

Examiners' Report June 2019

GCSE Religious Studies 1RB0 1A



Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications come from Pearson, the UK's largest awarding body. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information visit our qualifications websites at www.edexcel.com or www.btec.co.uk.

Alternatively, you can get in touch with us using the details on our contact us page at www.edexcel.com/contactus.



Giving you insight to inform next steps

ResultsPlus is Pearson's free online service giving instant and detailed analysis of your students' exam results.

- See students' scores for every exam question.
- Understand how your students' performance compares with class and national averages.
- Identify potential topics, skills and types of question where students may need to develop their learning further.

For more information on ResultsPlus, or to log in, visit www.edexcel.com/resultsplus. Your exams officer will be able to set up your ResultsPlus account in minutes via Edexcel Online.

Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Pearson aspires to be the world's leading learning company. Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for our commitment to high standards and raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: www.pearson.com/uk.

June 2019 Publications Code 1RB0_1A_1906_ER

All the material in this publication is copyright © Pearson Education Ltd 2019

Introduction

The paper contributes 50% of the overall award centres can choose to combine Area of Study 1 – Religion and Ethics - Catholic Christianity with Paper 2 or 3 for all religions except Christianity. The most popular combinations with Area of Study 2 Religion Peace and Conflict are options with 2C or 2F.

The assessment consists of four questions one from each section of the specification: **Catholic** Beliefs, Marriage and the Family, Living the Catholic Life and Matters of Life and Death.

Candidates must answer all four questions. The details of the assessment content are provided in the specification. Centres are to use this rather than other published resources when planning the course content.

This is the second year the specification was awarded by comparison to the previous year and there is much to commend the candidates on.

In (a) items less candidates gave lists so were more likely to score better on the more straight forward questions.

In (b) items they continued to differentiate between those candidates who had a secure knowledge and understanding of religion and belief.

In (c) items more candidates attempted to link in the source of wisdom and authority into the answer.

It is the (d) items that remain much the same in terms of marks awarded, even though it was evident that more candidates attempted to 'consider' the arguments for and against, appraise the evidence, this did not result in them necessarily achieving the higher levels. The use of writing frames appeared to inhibit the flow and was often at the expense of the development and coherency of the response.

Question 1 (a)

Candidates were assessed on bullet point 1.5 of the specification; the Incarnation.

The question asked candidates to outline three beliefs about the Incarnation.

Most candidates could identify two correct beliefs about Jesus as the Incarnation. A significant number of candidates did not know the term and wrote about reincarnation or life after death in general.

This candidate was awarded 3 marks.

1 (a) Outline three Catholic beliefs about the Incarnation.	•	(7)
		(3)
Firstly, Catholics believe the incarnation is	God in	human form
(God the Son).		
Secondly, the Incarnation, (Sesus) lived among	human	is and was an
example for all catholics.		
Finally, the Incarnation brought about Salva	tion 1	o Save
Catholics Com sins.		



The candidate has identified three correct beliefs in sentences.

- God in human form (1)
- Lived among humans (1)
- Brought about salvation (1)



Candidates are advised to write separate sentences and starting a new line signposts three clear points are being made. Centres should ensure that the candidates are familiar with the specialist terms used in the specification e.g. the Incarnation.

Question 1 (b)

Candidates were assessed on bullet point 1.4 of the specification; the significance of the Creation in understanding the characteristics of God.

The question asked candidates to explain two characteristics of God shown in the biblical account of Creation.

The most successful responses correctly identified a characteristic of God and developed the answer by linking it to the account of Creation.

A significant number of candidates retold the account in all or part of the answer, which did not answer the question set.

This candidate was awarded 4 marks.

(b) Explain two characteristics of God shown in the biblical account of Creation.
(4)
Firstly, and is depicted as armipotent as
God was able to cause all and make
all in only 38 days. The creation story
Shows God's power through generis 1 Tho
ferentien s'creation account
ferentien s'creation account anniberavelent Secondly, God B depicted as garattariousen
as God was so loving that he shared
his creation with man and gifted human
with his beautiful oncontrops thighlighting
God's affection for as humans.



