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The following are the annotations available to use when marking responses.

| Annotation | Explanation | Associated shortcut |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\lambda$ | Caret - indicates omission | Alt+1 |
| $x$ | Incorrect point | Alt+2 |
| $\bigcirc$ | Ellipse that can be expanded | Alt+3 |
| $\square$ | Horizontal wavy line that can be expanded | Alt+4 |
| \% | Highlight tool that can be expanded | Alt+5 |
| TT] | On page comment - justifies application of assessment criteria | Alt+6 |
| $?$ | Unclear content or language | Alt+7 |
| SEEN | SEEN - every scanned page must be annotated or marked as SEEN | Alt+8 |
| $\checkmark$ | Good Response/Good Point | Alt+9 |
| \} | Vertical wavy line that can be expanded | Alt+0 |

You must make sure you have looked at all pages. Please put the SEEN annotation on any blank page, to indicate that you have seen it.

When using the On Page Comments annotation, please keep the following in mind:

- Avoid covering the candidate's own writing. This can be done by writing your comments in the margins then running the arrow attached to the 'on-page comment' annotation to the appropriate place.
- Provide all comments in the target language.
- You may provide summative comments at the end of the script, but please do NOT record numerical marks on the scripts.


## Section A

## Option A: Homer

## Extract 1 Homer, Iliad 22.224-246

1. (a) Award [1] up to [3] for any command supported by Greek quotation from the following: to stand ( $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \omega \mu \varepsilon v$ ); defend themselves ( $\alpha \lambda \lambda \xi \dot{\xi} \mu \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ ) and fight ( $\mu \alpha \chi \dot{\omega} \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$ ). Do not accept references to "stay" ( $\mu \varepsilon v^{v o v} \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ ).
(b) Hector regards Deiphobus as the dearest of his brothers [1]; Hecuba and Priam want to keep Deiphobus inside the walls out of parental love [1]. Other relevant responses on their merits.
(c) Award [3] if the meaning has been fully communicated, and vocabulary and grammar are rendered correctly. Award [2] if the meaning has been communicated, and vocabulary and grammar are rendered adequately despite inaccuracies. Award [1] if the meaning has not been communicated adequately, and vocabulary and grammar are not rendered adequately. Award [0] if the work does not reach a standard described by the descriptors above.
(d) Mark only for length of syllables. Award [1] per line if all correct; [0] otherwise.

Total: [10]

## Option A: Homer

## Extract 2 Homer, Iliad 16.148-167

2. (a) She is here represented as a mare [1]; the harpies were (originally) conceived as spirits of the wind or she was made pregnant from the wind Zephyrus [1].
(b) He is passing along/inspecting the Myrmidons (Mupuıסóvas $\delta^{\prime}$ ä $\rho^{\prime}$ ह́motxó $\mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma$ ) [1]; inciting

(c) The association of swiftness and brutality is conveyed through Homer's style. Accept a range of substantiated answers, awarding [1] each up to [4] for stylistic remarks or any detail such as:

- caesura in the fourth foot, line 155
 Поб́́ $\rho \gamma \eta$
- Pedasos, mortal, swift as the immortal horses: $\theta v \eta \tau o ̀ s ~ . . . ~ \dot{\alpha} \theta \alpha v \alpha ́ t o \iota \sigma ı$
- enjambement $\delta \eta \dot{\varrho} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \delta \alpha ́ \pi \tau \tau 0 \cup \sigma \cup$
- extended simile (with relevant quotations)
- heroic epithet connected to swiftness: по $\delta \dot{\omega} \kappa \varepsilon о \varsigma ~ A i ́ \alpha \kappa i ́ \delta \alpha o$
- juxtaposition $\theta u \mu o ̀ \varsigma ~ . . . ~ \gamma \alpha \sigma \tau \eta ́ \rho ~$

Award up to [2] for the coherence and clarity of the argument: [2] if very coherent and well argued; [1] if coherent and partially argued; [0] if incoherent and poorly argued.


