



Examiners' Report June 2013

GCSE Greek 5GK04 01



Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications come from Pearson, the UK's largest awarding body. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information visit our qualifications websites at <u>www.edexcel.com</u> or <u>www.btec.co.uk</u>.

Alternatively, you can get in touch with us using the details on our contact us page at <u>www.edexcel.com/contactus</u>.



Giving you insight to inform next steps

ResultsPlus is Pearson's free online service giving instant and detailed analysis of your students' exam results.

- See students' scores for every exam question.
- Understand how your students' performance compares with class and national averages.
- Identify potential topics, skills and types of question where students may need to develop their learning further.

For more information on ResultsPlus, or to log in, visit <u>www.edexcel.com/resultsplus</u>. Your exams officer will be able to set up your ResultsPlus account in minutes via Edexcel Online.

Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Pearson aspires to be the world's leading learning company. Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for our commitment to high standards and raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: <u>www.pearson.com/uk</u>.

June 2013

Publications Code UG036464

All the material in this publication is copyright

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ Pearson Education Ltd 2013

Introduction

Writing in Greek, paper 5GK04, requires students to write two pieces in response to a choice of questions that relate to the prescribed themes of this specification: media, travel and culture or sport, leisure and work. The writing unit is externally assessed through an examination paper consisting of two tasks from a choice of eight. The tasks and related assessment criteria have been designed to accommodate a wide range of student profiles and reward work from grades G to A*.

The paper rewards students for effective communication and knowledge and application of language (as well as accuracy in the second longer task). Tasks are set in English to ensure that assessment is not over-reliant on reading ability. Although elements of the second task are accessible to students seeking grades G to C, the task provides opportunities for stretch and challenge through a more extended writing requirement. The total mark for this paper is 50, 20 for section A and 30 for section B. It is important to note that the rubric requires that students write no more than 70 words in their response to one question from Section A. Students who did not address all the bullet points in their question of choice within the word limit lost valuable marks from the Communication and Content category, despite their often impressive language skills.

Question 1 (a)

Q1(a) was the most popular question. Many students wrote fully relevant accounts that contained a variety of appropriate vocabulary and structures, equally distributed and employed to target both bullet points.

Some attempt at over-ambitious narratives was also evident, with students writing lengthy descriptions of a family holiday, which went well above the prescribed word limit. There was also a pattern of excessive description of a place at the expense of describing actions and events that took place in the past, or plans about a trip in the future and the reason why they are in place. This pattern was particularly noticeable among able students who got rather carried away by their skills of description and neglected to notice the second bullet point or the word limit

This is an unsatisfactory response that only earned 7 out of the 20 marks available.

ερετισμός ZTELIOUC! γράμμα Που OTELLO MIA KUPSTEVLA MOU KAL EMÉVA METAMÉ oth KOVSA Εενοδοχείο nectar MERAM & EUVOOUMEVOS NV0 PECTOUPAVLS MOU EBERG CAEPUOS AKPÓNOLA. Eteve Mapa noti tav BELO VA MAU MALI EVA XPOVO KENTOMAL Παρα MOLL OPEO. other Kahowkepe Vate On VODA OMOPUL KyE. akia Ztehiwa!

Results lus Examiner Comments

The student's limited language skills hamper communication significantly and only a few words in isolation manage to convey a little relevance. The account is not comprehensible in parts and there are many mistakes in spelling and the forming of tenses. The position of the stress is not indicated and one of the main conventions of spelling regarding the first person singular of verbs ending in $-\omega$ is consistently ignored. There is very little evidence of knowledge of case and agreement.

Results lus Examiner Tip

It is important to indicate the position of the stress and avoid the use of English characters, e.g. "t" and "y".Learn the conventions of how to address someone in a letter (e.g. "Αγαπητή Μαρία") and conclude with the appropriate greeting (e.g. "Με αγάπη"). A very good response that earned 19 out of the available 20 marks.

REpinov èta piñra nou nygare Siakonés Faddia Eth Faddia Entoueuriciane 13 10 τον ηήρχο του ave Billang ALGER. 10-72 Sh. cl. 1 ... ta 🕅 27 NEIOVEI: NOU neptorne. nnpaule lapuo EIXE RODDA wordi XIA ZI NAMAZO 40 ny revoveus 500 αεροξρόμειο or con un ciora Mig Mépa va XIA 40 de poplacion Siamonés Da Marda va Eh, JU EVZa JIME 1 SEV EXW nacl EPIMA. ausidal nue Exel nota W/Warm EXW 70 as 100 Emaza

Results Plus Examiner Comments

The main strength of this response is its purposefulness. The student makes excellent use of language skills to respond to the question without irrelevance, using a good range of verbs, not just high frequency ones, and apt time references. Some minor errors in spelling and omissions do not detract from the pertinence of the content and the general accuracy and fluency of the piece.