The candidate gives two characteristics that are developed by reference to the biblical account of creation.

- Characteristic One God is depicted as omnipotent (1)
- Development One God was able to cause/make all in six days (1)
- Characteristic Two God is depicted as benevolent (1)
- Development Two shared his creation with man (1)



Candidates should aim to write as succinctly as possible to allow themselves more time on d items.

Question 1 (c)

Candidates were assessed on bullet point 1.7 of the specification; the significance of Jesus' life, death, resurrection and ascension for Catholic beliefs about salvation.

The question asked candidates to explain two Catholics beliefs about salvation.

In your answer you must refer to a source of wisdom and authority.

A significant number of candidates struggled to support their answer with an appropriate source of wisdom and authority; the specification refers to both John 3:10-21 and Acts 4: 8-12.

/E1

Some candidates wrote about forgiveness or judgement not salvation.

This is an example of a candidate who wrote succinctly but gained full marks for this question.

(c) Explain two Catholic beliefs about salvation.

	(5)
Firstly, Catholics believe that Jess was incornated a	nd
"dnet among is in order to bridge the gop between h	
and God. This shows that salvation only came to be because	
God which emphasives his benevalence.	
Secondly, Catholics believe that Jesus suffered incredi	-bly
in order to help is. This means that the only may w	
could have been had salvation was from Jesus' deal	
therefore me doubt be eternally grateful.	



The candidate was awarded 5 marks

The candidate gives two developed reasons and deploys a source of wisdom and authority.

- Belief One Jesus was incarnated in order to bridge the gap between God and humans (1)
- Source of Wisdom and Authority 'dwelt among us' (1)
- Development Two this shows salvation only came because of God's benevolence (1)
- Belief Two only way we have salvation through Jesus' death (1)
- Development Two –Jesus suffered to help us (1)



The source of wisdom and authority cited needs to be part of the answer, linked to either the reason or development.

Question 1 (d)

Candidates were assessed on bullet point 1.8 of the specification; divergent Christian beliefs about life after death with reference to purgatory.

Candidates were asked to evaluate 'believing in purgatory is essential for all Christians' by:

- considering arguments for and against
- referring to Catholic teachings and different Christian points of view
- and reaching a justified conclusion based on the arguments/evidence offered.

The more successful responses gave arguments for why Catholics consider purgatory essential and considered why different Christians do not see belief in purgatory as essential. But many still did not make judgements about the quality of the evidence or argument and often just gave more reasons why.

Please note that nowhere in the bullet points, nor in the question, are candidates required to give a non-religious response so any arguments based on not believing in God or life after death cannot be taken into account when awarding a level.

This is an example of a level 2 answer at the top of the range of marks.

Pugatary is the space where people who aren't good enough not bak enough to go to hell go Firstly, some Continue ight agree will this statement secouse purqueous encourages good belowier from all Catholics as they would just prefer to go straight to become rather than judged again. This means that purgatory acts as a deterrent to catholics I think this is a reak point because prygotory is least talked about in Christianity which could mean that it is less in partant and therefore unnecessary for Cethalics. On the other hand some Cotholics might disagree with the Statement because heaven and hell one more important. could be seen as more important given that every Catholic bears going to Lell and strives to getting into beaven hancver they don't usually talk about getting into gurgatory which could emphasize the unimportance of prigatory and therefore hug it isn't essential. I think this is a strong point because leaver and Lell are mostly emplosized to show off their significance but purgatory isn't

Decordly, some Christians night agree with the statement because
Saint Patrick Lad emplasized the importance of purgatory to the
Irish This nears that progressy is important given that it
was told to many people in order for them to become the perfect
Catholic to get into beaven; it furthermore acts like a deterrent.
Moreover, purgatory is a place of Laiting until you are thoughly
judged on judgement day. It link this is a weak point as
hell already is the biggest fear for Christians. There is no
need for any more fear.
e de la companya de l
Finally Aliteralist Christians might disagree given that prygatory
int mentioned in the Bible. This emplosizes the
insignificance of it and therefore only beaven and hall are the
only essential beliefs for Christians: Furthermore, it shows
that progatory has been introduced over the years to people !
is therefore, slightly now white Leaven and hall which were
mentioned constantly throughout the bible. I think this is a
strong point because and Catholics know about heaven and
hall whereas only some know about purgetony.
In conclusion, I disagree with the statement given the house
and hell are portrayed as significant places that Cathelies
must know about therough thorogety whereas eurgatory is



This candidate was awarded level 2 because:

- some logical chains of reasoning that consider points of view but some inaccuracies in understanding of religion and belief.
- there are limited judgements with an attempt to appraise the evidence.