## Option B: History

## Extract 3 Herodotus, The Histories 7.235

3. (a) It was better for Cythera to sink in the sea rather than be above it (кат $\alpha$ tñ $\theta \alpha \lambda \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \eta \varsigma$ $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \delta \varepsilon \delta \cup \kappa \varepsilon ́ v \alpha \iota \mu \tilde{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o v$ ŋ̂ ù út $\rho \varepsilon ́ \chi \varepsilon เ v)$ [1]; he feared an attack from some men ( $\alpha, v \delta \rho \tilde{\omega} v$ $\sigma \tau o ́ \lambda o v) ~[1]$.
(b) Laconia: south Peloponnese [1]; Cythera: south/off the coast of Laconia/Peloponnese [1]; accept other relevant and specific references on their merits.
(c) The Persians could use Cythera as a base of operations against the Lacedaemonians [1]; under threat of attack from Cythera [1], the Lacedaimonians will not succor Greece [1]. Do not accept references to the defeat of Sparta.
(d) Award [3] if the meaning has been fully communicated, and vocabulary and grammar are rendered correctly. Award [2] if the meaning has been communicated, and vocabulary and grammar are rendered adequately despite inaccuracies. Award [1] if the meaning has not been communicated adequately, and vocabulary and grammar are not rendered adequately. Award [0] if the work does not reach a standard described by the descriptors above.

Total: [10]

## Option B: History

## Extract 4 Herodotus, The Histories 1.32.5-8



(b) He suffers no appetite/disaster/has no deformity/illness, etc [1]; he ends his life well [1].
(c) The concept of riches bringing no happiness, a constant theme of Herodotean narrative, is here reinforced through a number of stylistic features. Accept a range of substantiated answers, awarding [1] each up to [4] for stylistic remarks or any detail such as:

- didactic tone of explanation/examples
- use of comparative words and phrases oúk ò $\mu$ oí $\omega \varsigma \delta \nu v \alpha \tau o ̀ \varsigma, ~ \delta u v \alpha \tau \omega ́ \tau \varepsilon \rho o \varsigma ~ a n d ~ s i m i l a r ~$
- juxtapositions ö $\mu \varepsilon)^{v}$... ò $\delta \varepsilon ̇$ and similar
- juxtapositions $\mu \varepsilon ́ \gamma \alpha \pi \lambda$ oú $\sigma$ ıos ... $\alpha$ vó $\lambda \beta$ ıos and similar


- paradoxes: oú $\gamma \alpha ́ \rho ~ . . . ~ o ̀ ~ \mu \varepsilon ́ \gamma \alpha ~ \pi \lambda o v ́ \sigma ı o \varsigma ~ . . . ~ o ́ \lambda \beta ı ' ́ \tau \varepsilon \rho o \varsigma, ~ e t c ~$
- terminological distinctions: $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon \grave{\varepsilon} . .$. ő $\lambda \beta \iota o v \alpha \not \partial \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ عútu $\chi \varepsilon ́ \alpha$.

Award up to [2] for the coherence and clarity of the argument: [2] if very coherent and well argued; [1] if coherent and partially argued; [0] if incoherent and poorly argued.

## Option C: Tragedy

## Extract 5 Sophocles, Electra 764-787

5. (a) She is of two minds; she considers the news fortunate but terrible at the same time [1]. Because she saves her life by evils [1] and cannot hate whom she begot [1].
(b) Award [1] for any answer supported by quotation such as: born of my heart ( $\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$ ह́ $\mu \eta ̃ \varsigma ~ \psi u \chi \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$
 see/know me ( $\mu^{\prime} . .$. oúк $\varepsilon \tau^{\prime}$ عídev).


(d) Award [3] if the meaning has been fully communicated, and vocabulary and grammar are rendered correctly. Award [2] if the meaning has been communicated, and vocabulary and grammar are rendered adequately despite inaccuracies. Award [1] if the meaning has not been communicated adequately, and vocabulary and grammar are not rendered adequately. Award [0] if the work does not reach a standard described by the descriptors above.

## Option C: Tragedy

## Extract 6 Euripides, Electra 1030-1053

6. (a) Mark only for length of syllables. Award [1] per line if all correct; [0] otherwise.
(b) Award [1] each for any point such as: women imitate men (and therefore find another love $=$



(c) Clytemnestra argues that her actions were just, and that different standards of justice apply to men and women. Accept a range of substantiated answers, awarding [1] each up to [4] for stylistic remarks or any detail such as:

- repetition/polyptoton/stress $\mathfrak{\eta} \delta \iota \kappa \eta \mu \varepsilon ́ v \eta, ~ \varepsilon ́ v \delta i ́ к \omega \varsigma, ~ \delta i ́ к \alpha \iota, ~ \delta i ́ к \eta ~$
- extent of Agamemnon's offence expressed by Cassandra's attributes: $\mu \alpha \iota v \alpha \delta^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \varepsilon{ }^{\prime} v \theta \varepsilon o v$ ко́pŋv
- attempt at justification: $\mu \tilde{\omega} \rho o v$ at beginning of line
- public reproach for women: 廿óүo̧, oú кли́ov $\sigma^{\prime}$, cf. $\pi \alpha \rho \rho \eta \sigma i ́ \alpha$,
- paradox of hypothetical killing of Orestes
- personal affront to Clytemnestra: $\tau \alpha \not \mu^{\prime}, \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \dot{\varepsilon}$
- suggested analogy between Clytemnestra's and Agamemnon's ventures: порعv́бциоv