When practising forming tenses, include some verbs that are not high frequency (e.g ανεβαίνω, επισκέπτομαι) in order to add some variety and range to your vocabulary.

Question 1 (b)

Q1(b) was a popular choice among students. There was evidence of close knowledge of the topic and the majority of the responses provided a good description and justification for why they opted for that particular celebrity. There was a pattern of imbalance of content, when student devoted most of the space available to describe their celebrity and why they like them, failing to get to the reference to a past event. The detail about something that the celebrity did recently was essential, not only in order to satisfy the requirement for a fully relevant response, but also in order to demonstrate competent use of various tenses.

This is an example of an excellent response that earned full marks.

apartiméros no Sidonnos avertos eiras o TSELAS XÉEGLÀVE ATO TO HÉRAL OUXXPÓCNMA : MERALLA. (apistos du Connos ETTELS à aparael Env novoich endiagéporca Tolizika, Toliziozika Kal alla Theorgana marcos kai avoi Bav éva Tapko un Déna Env novorish ha to ovy kpothyata Tor NTAPYONY DWPEAN TSELAS XEEQUAVE SELAVEL TOTO OFFICESE ENV HOUTERA HAL àla = ELON ENS.



The student wrote a fully relevant response and demonstrated clear ability to narrate and express opinion. The piece is coherent and pleasant to read and contains a variety of correctly used structures and vocabulary.



This is a good example of transliteration, in order to avoid using language which is not Greek.

This is a response that earned 11 out of 20 marks. The account contains evidence of basic knowledge of the language and some relevant information.

260 613 EIVAI JIGOUNOS JΣ NOC OXOTTOL TAI ON993 0 CONS 2 INAVA PWITTIKE Kupiakos KWVOTANTÍNOV



The student makes a valiant attempt to respond to the stimulus but is hampered by limited knowledge of vocabulary and structures. The answer is within the word limit and includes some evidence of opinion and description, albeit with lapses.



Avoid using non target language. Pay attention to the conventions of adjective and noun agreement in order to gain more marks in the Knowledge and Application of Language category.

Question 1 (c)

This question was handled in a satisfactory way by some students but many erred on the side of irrelevance, because of hasty reading of the rubric.

The most frequently encountered pattern of omissions and irrelevance had to do with accounts of free time in general, rather than on the occasion of a specific Friday which is coming up. Moreover, some students wrote accounts of a "previous" Friday off and offered a description of something they did, rather than an account of what they **plan** to do. Even though these accounts contained some relevant detail, they failed to address the manipulation of the specific structures targeted in the question. There was also a tendency to omit the reason why they have a Friday off school.

This was a response that scored 18 out of twenty marks, but could have earned full marks had it taken into account the stipulations of the rubric.

Axaminice you dide Kuveravive

Shipepa Ser éxu exoneio grazi zirarzar pepikés arithagés ezo keipro Kar étel éval gijo erukúvouvo va prieképaece XWOO 600 TOU lo exorteio èxer patéller apkera Excheia. KonLara παιετούν μεχαλάτερα αποκωρητήρια και Loveloiths nozu kozo nou xivartas autes os Morei w Givar norres topes eixabe ROBANLATA 6TA anoxuprthola na to UKRO. ERIGAS SEV EXALLE au YTON TOAU da Raudia latercebaute adas der utmore eukaloia Va acketas Eneron GULLOA Eival HOCACKED llacaskevi. 60. TEAWDEW OAD Lathlara, na va exw ONO TO ZABBOTOKUDIAKO in Ta. ETEROGOO Meta aixo ve to ekido naitu Vau Kal BONTON . Orar XUDIGW. GRITI naoarajasonew axanhevo GON nou Theopach. MXO KUNDIN Oa La. . TOCX Biblio has kas beta ba naw EIKOGL BEAIDES arò 70 SUBBOOLD yes Drive Ca nas projeto: Avunopovil va Ba Kovers Gillepa; arayow to npoxpoppa the niepas Gou! Me aràinn n bian GOU Abavacia



The student has obviously excellent language skills. However, even though the answer is a couple of lines beyond the word limit, omissions do occur. As a result, the student cannot score the full marks that would have been an accurate assessment of the student's fluency.



Students with near native speaker fluency often fail to score full marks because of the content they employ, at the expense of the content which is required. Make sure you read the question carefully. The response scored 10 marks. Most of the task was completed but the answer lacked in coherence, clarity and accuracy.

