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Mark schemes are prepared by the Principal Examiner and considered, together with the relevant questions, by a panel of subject teachers. This mark scheme includes any amendments made at the standardisation meeting attended by all examiners and is the scheme which was used by them in this examination. The standardisation meeting ensures that the mark scheme covers the candidates' responses to questions and that every examiner understands and applies it in the same correct way. As preparation for the standardisation meeting each examiner analyses a number of candidates' scripts: alternative answers not already covered by the mark scheme are discussed at the meeting and legislated for. If, after this meeting, examiners encounter unusual answers which have not been discussed at the meeting they are required to refer these to the Principal Examiner.

It must be stressed that a mark scheme is a working document, in many cases further developed and expanded on the basis of candidates' reactions to a particular paper. Assumptions about future mark schemes on the basis of one year's document should be avoided; whilst the guiding principles of assessment remain constant, details will change, depending on the content of a particular examination paper.

## WRITING TESTS

## FOUNDATION AND HIGHER TIERS

## PART ONE

## Principles of Marking

- All marking is to be done in accordance with the published Criteria for Assessment.
- The stress is on effective communication. Credit should be given for the language successfully conveying the target message without ambiguity.
- There is no need to count the number of words used to answer each question. The sole criterion is completion of the tasks set.


## MARK ALLOCATION

| Foundation | Communication | Quality of Language | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| List | 2 |  | 2 |
| Message | 12 | 8 | 20 |
| Letter | 8 | 12 | 20 |
| Total | 22 | 20 | 42 |


| Higher | Communication | Quality of Language | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Letter | 8 | 12 | 20 |
| Question 2 | 8 | 12 | 20 |
| Total | 16 | 24 | 40 |

## FOUNDATION TIER QUESTION 1 - List Question

This question will be assessed for Communication only.

| Marks | Degree of Communication |
| :---: | :--- |
| 1 | $1-2$ words correct, without ambiguity. |
| 2 | $3-4$ words correct, without ambiguity. |

Maximum mark - 2

## QUESTION 2 - Message Question

This question will consist of six tasks and will be assessed for Degree of Communication and Quality of Language.

## DEGREE OF COMMUNICATION

For each of the six tasks, the following criteria will be used.

| Marks | Degree of Communication |
| :---: | :--- |
| 0 | Required message not communicated. |
| 1 | Comprehension difficult or ambiguous. Some relevant information conveyed. |
| 2 | Required message conveyed without ambiguity even if not totally correct. |
| $6 \times 2=12$ marks |  |

## QUALITY OF LANGUAGE

A global mark out of 8 will be awarded. The mark awarded for Communication will determine the mark range available for Quality of Language according to the following criteria.

| Marks awarded <br> for <br> Communication | Marks available <br> for Quality of <br> Language | Quality of Language |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $0-1$ | 0 | Inaccuracy is almost always a barrier to communication. |
| $2-4$ | $1-2$ | Some of the messages are intelligible but the frequency <br> of serious error makes communication difficult. |
| $5-6$ | $3-4$ | Several of the messages are intelligible, despite frequent <br> error which creates ambiguity and requires effort from <br> the reader. |
| $7-8$ | $5-6$ | Errors occur regularly but most intended messages can <br> be understood, with hesitation but without undue <br> difficulty. |
| $9-12$ | $7-8$ | Despite various errors, the piece is more accurate than <br> inaccurate; intended meanings are usually clear. |

Maximum mark $12+8=20$

## QUESTION 3 - Letter Question

This question will consist of eight tasks and will be assessed for Degree of Communication, Range/Complexity and Accuracy, giving a maximum mark of 20.

## DEGREE OF COMMUNICATION

- The assessment of Communication depends upon recognising an attempt to write something about the task.
- You should not take into account the quality of the language used, unless it interferes so much that communication would not take place.
- Candidates will be expected to go beyond the minimum level of response in order to score at least 3 marks. This means that the candidate will have added an additional detail (hereafter called a Development), which goes beyond the minimum required by the task.
- For a Development to be accepted, the quality of language should be the same as that which is required for a Communication task.
- Developments cannot be credited for a task which has been rejected.
- There can be only one Development per task in this question.

