste water;

profits;

Any three reasonable points for [1]

[2 max]

If a candidate gives more than three examples, and some are wrong she or he receives credit for those that are correct, even if not the first three.

(g) *N.B.* Do not allow points already rewarded in (f)

eutrophication of water;

algal blooms;

reduction of biodiversity of water-body;

creation of artificial water-bodies / interference with hydrology;

(unpleasant) odours;

Any other reasonable points

[3 max]

Option C — Conservation and Biodiversity

number of native species in Continental Europe/Africa/Australia is high because C1. (a) of large area;

> number of native species is high in Continental Europe/Africa/Australia because of wider range of habitats;

> number of native species is high in Continental Europe/Africa/Australia because of wider range of climate;

> (Converse: NZ and Hawaii - smaller range of species because of smaller *area/range of habitats/climate)*

> number of native species high in Australia because of isolation throughout evolutionary history;

> number of native species low in NZ/Hawaii as few species can colonise remote islands:

islands more liable to be colonised by introduced species;

high proportion/percentage of total species in NZ and Hawaii are introduced; because native species may be adapted to specialized environments and are less resistant to competition; [4 max]

Any other reasonable points

(b) (i) habitat diversity = the number of habitats/ecological niches per unit area/in a certain area; species diversity = the number of species of organism per unit area/in a certain area; [2]

habitat diversity is an indication of the ecological variety of an ecosystem; (ii) and therefore the number of species it can accommodate; complex habitats provide more ecological niches for organisms; habitat diversity may cause isolation of populations; natural selection ensures organisms are adapted to environment and way of

as environmental pressures influence frequency of genetic traits in populations;

reproductive barriers may arise through (divergent) evolution;

so the more environments an ecosystem represents, the greater the possibility of speciation;

e.g. an ecosystem with several layers such as tropical forest is likely to have a higher diversity than single layered ecosystems such as temperate scrub/heathland; [5 max]

Any other reasonable points.

Factors will depend on example selected. E.g. for the dodo:

- 12 -

confined to small island/limited distribution (Mauritius);

small population;

useful source of food for visiting sailors;

extreme tameness;

large and conspicuous;

slow rate of reproduction;

habitat destruction;

competition with introduced organisms (e.g. pigs);

[2 max]

Any other reasonable points. Award [1] for two factors.

Note date (1600); be tolerant here. However, dinosaurs are not acceptable.

- (d) (i) name of area, e.g. Uluru (Ayers Rock, Northern Territory, Australia) [1]

 Always allow benefit of the doubt if you have not heard of the protected area.

 Google may be helpful.
 - (ii) Reasons will depend on area. For example, with respect to Uluru:
 spectacular nature/aesthetic appeal of isolated monolith;
 geological importance;
 relatively undisturbed nature of surrounding habitats (at least by western/European influences);
 archaeological importance;
 variety of organisms (plants, reptiles);
 significance to indigenous peoples;
 possibility of taking large areas into management without disturbing longestablished ownerships;

 [3 max]
 - (iii) Evaluation of success again will depend on area chosen. E.g., with respect to Uluru:

designation as a World Heritage Site;

therefore international recognition of its importance;

freehold of land transferred to indigenous (Aboriginal) people;

Aboriginal people involved in management of the area;

recreational pressure/accommodation concentrated in limited locations;

plants/animals/landforms protected;

well drafted protective legislation;

funds from entry fees from visitors used for maintenance of area;

some conflict between western/recreational/tourist values and Aboriginal sacred site values:

thus climbing of Rock still allowed, contrary to Aboriginal preference;

and has resulted in a number of casualties (some fatal);

[3 max]

A simple description of management does not answer the question. Candidates must **evaluate** the **success**, this implies at least some comment on the management practise used.

Example: "Burning and grazing by sheep are used on some of the heathland nature reserves of the East Suffolk Sandlings" is not sufficient.

"Burning and sheep grazing are sometimes successfully used to maintain the traditional 'open landscape' character of the heather-dominated plant communities on some of the East Suffolk Sandlings nature reserves, and to maintain their characteristic biota, some species of which are locally endangered" – full marks.

Option D — Pollution Management

the release of pollution from numerous widely distributed origins (Glossary) / **D1.** (a) the contamination of a wide area by a pollutant where no single source can be identified OWTTE;

> e.g. waste gases from the exhaust systems of vehicles / fertilizer leaching into groundwater from the lawns of a suburb; [2 max]Award [1] for any reasonable example.

3.8 mg kg⁻¹ (accept 3.78 mg kg⁻¹) units required (b)

[1]

15.9 mg kg⁻¹ (accept 15.93 mg kg⁻¹) units required (ii)

[1]

at both locations and at all times Fe amounts are higher than Pb; (c) (i) Possible reasons:

> both locations are some distance from mine site and Pb, being the heavier material, is precipitated closer than either location 1 or 2;

> there is very little Pb in the ore mined / much more Fe than Pb mined and therefore emitted *owtte*;

Pb is removed from the material emitted;

[2 max]

at location 2, precipitation is much higher in April-May than at other times of the year;

Possible reasons:

seasonal variation in wind direction:

amount of mining activity varies over time;

[2 max]

(iii) average concentration of Pb is much higher at location 2 than at location 1; Possible reason:

location 2 is closer to mine site:

[2]

Accept any other reasonable points throughout.

monitoring of the level of pollution using biotic index / monitoring using observations on the abundance and types of organisms present;

Example:

monitoring air pollution by noting the number of lichens present;

the more species and the more individuals the less pollution;

i.e. very few species in the "lichen desert" of large cities;

many dozens of species in uncontaminated area (e.g. Cornwall, SW Tasmania,

Tierra del Fuego);

[3 max]

(e) Environmental Impact Statement (EIS)/Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) approach;

careful pre-development baseline measurements/environmental study;

of abiotic factors, e.g. air quality;

biotic factors, e.g. biodiversity/rare species;

comparison with similar sites elsewhere;

computer (or other) simulation;

assessment of vulnerability to local impact;

consideration of associated activities, e.g. traffic/transport, etc.

socio-economic impacts;

[4 max]

Any other reasonable points.

(f) e.g. nuclear waste:

incorporate in glass or artificial rock;

deep burial in abandoned mines/caves;

shallow burial in places where radiation can be monitored;

surround by lead or similar material;

transport to reprocessing plant;

[3 max]

Any other reasonable points.

Must give a reasonable example, "toxins" or "waste" are not sufficient.