Αχαπημενη μου Μαρία, Πώς είσαι; Ελπίζω να είσαι καλά εσυ και n olkoyeveia 500. Δεν έχω σχολείο την παρασκευή χιατί Eivar Kation Kation νος, κλεισμένη. Κάτα την γνώμη μου, νωμίζω είναι καταπληκτικών που δεν εχω σχολείο, γιατί να πηγαίνω με τους φίζους μου - Μετά ψωνια YIA πηχαίνομε στο κινηματογράφος Θa και να πηχαίνουμε να φάμε. Αγγά δεν πορό να μείνω αρχά γιατί έχω δουλειά στο πρωί, και θέλω να πηχαίνω οπίτι για να κοιμηθω. Με αγάπη Αντωνία



The student took care to write an orderly presentation with clear indication of the position of the stress and a good opening and closing frame. Even though the account is comprehensible overall and goes beyond a minimal response, the ambiguity of the sequence of the tenses works against the organised development of description and detail and the first bullet point is not addressed convincingly.



There is some attempt at tenses but the subjunctives are wrong and there is a tendency to overuse the verb "to go". Some further practice in the future tense, which is required for this level, would have helped the student score more points.

Question 1 (d)

Very few candidates chose Q1(d). Those who did, had, in general, good language skills and scored high marks. There was often evidence of opinion about online shopping, accompanied by relevant examples.

Question 2 (a)

Q2(a) invited students to write a review of a show, concert or play. Not many students chose this question and those who did peppered their account with non target language, whether it was in reference to a place or to the names of people belonging in a band.

On occasion, the second bullet point was ignored or referred to in a cursory manner, as students wrote in detail about the event they attended, the atmosphere and the friends who went with them. It is worth remembering that a response is deemed competent when alongside complex structures, the student uses different tenses and breadth of vocabulary.

Question 2 (b)

This is a response that scored a total of 19 out of 30 marks and illustrates how a student with some language skills fails to organise the information into a coherent whole and include all necessary detail.

EDXEGE EV µnoj nva. NE TION (\mathcal{L}) XU ΤΟ ώ GTO «ΜΠλενερω» ΠΟυ

EIVOU EVA MEPUS MOU EXEL MODA MAXAZIA Παντα πηγαίνω εκει σταν χρεια 30μαι ρουχα. Αλα εκει σεν εχει μόνο μαζάτια EXEL KOU EGTIATOPIA KOU GÍVEMA. Mnopoupar va mape va douplai pra TATVIA MOU DIALEXELS EEU. Ξερωοτι πηχες αλλη φωρα στο Novõivo anna apa GENELG va Zavar Mag, FY μπορογμαι να παμε να δουμαι το μέχαλο Μπεν και να ανεβουμε στο HATL μάτοι του Λονδινου. Η στερά θα σε παω harn GE EVA TOUPIETKO MABAZI ZÍA VA MAPEIS 600BEVID. EVTAZI NIKO DA GE MILLEO ETILO aprotepa and apporte and xpelagebal at more and o. GIJAKIA MORA Mapia. * εχει πολα μαχαζια στο κεντρο. NEVTE DENTO OND EKEL EXEL KOL EVA GOUMEP-MAPKET KAL TPEVALLAL TAZL. MIO KONTA 6 TO ETTITI NOU MAPXELIDEVA MAPKO MOU MYSALVW HE TWOVE ADEPUTW MOU TON ETAUPO.



The student makes some basic errors in grammar but uses structures with a measure of success, albeit without ever indicating the position of the stress. Moreover, the content bears relevance to the question, but in a way which is manipulated to suit the student's purpose; purpose not often aligned with the order that the question demands and with omissions with respect to essential information. The student is at ease with subordination and longer sentences, but fails to address part of the second bullet point and provides excessive, occasionally irrelevant, details on aspects that have been already dealt with.



Even though the student has adequate language skill, frequent, basic errors in spelling take away valuable marks. It is important to match an existing oral fluency with the ability to write with accuracy. This response scored 27 out of the available 30 marks.