Tasks to Marks - Degree of Communication

| Tasks | Marks | Requirement | Degree of Communication |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 |  | Nothing of merit; fails to communicate OR occasional words are recognisable within sentences but no complete messages are communicated. |
| 1 | 1 |  | Communicates a little basic information (e.g. simple facts). |
| 2-8(0 Dev) | 2 |  |  |
| 3-8 (1 Dev) | 3 |  | Some basic information is conveyed; occasional additional details conveyed (e.g. description, simple opinion). |
| 3-8 (2 Dev) | 4 |  |  |
| 5-8 (3 Dev) | 5 | Must include an opinion, if not, revert to 4 marks | Communicates clearly quite a lot of relevant information, including personal opinions; regularly goes beyond a basic response to give more detailed information relating to descriptions and accounts. |
| 5-8 (4 Dev) | 6 | Must include an opinion, if not, revert to 4 marks |  |
| 7-8 (5 Dev) | 7 | Must include justification of an opinion, if not, revert to 6 marks | Communicates a lot of relevant information; candidate can narrate events, give full descriptions and can express and justify ideas and points of view. |
| 7-8 (6 Dev) | 8 | Must include justification of an opinion, if not, revert to 6 marks |  |

## QUALITY OF LANGUAGE

- Marks will be awarded out of 6 for each of Range/Complexity and Accuracy. The marks will be added to make a total out of 12 for Quality of Language.
- The mark awarded under Range/Complexity must not be more than one mark higher than the mark awarded for Degree of Communication.
- The mark awarded under Accuracy must not be more than one mark higher than the mark awarded for Degree of Communication.
- If a mark is awarded for Communication this will inevitably lead to the award of a mark for Range/Complexity and for Accuracy.
- For the award of 4 marks or more under Range/Complexity there must be at least one reference to each of past, present and future events.

| Range / Complexity | Marks | Accuracy |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Very little effective vocabulary. There are occasional <br> recognisable words but they make little coherent sense. | $\mathbf{0}$ | There is little, if any, evidence of <br> understanding of the most basic <br> linguistic structures. |
| The vocabulary and structures used are simple, often <br> repetitive, limited in range and may contain many <br> cognates. | $\mathbf{1}$ | There is only limited understanding <br> of the most basic linguistic <br> structures and most sentences <br> contain major errors. |
| Vocabulary is appropriate to the basic needs of the task. <br> Structures are simple, often repetitive and are rarely <br> linked. | $\mathbf{2}$ | Most sentences contain errors, many <br> of a major nature, and verb forms <br> are rarely accurate. |
| Vocabulary and structures are appropriate to the task <br> with a little attempt at variety and there is some <br> successful attempt to link structures together. | $\mathbf{3}$ | There are some major errors and <br> frequent minor ones. Attempts at <br> verb forms and tense formations are <br> often unsuccessful. |
| There is some variety in the use of vocabulary and some <br> successful attempts at a variety of structures including <br> attempts at longer sentences using appropriate linking <br> words. Some personal opinions are successfully <br> expressed. There are successful attempts at using more <br> than one time frame. | $\mathbf{4}$ | There are a number of minor errors <br> and a few major ones, but the piece <br> is more accurate than inaccurate. <br> Verb forms and tense formations are <br> not always correct, but the intended <br> meaning is clearly recognisable. |
| There is a wider range of vocabulary and structure <br> which communicates descriptions and opinions with <br> some precision. Longer sentences, including the use of <br> subordinate clauses, are used more regularly and with <br> increasing success. | $\mathbf{5}$ | Inaccuracies are mainly of a minor <br> nature although some major errors <br> may occur when complex structures <br> are attempted. Verb forms and tense <br> formations are usually correct. |
| A wide range of vocabulary and structures appropriate <br> to the topic is effectively used. Longer, more complex <br> sentences are handled with confidence producing a <br> fluent piece of coherent language. | $\mathbf{6}$ | There are hardly any major and few <br> minor errors even in more complex <br> structures. The overall impression <br> is of accuracy and verb forms and <br> tense formations are secure. |

The maximum mark for the Foundation Tier Paper is 42.

## HIGHER TIER

## QUESTION 1

This question is the same as that set for Foundation Writing Question 3. It should therefore be marked in accordance with the instructions given for Foundation Tier Question 3.

## QUESTION 2

This question will consist of four tasks and will be assessed for Degree of Communication, Range/Complexity and Accuracy, giving a maximum of 20.