This is not level 3 as the accuracy of the evidence shows a limited understanding. To be level 3 the answer would be more precise and consider, appraise, the arguments for and against.

SPaG was awarded 3 marks, in this example, because they:

- spell and punctuate with consistent accuracy.
- use rules of grammar with effective control of meaning overall.
- uses a wide range of specialist terms as appropriate.

The candidate was awarded 9 marks in total.



As the bullet points in d questions do not ask for a personal point of view the use of 'I think' is best avoided.

Question 2 (a)

Candidates were assessed on bullet point 2.1 of the specification; the purpose of marriage for Catholics.

Most candidates were able to give three purposes of marriage for Catholics and generally referred to; it being a life long, to enjoy a sexual relationship, to raise a family. Some focused on marriage as a sacrament and bringing God's Grace.

This is an example of candidate who was awarded 3 marks and clearly set their answer out.

(a) Outline **three** purposes of Catholic marriage.

(3)



In the third bullet the candidate was awarded the mark for 'have a family' the rest of the information is correct but in a) items if developments are given they are not credited.



Remember in a) items when asked to 'outline' one mark is awarded for each point identified, there is no need to develop the point.

Question 2 (b)

Candidates were assessed on bullet point 2.2 of the specification: Catholic teaching on homosexuality.

Most candidates correctly cited Catholic teaching; that being a homosexual is not a sin but a homosexual sexual relationship is, referred to the teachings of the Church on same sex marriage and/or recent teachings of Pope Francis.

Some candidates offered some other Christian or non-religious views about homosexuality and cited them as Catholic teachings.

This candidate was awarded four marks for making two developed points.

(b) Explain two Catholic teachings about homosexual relationships.

(a Molics are not a gainst mancesexual relationships, so it's allowed. Charles

(a Molics are not a gainst homosexual relationships, so it's sinful, so

(a Molics cannot discriminate a gainst homosexual relationships as it's sinful, so

(a Molics cannot discriminate a gainst homosexual relationships are it's sinful, so

(a molic a way from tim. Assurationships a cannot grant the part in sexual activities as as an numosexual relationships can't biological produce a child, the Pape says it's for bibliden to take part in any sexual activities.



Teaching One – Catholics are not against homosexual relationships (1)

Development One – discriminating against homosexual relationships is sinful

Teaching Two – they cannot take part in sexual relationships (1)

Development Two – ... as can't biologically produce a child (1)



Candidates need to be clear about what the Catholic Church teaches and how it may differ to other Christian teachings on issues relating to marriage and family.

Question 2 (c)

Candidates were assessed on bullet point 2.4 of the specification: why the local parish tries to support families.

This guestion required candidates to explain why parishes support Catholic families.

While there were many good responses a significant number of candidates gave 'ways' a parish tries to support families and not the reasons why.

Also, some candidates went off on a tangent about marriage and divorce and did not address the question set about the family.

This is an example of how a source of wisdom and authority can be deployed effectively in an answer.

(c) Explain two reasons why parishes support Catholic families.

In your answer you must refer to a source of wisdom and authority.

(5)



Reason One – supports families as they are the future (1)

SoWA – 'the kingdom of heaven belongs to such as these' when talking about children (the candidate has quoted part of Matthew 19:14)

Development One – as one day they will need to teach it and pass it down (1)

Reason Two – the parish should mirror Jesus' loving family and be part of people's family (1)

Development Two – this means a much wider range of support in times of difficulty (1)



Candidates are advised to practise including a source of wisdom and authority into their answers.

Question 2 (d)

Candidates were assessed on bullet point 2.5 of the specification; Catholic teachings on family planning including the application of ethical theories and Catholic responses to them.