Frici sou FIOPRIN, EUXOUNE ORA NO TIME Kala; Xaipopai TOU TINDES TIV OTTOGROOM Va pre ETIIEREYTEIS. Na punu avneuxeis pia TITUTA TA EXES ETIMAGES ORA TIPIL ATTO KAIPO, DA TRAIDÁGEIS BAUMAGIA. EXIN MEVEN OTIV TIPOTENOUSA THS AXY AIMS, TO NOUSIVO. & TO Nov Sivos ExEl Trapa TIOZZa azio BEATA hai propresa. Ettions Exoupe Slayopa moussia TTOU MITOPEIS VA SEIS. Για τους νεαρούς έχουμε τεράστια και τορίστρα παιρία που μπορείς να διασίλε δάζης με τους φίλους σου ή an θελεις Wat we your KaTI VA TONINS EXOUNE TO LAQUTEDO ξστιατόρια Επιπρόσθετα ε τουμε έχουμε δαυμάσιο παραθάλλάσιο μέρος που μπορείς να ξεφήγεις and από τα βάρανα Tis Tio Ans. Το περασμίνο Ξαββυτο Κυρίακο τίηχα στο Κέντρο του Λουδίνου Kai aropara pepika evolutio ria = Epers hai TIV Olkorivia nov, oou gidafa kai Eou Eva. the herring a or de the page Miga or Eva TEPASTIO ENTIOPILO KENTPO TOU EIXAN UTI MITOPEIS VA QUUMATTELS, MUNTHS TEPATA TIOAU He wpara. Όταν θα ερθεις θα επισά επισκεφτουμε ενα απο τα TIO JUO OTA MOUTEIA TOU NOVSIVOU Kai META da TUPE OS EVA UTO TA ZOTIATOPIA # hai Da GOU STE SIZW TO Suppose yaynta To Ant Mon NovSivou.

944

The student wrote a well organised response, with excellent linking of all the constituent details into a whole, indication of paragraphs to signal the change in thematic content and relevant information, which was never excessive. Some minor errors, oddity and slips are in evidence, but the piece is clearly a good example of ability to narrate and expand, using a wide range of appropriate vocabulary and structures.

The student made good use of space and organised ideas in a coherent manner, pleasant to read, indicating clearly the move from one piece of required information to the other. This is a good example of someone who read the question and what it entails very carefully.

Question 2 (c)

This was the most popular question. Many wrote fluent and appropriate accounts describing their school and teachers and offering convincing arguments about suggestions for improvement. It was clear that the majority of students received good training and practice with regard to this question and were taught the necessary structures and vocabulary to tackle questions on this topic. There were some isolated cases of responses writing about the daily routine at school rather than describing the school itself and the various classes.

This was an unsatisfactory answer that earned only 13 marks out of 30. Although some points are conveyed, the response contains much ambiguity, displaying weak language skills.

Tus Eiorar; Tius Káur, M. épa oouj Kaus Tras zo Oxozeros ju otoust A W TTEPVan Kard oco oropéio pou Eivar Mejajo Kar Exer poi Eva Ττάρκο με στην μέσι HIKPÓ απο το σχορείο για υα στην διάρτημα. juazí Eívar Rosivazo. RI apéri TIOZÍ 4 Gaondza Του μαθιματίκα γιατί Μάφι μα Tropi ion 200 000 Ka Eivan JEDIOS Kai yas Kaui va JEZoúpe. Ttéponpie va Kábonpie $\mu_{\tilde{k}}$ éttous Stu Sédoupe Ká $\Theta \epsilon$ $\mu_{\tilde{k}} \Theta \mu_{\tilde{k}}$ ipaociu Kattozes ápav $\mu_{\tilde{k}} \Theta \mu_{\tilde{k}}$ (paociu Kazí Ozo $\mu_{\tilde{k}} \Theta \mu_{\tilde{k}}$, μ_{as} $\Phi \mu_{\tilde{k}}$ Πάμε στα ελετρονικά

TTEXUÍSKIAK Kai TTOPOULE BEDONHE onfie Va 110 12av Tous



The response is not easy to read and involves some guessing on the part of the examiner, in order to extricate intended meaning. The student clearly possesses some skills that would have earned points in oral performance, but in writing they show limitations in the application of structures and the rules of orthography. Some of the required points are not entirely comprehensible or complete and there are many basic errors in the spelling of high frequency, essential vocabulary, such as articles and the verb "to be".



Consistent practice in dictation would enable students with adequate oral skills earn more marks in the writing section of the exam.

Question 2 (d)

Not many students attempted this question and those who did were often challenged to find a realistic example of a competition.

Even though there were some interesting accounts of what the competition involved, there were examples of answers that neglected to explain what the competition was for.

This an example of a response that lacks coherence and fails to fulfil the requirements of the question. It scored only 6 out of 30 marks.