## DEGREE OF COMMUNICATION

- The assessment of Communication depends upon recognising an attempt to write something about the task. All responses should be self-contained and comprehensible without reference to the rubrics.
- Candidates will be expected to go beyond the minimum level of response in order to score at least 2 marks. This means that the candidate will have added an additional piece of information, in the form of a phrase or clause (hereafter called a Development), which goes beyond the minimum required by the task.
- For a Development to be accepted, the quality of language should be the same as that which is required for a Communication task.
- Developments cannot be credited for a task which has been rejected.
- There can be up to two developments per task in this question.

Tasks to Marks - Degree of Communication

| Tasks | Marks | Requirement | Degree of Communication |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 |  | Nothing of merit; fails to communicate OR occasional words are recognisable within sentences but no complete messages are communicated. |
| 1-4 (0 Dev) | 1 |  | Communicates a little basic information (e.g. simple facts). |
| 1-4(1 Dev) | 2 |  |  |
| 2-4 (2 Dev) | 3 |  | Some basic information is conveyed; occasional additional details conveyed (e.g. description, simple opinion). |
| 2-4 (3 Dev) | 4 |  |  |
| 3-4 (4 Dev) | 5 | Must include an opinion, if not, revert to 4 marks | Communicates clearly quite a lot of relevant information, including personal opinions; regularly goes beyond a basic response to give more detailed information relating to descriptions and accounts. |
| 3-4 (5 Dev) | 6 | Must include an opinion, if not, revert to 4 marks |  |
| 4 (6 Dev) | 7 | Must include justification of an opinion, if not, revert to 6 marks | Communicates a lot of relevant information; candidate can narrate events, give full descriptions and can express and justify ideas and points of view. |
| 4 (7-8 Dev) | 8 | Must include justification of an opinion, if not, revert to 6 marks |  |

## QUALITY OF LANGUAGE

- Marks will be awarded out of 6 for each of Range/Complexity and Accuracy. The marks will be added to make a total out of 12 for Quality of Language.
- The mark awarded under Range/Complexity must not be more than one mark higher than the mark awarded for Degree of Communication.
- The mark awarded under Accuracy must not be more than one mark higher than the mark awarded for Degree of Communication.
- If a mark is awarded for Communication this will inevitably lead to the award of a mark for Range/Complexity and for Accuracy.
- For the award of 4 marks or more under Range/Complexity there must be at least one reference to two of past/present/future events.

| Range / Complexity | Marks | Accuracy |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Very little effective vocabulary. There are <br> occasional recognisable words but they make little <br> coherent sense. | $\mathbf{0}$ | There is little, if any, evidence of <br> understanding of the most basic <br> linguistic structures. |
| The vocabulary and structures used are simple, <br> often repetitive, limited in range and may contain <br> many cognates. | $\mathbf{1}$ | There is only limited <br> understanding of the most basic <br> linguistic structures and most <br> sentences contain major errors. |
| Vocabulary is appropriate to the basic needs of the <br> task. Structures are simple, often repetitive and are <br> rarely linked. | $\mathbf{2}$ | Most sentences contain errors, <br> many of a major nature, and verb <br> forms are rarely accurate. |
| Vocabulary and structures are appropriate to the <br> task with a little attempt at variety and there is <br> some successful attempt to link structures together. | $\mathbf{3}$ | There are some major errors and <br> frequent minor ones. Attempts at <br> verb forms and tense formations <br> are often unsuccessful. |
| There is some variety in the use of vocabulary and <br> some successful attempts at a variety of structures <br> including attempts at longer sentences using <br> appropriate linking words. Some personal opinions <br> are successfully expressed. There are successful <br> attempts at using more than one time frame. | $\mathbf{4}$ | There are a number of minor errors <br> and a few major ones, but the <br> piece is more accurate than |
| inaccurate. Verb forms and tense |  |  |
| formations are not always correct, |  |  |
| but the intended meaning is clearly |  |  |
| recognisable. |  |  |$|$

The maximum mark for the Higher Tier paper is 40 .