Candidates were asked to evaluate 'couples should not use family planning' by:

- considering arguments for and against
- referring to Catholic teachings and a relevant ethical argument
- and reaching a justified conclusion based on the arguments/evidence offered.

On the whole this question was not well answered as a significant number of candidates did not seem to know what 'family planning' is within the context of the specification.

The better answers referred to teachings about artificial contraception and natural family planning; so were able to present arguments for and against the statement.

A significant number of candidates either offered other Christian or non-religious points of view and failed to refer to an ethical argument so limiting the marks that could be awarded to the lower levels.

This is an example of an answer that addressed most elements of the question.

(d) "Couples should not use family planning."

F - contraception

Evaluate this statement considering arguments for and against.

A - natural

In your response you should:

· refer to Catholic teachings

· refer to relevant ethical arguments

· reach a justified conclusion.

A - doesn't stop chances of preg.

Catholics (12)agree with this statement because main objective of Marriage and relationships multiply contraception is a thinning the possibility of children, which not all couples will be religious, so their situation could disagree with the statement family planning is a form of natural tative nature and could end up in might agree with this Statement meth barrier Med Humanisty

wouldn't agree with family planning because it isn't working for the greater good of the couple, because if an 54 STI is passed on, it will cause the person suffering, was which isn't fair on them. I think this is a strong point because there are Other wa methods of contraception that can prevent STIS, like Londons, which still can end to up in pregnancies # Someone nou disagree because it helps couples Space out their children so they don't have too many at once. The church agrees with this because they don't think to it would be fair on the camily if they had too many wildren at once because it would cause financial and Emotional problems for the whole family. I think this is a strong point because it improves the families lives in the long term which can save stress and help the family become independant. In conclusion, families should use family planning in order to assure a happy family, but at the same time mai having the change of pregnancy present. It is also the thurche's church's most agreed with method of Contraception.



This candidate was awarded level 2 because there is evidence of:

- some logical chains
- gives arguments for and against
- makes some reasoned judgements but lacks coherency in places
- the conclusion is not fully justified.

It is not Level 3 as they have not clearly referred to ethical arguments but offered non-religious viewpoints.

The candidate is awarded 6 marks top range of the level as the 'best fit'.



Candidates are advised to signpost in their responses where they are referring to an ethical theory e.g. 'Using situation ethics'.

Question 3 (a)

Candidates were assessed on bullet point 3.5 of the specification; the role and importance of popular piety, the nature of the Stations of the Cross.

This guestion required candidates to outline three of the Stations of the Cross. Many candidates were able give three distinct Stations of the Cross but a significant number gave three events of the Jesus' death, resurrection or ascension so did not answer question asked.

Two examples of how candidates answered the question: one gaining one mark the other three marks.

3 (a) Outline three of the Stations of the Cross.

FIGHT, the last supper. Joses should glock Passover

(3)

with his disciples. It was his last meal. Secondly, His Glest. Jesus was wiesled at the Colden of Gath seman while proxing to God.

his Chartiction Coucilition. Jesus was nailed to the follow three thres. Cross after



This candidate gives one correct Station of the Cross:

• Jesus was nailed to the cross (1)

3 (a) Outline **three** of the Stations of the Cross.

(3) One of the seating is simon trates heigh Tesus carry the cross. Jesus Another station is Salls rime. the sirst Another Station is Tesus is naised the cross.



This candidate gives three correct Stations of the Cross that is clearly set out.

- Simon helps Jesus to carry the cross (1)
- Jesus falls for the first time (1)
- Jesus is nailed to the cross (1)

Question 3 (b)

Candidates were assessed on bullet point 3.8 of the specification; Catholic mission and evangelism - divergent ways this can be put into practice by individuals.

Most candidates did give ways of how Catholics can evangelise in the world today as asked but tended to focus on converting people to religion and missed opportunities to give ways Catholics can engage in evangelism today e.g. through marriage and family life, chosen career, use of social media.

This candidate was awarded 4 marks for two developed ways.