TAGOU METPUS EITER Kentha, O Manayinstys Ervan 57 2510 Anilan 70 you TO STRONGED HOW' TO Kalwaps oxitio ETTAL VA VENTERIA (200: Java Va Elver Kartha Topa Mpala Sian ELVAN HOVENELEP TOIXWHO YELTA 6200 Mauce WILLOGO appara uoa llope va Talplaju peroa, EQELa TEQ VE VEY GTOXUS MUP @PONIONOS പുന MELYA. Va UTaques. perca pokatooculia Monthe Kar The aper alle unper Qa. Manus OTA WHORN UL GYOPADO DOUXA Ken TLATOUTON Mayorus. prafier CZUS VO CPOPLE YELPW LEITA Va Unter Der A HETERAP LICE ELTTE Mapour pra VEIXIA TELVELA. Kan MROPERS Va OTO 5 The TA CULVES Jan The VILLETA Kan A CO Materpaspies Va 000 Maipseg OTTELY Gos



Little relevant information is conveyed as the limited range of structures and vocabulary consistently impede even basic communication. Even though a little relevant detail can be gleaned in relation to the second bullet point about "doing something with money", this is not integrated into the narrative in any meaningful way. A good response that earned 24 out of 30 marks.

nov Ioaak oov Kati Latarliktika VEa 20 NETTA EUDW. Gav Ta avapor odnort Erras DEV War LOUS THRE artogation GEVELA Va. nva Kalago Vakortas EXU OK & CT MIA Tar aro Joullia ovo. Œ ockoperca na Low Rovo dy Marti CO OXOLE EKANE acetor ÒÊΝ ropland, B [a

Results Plus Examiner Comments

The student answered the question with enough relevant detail, even though, in parts, the information and the way it was organised lacked clarity. This was clearly the case of a student with excellent oral skills who employed fluency without full attention to the linking and cohesion of the information. There were some isolated errors in grammar and the spelling was not faultless, but there is evidence of good variety and structures and ability to manipulate language with a fair measure of success.



This piece would have earned higher marks, if the student had organised the response in a better way, paying more attention to punctuation and the arrangement of important detail into paragraphs. This would have turned this, otherwise efficient, response, into a piece resembling a written, rather than an oral, account.

Paper Summary

This year, many students demonstrated very good writing skills and produced accurate and relevant responses, which addressed the required bullet points. There was evidence of ability to narrate and evaluate in response to the questions set and many students displayed high levels of accuracy. Many of the bullet points required by the tasks were completed within the word limit and there was evidence of reasonable attempt to link the various points into a whole. A good number of students wrote responses which were fully relevant, coherent, accurate and pleasant to read, especially in 1(a) and 1(b) in Section A and 2(b) and 2 (c) in Section B. On some occasions, hasty reading of the bullet points led to significant omissions and irrelevance, especially in the case of questions 1(c) and 2(a). Questions 1(a) and 1(c) were by far the preferred choices in Section A and questions 2(b) and 2(c) attracted the highest number of students in Section B. A very small number of students chose questions 1(d) and 2(d).

A small but significant number of students ignored the rules of the Greek alphabet and the conventions of the stress system. They either failed to indicate the position of the stress or used English characters ("t" and "u" in particular) instead of Greek ones. Consequently, they were penalised. Students are reminded that the position of the stress ought to be indicated, where appropriate. Moreover, in a response which has wordage set between 50-70 words, it is inappropriate to include English words, which take away from the pertinence and the cohesion of the response.

To improve their performance, students should:

- Read each question carefully before attempting to answer it, to ensure clear understanding of what is expected;
- Respond to the questions within the framework of the bullet points, in order to construct a good response;
- Ensure that they employ good variety of appropriate vocabulary and structures;
- Observe the rules of orthography and indicate the position of the stress, when appropriate;
- Avoid mixing English and Greek characters;
- Structure their response and link their various ideas and descriptions into a whole, rather than write sentences in isolation;
- Familiarise themselves with the various inflections of nouns and adjectives, depending on number and their function in the sentence ("οι φίλοι" versus "τους φίλους" etc), as inconsistent use of the nominative and accusative cases is still responsible for many inaccuracies;
- Avoid using generic pre-learned material, which may often be irrelevant to the content of a good response;
- Remember that writing more than the prescribed number of words is a rubric infringement. They must not go beyond the maximum word limit, as content produced beyond the prescribed word count will not contribute to the overall marks.

Grade Boundaries

Grade boundaries for this, and all other papers, can be found on the website on this link: http://www.edexcel.com/iwantto/Pages/grade-boundaries.aspx





Llywodraeth Cynulliad Cymru Welsh Assembly Government



Pearson Education Limited. Registered company number 872828 with its registered office at Edinburgh Gate, Harlow, Essex CM20 2JE