## WRITING

## PART 2

## Foundation Tier

## Question 1

Accept all understandable words which are possible forms of transport. Accept a pie / andando / footing / jogging / ciclismo / bus. Reject a pied / train.

| 0 | No understandable words |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1 or 2 understandable words |
| 2 | 3 or 4 understandable words |

## Question 2

(20 marks)

There are six tasks. Award 0,1 or 2 marks per attempted task. Write the mark at the point where the task is accomplished. A global mark of up to 8 is then awarded for Quality of Language.

| Task | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{i}$ | Wrong person of verb <br> Town/country alone | En town/country <br> Inappropriate use of <br> infinitive | Vivo en + <br> town/country/casa/piso. |
| $\mathbf{i i}$ | Eg. 2/4 <br> Tu cumpleaños es ... <br> Inappropriate use of <br> infinitive <br> Date (month as a word), no <br> verb | (Mi cumpleaños) es (el) - <br> (de) - <br> (Mi cumpleaños) es (en) <br> enero, etc. |  |
| $\mathbf{i i i}$ | Wrong person of verb <br> Number of brothers/sisters <br> alone as a figure (eg. 2) | Number of brothers/sisters <br> alone as a word (eg. dos) <br> Inappropriate use of <br> infinitive | Tengo + number of <br> brothers/sisters (eg. Tengo <br> dos / Tengo 2) |
| $\mathbf{i v}$ | Wrong person of verb | Item alone <br> Inappropriate use of <br> infinitive <br> Es + item | Hay/tengo/tiene(s)/está(n) + <br> any item that may be found in <br> a bedroom |
| $\mathbf{v}$ | Wrong person of verb | Inappropriate use of <br> infinitive <br> Item of food alone | Como/tomo + item of food |
| $\mathbf{v i}$ | Wrong person of verb | Activity no verb <br> Inappropriate use of <br> infinitive | Activity first person verb |

## Foundation Question 3/Higher Question 1

There are 8 marks for Communication and 12 for Quality of Language.

- Place a tick at the point where the task has been accomplished.
- Place a cross if a task is attempted, but not successfully conveyed.
- When a Development has been accomplished, write D1 for the first, D2 for the second, etc. Any form of development of an accepted task is acceptable. Only one development per task in this question.

Example - Task 1: Me levanto a las siete ( $\checkmark$ ) normalmente (D)
(As a general rule, infinitives can be accepted as content points in this question).

- Write the letter ' P ' in the margin when the candidate makes a recognisable reference to the past, 'PRES' to the present, and ' F ' to the future.
- Write 'OP' in the margin where the candidate successfully conveys an opinion and 'J' when he/she justifies an opinion.

| Task | $\downarrow$ | X |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | (Me) levanto / despierto a las siete, etc | Eg Las siete alone |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | (Me) ducho, etc | Person alone |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Voy al colegio con Emily, etc | Opinion of Spanish teacher but no reason |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Opinion of Spanish teacher + reason | Use of present tense / infinitive with no <br> reference to last Saturday <br> No ref. at all to last Saturday |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Clear past tense required in first person <br> without any ref. to last Saturday <br> Use of present tense / infinitive with <br> reference to last Saturday | No reference to after school <br> Eg Me gusta ver la tele después de las <br> clases |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | Voy a estudiar en septiembre etc + reason <br> Voy a España en septiembre etc + reason <br> Accept infinitive if accompanied by ref. to <br> September. | Wrong person of verb <br> No mention of septiembre <br> Infinitive, no mention of September <br> No reason |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | Any question about Raquel's job | Wrong person of verb |

## Higher Tier

## Question 2

There are 8 marks for Communication and 12 for Quality of Language.

- Place a tick at the point where the task has been accomplished.
- Place a cross if a task is attempted, but not successfully conveyed.
- When a Development has been accomplished, write D1 for the first, D2 for the second, etc.

Developments in this question must take the form of an additional phrase / idea to an accepted task. Up to two developments per task in this question.

Example - Task 1: Hace un año fui a ... y era aburrido. (V). No había nada para los jóvenes .

> (D)
(As a general rule, inapproprite infinitives are not to be accepted as content points in this question).

- Write the letter ' P ' in the margin when the candidate makes a recognisable reference to the past, 'PRES' to the present, and ' F ' to the future.
- Write 'OP' in the margin where the candidate successfully conveys an opinion and ' $J$ ' when he/she justifies an opinion.

| Task | $\sqrt{\|c\|}$ X | X |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Most horrible town visited and activities <br> done there. | Most horrible town alone. <br> Description of town (but ok for a <br> development) |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Important feature of an interesting town. |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | An advantage/disadvantage of living in the <br> country. Further advantages/disadvantages <br> will count as developments. |  |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | An idea of what future houses will be like. <br> Further ideas and/or reasons will count as <br> developments. |  |