(b) Explain **two** ways a Catholic can evangelise in the world today. (4) One way a catalic can evangelise in the word today is by donating to catholic chartes such as CATOO as 4 people are helped by catholics they may consider joining the religion due to them being helped or saved by them. Another way a catholic can evangelise in the world today is preaching as it's spreading God's message to people quickly and the more people who listen and are preached to the much more likely people are going understand God's message and want to we their Life that way so they will be able to go to Heaven and rest peacefully exernally.



Even though the candidate has gained the full marks for the question it may be at the expense of not finishing the longer answer questions on the paper.

Candidates should consider a smarter way to answer (b) questions by identifying a way a Catholic can evangelise then adding some extra information, an example or teaching. So for example:

Some Catholics might write religious blogs (1) so encouraging others to reflect on their faith (1)



Candidates need to be aware of the many different ways Catholics can evangelise in the world today.

Question 3 (c)

Candidates were assessed on bullet point 3.1 of the specification; sacramental nature of reality the meaning and effects of each of the seven sacraments.

The question asked candidates to explain two reasons why baptism, one of the sacraments, is important for Catholics.

Most candidates were able to give at least one reason and many two, but did not develop both reasons or link in an appropriate source of wisdom and authority.

A common error was to go off on a tangent explaining the story of Adam and Eve; as result they explained about original sin and not Baptism.

The candidate was awarded 5 marks.

(c) Explain **two** reasons why Baptism is important for Catholics.

In your answer you must refer to a source of wisdom and authority.

Firstly, Baptism is a sacrament of initiation
So it is used to welcome people and
Start their journey with God. This is important
because we are brought into the church and
the family of God. Also it allows us to live
acter death as anyone who lives and believes
in God will not die's so be are bold if
Le bevieue in God we vivi have life after death.
Secondry, Baptism wasnes us of original
sin committed by Adam and Eve Athis allows
us to be freed from sin and to ask
For forgivness from God.
* This is montant because

(5)



The candidate gives two reasons these are developed and a source of wisdom is deployed correctly.

Reason One – a sacrament of initiation (1)

Development One – brought into the Church (1)

SoWA – so 'anyone who lives and believes in God will not die'

The source is from John 11:26

Reason Two – washes away original sin (1)

Development Two – it allows you to be 'freed from sin' (1)



Candidates need to ensure the development given relates both to the reason and to the **question**.

Question 3 (d)

Candidates were assessed on bullet point 3.2 of the specification; liturgical worship within Catholic Christianity. Including divergent Christian attitudes towards liturgical worship, and its significance for Catholics and less structured worship in evangelical Christian denominations.

Candidates were asked to evaluate 'worship should always have a formal structure' by:

- considering arguments for and against
- referring to Catholic teachings and a different Christian points of view
- and reaching a justified conclusion based on the arguments/evidence offered.

The most successful responses compared the formal structure of the Mass with evangelical styles of worship being led by the Holy Spirit. Others referred to the use of formal /informal prayers or the use of popular piety; generally candidates found it harder to structure and develop their answer beyond the lower levels.

This is an example of a candidate who engages with question and achieved a level 3.

*(d) "Worship should always have a formal structure."

Evaluate this statement considering arguments for and against.

In your response you should:

- · refer to Catholic teachings
- · refer to different Christian points of view
- · reach a justified conclusion.

Catholics would argue for this statement. This is because certific master are always Chargical. They set structure. A carriere means that they have extremely important argue mi de capacity compor entires their they are always meeting one correct much holds for wording that is expected of them Purparane, it can be argued it allows Therefore a catholic away agree my brong nem cloter & God as me worship is what hew cake it to be willed Additionally Eatherick would also argue for the Statement dues to the falt meet because ane always he same for example the Nillone med I a bey part of most catholic litturgic mouses) The means their it gives a narming sense of form tamiliaranty to eathoric senuces. This is important as 90 to Church for Jan churchy to dearly mer Christian dways face formal smethere