- gnome, apophthegm: $\gamma \cup v \alpha \tilde{\kappa} \kappa \alpha \gamma \alpha ̀ \rho ~ \chi \rho \grave{~} \pi \alpha ́ v \tau \alpha ~ \sigma \cup \gamma \chi \omega \rho \varepsilon$ Ĩv $\pi o ́ \sigma \varepsilon \iota$.

Award up to [2] for the coherence and clarity of the argument: [2] if very coherent and well argued; [1] if coherent and partially argued; [0] if incoherent and poorly argued.

## Option D: Agon

## Extract 7 Aristophanes, Clouds 1055-1074

7. (a) The Adikos Logos compares speaking in the market place [1]; to the epic epithet for Nestor describing his skill in public debate [1].
(b) Acastus's wife trying to seduce Peleus [1]; Peleus being bound to a tree/on mount Pelion/in a remote place [1]; the gods sending Peleus a knife [1].
(c) Award [3] if the meaning has been fully communicated, and vocabulary and grammar are rendered correctly. Award [2] if the meaning has been communicated, and vocabulary and grammar are rendered adequately despite inaccuracies. Award [1] if the meaning has not been communicated adequately, and vocabulary and grammar are not rendered adequately. Award [0] if the work does not reach a standard described by the descriptors above.
(d) He was not rough (ov́ $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \tilde{\eta} v$ ن̇ $\beta \rho \iota \sigma t \grave{\varrho} \varsigma$ ) [1]; nor did he want to make love all night long


Total: [10]

## Option D: Agon

## Extract 8 Thucydides, The Peloponnesian War 4.17

8. (a) A number of Spartans cut off from the rest of the army [1]; on the western coast of the Peloponnese/at the entrance of the bay of Pylos [1].
(b) They might yearn for more than they should [1]; but they might experience a reverse in fortune [1]. Other similar answers to be marked on their merits.
(c) The speech recreated by Thucydides is a sophisticated piece of rhetoric which the author's style strives to make highly persuasive. Accept a range of substantiated answers, awarding [1] each up to [4] for stylistic remarks or any detail such as:

- justification of the long speech: ov́ $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha ̀$ tò $\varepsilon i ́ \omega \theta$ òs $\mu \eta \kappa v v o u ̃ \mu \varepsilon v$
- nonetheless, they are not departing from their customs: juxtaposition $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \grave{\alpha}$ tò عí $\omega$ Өòs ह́mıर́́pıovôv
- captatio benevolentiae: $\pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ \varepsilon i ́ \delta o ́ \tau \alpha \varsigma ~$
- good luck of the Athenians: है $\chi 0 \cup \sigma \iota ~ . . . ~ \delta o ́ \xi \alpha v ; ~ \alpha ́ \gamma \alpha Ө o ̀ v ~ . . . ~ \varepsilon u ́ t u \chi \tilde{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota ~ . . . ~ \varepsilon u ́ \pi \rho \rho \gamma i ́ \alpha ı s ~$
- juxtaposition of hope and unexpected: $\varepsilon$ ह́ $\pi i ́ \delta \iota ~ . . . ~ \alpha ́ \delta о к \eta ́ \tau \omega \varsigma ~$
- they are aiming at the common good/similar condition of Spartans and Athenians: ù $\mu \mathrm{i} v ~ . . . ~$


Award up to [2] for the coherence and clarity of the argument: [2] if very coherent and well argued; [1] if coherent and partially argued; [0] if incoherent and poorly argued.

Total: [10]

## Option F: Scientific knowledge

## Extract 9 Hippocrates, De aere aquis et locis 2

9. (a) Epidemic diseases: the changes of seasons ( $\tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \dot{\omega} \rho \varepsilon ́ \omega \nu \tau \grave{\alpha} \varsigma \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \beta$ o $\lambda \grave{\alpha} \varsigma$ or similar) [1].

