

GCSE **Urdu**

Unit 3 Speaking Mark scheme

4645 June 2016

Version 0.1: Final Mark Scheme

Mark schemes are prepared by the Lead Assessment Writer and considered, together with the relevant questions, by a panel of subject teachers. This mark scheme includes any amendments made at the standardisation events which all associates participate in and is the scheme which was used by them in this examination. The standardisation process ensures that the mark scheme covers the students' responses to questions and that every associate understands and applies it in the same correct way. As preparation for standardisation each associate analyses a number of students' scripts. Alternative answers not already covered by the mark scheme are discussed and legislated for. If, after the standardisation process, associates encounter unusual answers which have not been raised they are required to refer these to the Lead Assessment Writer.

It must be stressed that a mark scheme is a working document, in many cases further developed and expanded on the basis of students' reactions to a particular paper. Assumptions about future mark schemes on the basis of one year's document should be avoided; whilst the guiding principles of assessment remain constant, details will change, depending on the content of a particular examination paper.

Further copies of this mark scheme are available from aqa.org.uk

Copyright © 2016 AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.

AQA retains the copyright on all its publications. However, registered schools/colleges for AQA are permitted to copy material from this booklet for their own internal use, with the following important exception: AQA cannot give permission to schools/colleges to photocopy any material that is acknowledged to a third party even for internal use within the centre.

Assessment Criteria

	Marks
Communication	10
Range and Accuracy of Language	10
Pronunciation and Intonation	5
Interaction and Fluency	5
TOTAL	30

Marks	Communication	
9-10	Very Good Information, ideas and points of view are presented and explained with confidence.	
	Can narrate events when appropriate. Good	
7-8	A good amount of information and points of view are conveyed and regularly developed.	
5-6	Sufficient	
	A reasonable amount of information and points of view are conveyed and sometimes developed.	
3-4	Limited Some simple information and opinions are conveyed. Few responses are developed.	
1-2	Poor Little relevant information communicated. Very few appropriate responses are developed.	
0	No relevant information conveyed. A zero score.	

Marks	Range and Accuracy of Language
9-10	A wide range of vocabulary, complex structures and a variety of verb tenses. Errors usually appear in more complex structures.
7-8	A range of vocabulary; some complex structures and a variety of verb tenses attempted, though not always well formed. Some errors occur but the message is clear.
5-6	Limited vocabulary; sentences generally simple but occasionally more complex. Errors are quite frequent, but the language is more accurate than inaccurate.
3-4	Very limited vocabulary; short, simple sentences. Errors very frequent.
1-2	Isolated words of vocabulary. Occasional short phrases. Errors often impede communication.
0	No language produced is worthy of credit.

Marks	Pronunciation and Intonation	
5	Consistently good accent and intonation.	
4	Generally good.	
3	Generally accurate but some inconsistency.	
2	Understandable, but comprehension is sometimes delayed.	
1	Barely understandable, making comprehension difficult.	
0	No language produced is worthy of credit.	

Marks	Interaction and Fluency	
5	Responds readily and shows initiative. Conversation sustained at a reasonable speed, language expressed fluently.	
4	Answers without hesitation and extends responses beyond the minimum with some flow of language.	
3	Ready responses; some evidence of an ability to sustain a conversation; little if any initiative.	
2	Some reaction. Sometimes hesitant, little natural flow of language.	
1	Little reaction. Very hesitant and disjointed.	
0	No language produced is worthy of credit.	

- The marks awarded for Range and Accuracy of Language, Pronunciation and Intonation, Interaction and Fluency must not be more than one band higher than the mark awarded for Communication. (See tables below).
- If a mark is awarded for Communication, this will inevitably lead to the award for a mark for Pronunciation and Intonation, for Interaction and Fluency and for Range and Accuracy of Language.
- A mark of zero for Communication will automatically result in a zero score for the task as a whole.

Communication	Marks for each of Pronunciation and Intonation and Interaction and Fluency	Marks for Range and Accuracy of Language
0	0	0
1 – 2	1 – 2	1 – 4
3 – 4	1 – 3	1 – 6
5 – 6	1 – 4	1 – 8
7 – 8	1 – 5	1 – 10
9 – 10	1 – 5	1 – 10