(15)

this is because of could be organic that when wormsperty without structure; Chairmans & are about to ask for guda guidence of on specy c neds there neces his or new not outlesp her reject on he muyi that walt or, it will allow them 10 be come the Art Christians as they are deeding with invers directly much after mem. This can be done of a pectuely in private worship. By Cutty, it could be also argued that the tratement 1 For Il wrong of some thirteans would argue that it brings a closer and strong or bond to God. This & because during non-strusted worrhup the you aren't just reading -) from a freet Act but a but his vering about the words you are saying . some chartrines arighe that Way are also quided by the noty spirit in this type of prayer, to Try Thow, atter must thus Cun get doer to God. In conclusion, is clear mat cathrolic agree auin he statement as in authoritenauses, non the literaical wormp & eccentral Additionally & may believe it prings compart were wood hoping. There are non-anolid such as properanto believe a stony or bond is tell during non-wary'are worthip us they are guided directly by me filly spirit.



This candidate was awarded level 3 because evidence of:

- logical chains of reasoning
- gives arguments for and against
- makes some reasoned judgements
- considers different Christian points of view
- the conclusion is not fully justified.

Level 3 not level 2 as evidence of some appraisal of the evidence/reasons given for the different points of view. A better level 3 judgment would be supported by appraisal of evidence that contributes to justifying the conclusion. Therefore, best fit lower mark in the level.

The candidate's correct use of specialist terms shows their answer is underpinned by an accurate understanding of religion and belief e.g. liturgical, Holy Spirit, Nicene Creed.



Candidates can show their answer is underpinned by an understanding of religion and belief by making use of the specialist terms.

Question 4 (a)

Candidates were assessed on bullet point 4.5 of the specification; Catholic teachings that support the existence of life after death.

This question required candidates to outline three **Catholic** teachings that support life after death. While many did so a significant gave non-religious evidence that may support life after death e.g. mediums.

A number of candidates confused resurrection and reincarnation.

This is an example of a candidate who gave three correct teachings.

4	(a) Outline three Catholic teachings that support the existence of life after death.
E	stly one catholideaching that supports the enstance of life afterdeath was legit is ressurection. This proved
Ċ	of life afterdeath when tesus ressurection. This proved
4	· A. D be cause Jesus diedand then came backalive.
<u>S</u> E	condly, another teaching is the in urtality of the
ي	oul. The means that your sour leaves the body and lives
01	intheafterije.
I	irdly, another teaching is that when you die
y	ougoto heaven, hell or purgatory to live.



Even though the candidate gained full marks the extra information was not needed.

An example of outlining Catholic teachings are:

The Church teaches that Jesus rose from the death.

It is taught that the soul is immortal.

The Catholic Church teaches that purgatory exists.



Read the question carefully; do not ignore the key words such as **Catholic** in questions.

Question 4 (b)

Candidates were assessed on bullet point 4.8 of the specification; Catholic responses to issue in the natural world – differing Catholic responses to animal rights.

The questions asked candidates to explain two Catholic responses to animal rights. The more successful answers focused on how a Catholic would respond to an issue linked to animal rights e.g. animal experiments use of animals for food rather than if people were for or against animal rights.

This is a good example of a candidate who gained full marks who wrote two issues linked to animal rights.

(b) Explain **two** Catholic responses to animal rights. Catholics would say that we are allowed to kill animals for food. This is God gave us dominion over the earth and so we are more dominant Also, Catholics woul can experiment on animais. This is human life is more important as humans the image of God.



The candidate identifies two responses to the issue of animal rights and develops the response by referring to Catholic teachings.



Candidates should always link the Catholic teachings they use in an answer to the question.

Question 4 (c)

Candidates were assessed on bullet point 4.3 of the specification; Catholic responses to scientific explanations about the origin and value of human life, such as evolution.

The question asked candidates to explain two Catholic responses to evolution.

A significant number of candidates wrote about the Big Bang theory and not evolution. Some candidates made good use of recent Catholic teachings about origins of life e.g. Pope Francis' statement at the Pontifical Academy about evolution, this is given in the specification. But in the main there was an over reliance on the biblical account of Creation and the view that religion and science are opposed to each other.

This candidate was awarded 5 marks.

(c) Explain two Catholic responses to the theory of evolution.

In your answer you must refer to a source of wisdom and authority.