(b) Award [3] if the meaning has been fully communicated, and vocabulary and grammar are rendered correctly. Award [2] if the meaning has been communicated, and vocabulary and grammar are rendered adequately despite inaccuracies. Award [1] if the meaning has not been communicated adequately, and vocabulary and grammar are not rendered adequately. Award [ 0 ] if the work does not reach a standard described by the descriptors above.

 advance; etc ( $\pi \rho \circ \varphi \rho о \nu \tau i ́ \sigma \eta ~ . . . \pi \rho о \varepsilon \iota \delta \varepsilon i ́ \eta ~ . . . ~ \pi \rho о \gamma เ \nu \omega ́ \sigma \kappa \omega v$. NB: only one mark can be awarded for the idea of knowing in advance: $\pi \rho \circ-$ ).
(d) $\mu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \omega \rho o \lambda o ́ \gamma \alpha$ includes the signs of weather and the movements of the celestial bodies [1]. Knowledge of it allows the doctor to forecast the prevailing conditions, which affect the health of the body [1].

## Option F: Scientific knowledge

## Extract 10 Plato, Phaedrus 270c-e

10. (a) Award [1] for any relevant point. A typical answer might highlight how, for Hippocrates, the enquiry on nature/scientific method [1] is necessary to understand the human body [1].
Other points may include the comparison between (parts of) the human body and the soul, etc.
入ópous if the context is clear) [1].
(c) The extract is an example of philosophical dialogue where Plato's style contributes to the persuasiveness of the argument. Accept a range of substantiated answers, awarding [1] each up to [4] for stylistic remarks or any detail such as:


- stress on professional knowledge: $\tau \varepsilon \chi \vee \iota \kappa o i ̀, \tau \varepsilon ́ \chi \cup \eta$
- authority of Hippocrates: 'I $\pi т о к \rho \alpha ́ t \eta \varsigma ~ \tau \varepsilon ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ o ̀ ~ o ́ d \lambda \eta \forall ̀ ̀ \varsigma ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o s ~$


 $\pi \alpha \theta \varepsilon \tau$
- hypotheses: $\hat{\alpha} v \mu \varepsilon ̀ v ~ . . . ~ \varepsilon ́ a ̀ v ~ \delta ~ غ ~ . . . ~$

- use of examples from different areas of expertise: $\pi \varepsilon \rho i ̀ \sigma \omega ́ \mu \alpha \tau o \varsigma ~ . . . ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o u s ~ \delta \iota \delta \tilde{\omega}$

Award up to [2] for the coherence and clarity of the argument: [2] if very coherent and well argued; [1] if coherent and partially argued; [0] if incoherent and poorly argued.

## Section B

## Instructions

Section B is assessed by the assessment criteria found below and published in the subject guide.
Criterion A (Range of evidence) assesses to what extent the evidence represents both prescribed passages and supplementary reading. A candidate is expected to:

- use relevant examples from the prescribed passages to support the response (candidates are not expected to provide exact quotes)
- demonstrate knowledge of historical, political and cultural contexts beyond those embedded in the prescribed passages.

Criterion B (Understanding and argument) assesses how well the response demonstrates understanding of the chosen option. Ideally, a candidate will:

- build a critical analysis that responds directly to the prompt in a clear, logical and imaginative way
- fully address the contexts and background knowledge pertinent to the examples related to the chosen option.

Total: [12]
Criterion A: Range of evidence

- To what extent does the evidence represent both prescribed passages and supplementary reading?

| Marks | Level descriptor |
| :---: | :--- |
| 0 | The work does not reach a standard described by the descriptors below. |
| 1 | The response includes weak evidence from the prescribed passages only. |
| 2 | The response includes specific evidence from the prescribed passages only. |
| 3 | The response includes evidence from both the prescribed passages and <br> supplementary reading. |
| 4 | The response includes specific evidence from both the prescribed passages <br> and supplementary reading. |

## Criterion B: Understanding and argument

- How well does the response demonstrate understanding of the chosen option?
- How well is the argument constructed?

| Marks | Level descriptor |
| :---: | :--- |
| 0 | The work does not reach a standard described by the descriptors below. |
| $1-2$ | The response demonstrates a limited understanding of the chosen option without <br> addressing contexts and background knowledge pertinent to the examples. <br> The argument has limited focus, coherence and development. |
| $3-4$ | The response demonstrates limited understanding of the contexts and <br> background knowledge pertinent to the chosen examples. <br> The argument has focus but has limited coherence and is not developed. |
| $5-6$ | The response demonstrates an understanding of the chosen option by <br> addressing contexts and background knowledge pertinent to the examples in a <br> limited way. <br> The argument has focus and coherence but is not developed. |
| $7-8$ | The response demonstrates an understanding of the chosen option by <br> addressing contexts and background knowledge pertinent to the examples. <br> The argument has focus and coherence, and is developed. |
| The |  |