Firstly.	Catholics would respond to the theory of evolution
by son	ing that God created humans as in the bible it
comp	man and woman, he created them. They would
	ears and animals are different so carnot have
evolved	from eachother as arinals went image des.
	,

Secondle	, Catholics man also respond by occepting
Secontle	rolution but would argue that go God caused
Secondle endo E	os the Causation arguement and supports
Secondle endo é il This scientific	catholics may also respond by occuping robution but would or one that go God caused is the causation or governent and supports. Theories but outlines that God caused them to



Two developed reasons and source of wisdom and authority are given.

Reason One – God created humans (1)

SoWA – "man and woman he created them" (Genesis 1:27)

Development One– animals are not imago dei (1)

Reason Two – accept evolution but God caused it (1)

Development Two – causation argument supports scientific theories (1)



Centres are advised to study current Catholic Church teachings as given in the specification.

Question 4 (d)

Candidates were assessed on bullet point 4.7 of the specification: Implications of Catholic teachings about the sanctity of life for the issue of euthanasia: support for hospice care and application of ethical theories.

Candidates were asked to evaluate 'hospice care is the best way to show love for those who are terminally ill':

- considering arguments for and against
- referring to Catholic teachings and a relevant ethical argument
- and reaching a justified conclusion based on the arguments/evidence offered.

The more successful answers recognised that this was a question about euthanasia and used this to offer arguments against the statement. It was evident that some candidates did know what a hospice was, so limited the answer to the lowest level or failed to score on the question.

This is an example of a mid-range level two answer.

(d) "Hospice care is the best way to show love for those who are terminally ill."

Evaluate this statement considering arguments for and against.

In your response you should:

- refer to Catholic teachings
- · refer to relevant ethical arguments
- · reach a justified conclusion.

(12)

Sine cottolics would agree with the
Statement as a hospice has everything
that someone who is terminally in
about need. This means that they work
pe men looked ceter old then conig
COLOS TRECE ELLO I DAGE LO DIOCE DEST
Suited for their needs
On the other bond, some ethical arguments
would disagree with the statement and
say that the most loving thing to do
is euthinosia, this means that it
would end the suffering of the ill
person and they would no longer
have to experience there pain,
Some cotholics would agree with the
Statement as they believe this would
be the most wing thing to do as they
disagree with euthinosia This means,
that they cannot end thee persons

DOID ESTANDS CON MORE THEN COLLETOPE UNTIL GOD TOKES THE LIFE CLEDY WHICH 15 IMPORTANT OS ONIZ GOD CON GIVE and take life. FIRCULA C+TILES WOULD SOLY THAT THEY SHOULD be in a hospital, where they can get and Legication to 2tob and thind untille or at home so they can die peacefully and nationly this means that the person can die peaceevily when their time comes, and not in a hospiese with random people there. Acter weighing up the arguments, I believe that the agree argument is Stronger as 1+ allows god to take the life when he heldes that the time is up seconding the argument in disagreement is weaker, as even Thought It want be the west round this to do, It goes against sod and the 10 commondments of "thou shou not kill"



The candidate was awarded level 2 because:

- underpinned by limited understanding of religion and belief
- beginning to show logical chains
- gives arguments for and against
- makes some reasoned judgements

5 marks the middle of the mark range for level 2 awarded. A better level 2 would be more precise and less general about Catholic teachings and situation ethics.



Centres are reminded that A02 needs to be underpinned with a secure knowledge and understanding of religion and belief.

Paper Summary

Based on their performance in this paper, candidates should:

- answer the (a) items using three separate, full sentences
- in (c) items references to a source of wisdom and authority need to form part of the response and be relevant to the question
- take time to read each question carefully to ensure they understand what the question is asking e.g. reasons/ways
- in (d) items the bullet points after the question indicate which viewpoints will be credited in the response
- in (d) items candidates must demonstrate AO2 to achieve the higher levels. The instructions ask them to evaluate by 'considering' or appraising the evidence or arguments to reach a justified conclusion.

Grade Boundaries

Grade boundaries for this, and all other papers, can be found on the website on this link:

http://www.edexcel.com/iwantto/Pages/grade-boundaries.aspx