



General Certificate of Secondary Education

Mathematics (Modular) 4302 *Specification B*

Module 1 Foundation Tier 43001F

Report on the Examination *2008 examination - March series*

Further copies of this Report are available to download from the AQA Website: www.aqa.org.uk

Copyright © 2008 AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.

COPYRIGHT

AQA retains the copyright on all its publications. However, registered centres for AQA are permitted to copy material from this booklet for their own internal use, with the following important exception: AQA cannot give permission to centres to photocopy any material that is acknowledged to a third party even for internal use within the centre.

Set and published by the Assessment and Qualifications Alliance.

The Assessment and Qualifications Alliance (AQA) is a company limited by guarantee registered in England and Wales (company number 3644723) and a registered charity (registered charity number 1073334). Registered address: AQA, Devas Street, Manchester M15 6EX
Dr Michael Cresswell Director General.

General

All the questions were attempted by the majority of candidates though some proved to be challenging to even the strongest candidates. However, fully correct answers were regularly seen to many of the questions. There was no evidence of any time difficulties to complete the paper. Methods and working out were often shown but a lack of mathematical instruments was obvious in the answers of some candidates.

Topics that were well done included:

- interpreting a bar chart
- completing tallies and frequencies
- interpreting and completing a pictogram.

Topics which candidates found difficult included:

- questions involving explanations
- median from a frequency table
- range of combined data.

Question 1

Most parts were answered well but a common error in part (c) was to include the girls with shoe size 6.

Question 2

In part (a) the reasons given were mainly concerned with the lack of a title or an attempt to explain that the years' scale was incorrect. There were relatively few comments regarding the sales starting at 5000. There were a large number of comments about lines of best fit or simply describing how the data rose and fell. Part (b) was answered well by many candidates but some simply gave the addition of the five values as their answer. A small number included the years in their addition and a minority found a different average or the range.

Question 3

The straightforward multiplying factor helped candidates on this pie chart. Many correct values for the angles were seen but a large number of candidates were unable to draw them correctly - some because of inaccurate measuring and some clearly due to the lack of a protractor. The weaker candidates usually drew four random sectors and labelled them with the number of people.

Question 4

The scale enabled the majority of candidates to produce accurate plots for the scatter graph. Although a large number of correct lines of best fit were seen there were still many occurrences of candidates joining the plotted points instead. In part (b) most candidates recognised that the correlation was positive but describing its strength seemed to be unfamiliar to many. The type of question asked in part (e) again caused problems with the majority of candidates referring to their line of best fit not being long enough. There were few who clearly explained that a reading of 41 was too far out of the range of the data they had been given.

Question 5

This question was generally answered well with some errors in the counting for the tallies or incorrect representation of 7 on the pictogram.

Question 6

Part (a) was often answered well. Part (b) proved a challenge to many candidates who knew that the median was the middle number but who were unable to interpret the table correctly. Many listed the wrong numbers - either 1,1,2,2,4,9 or all the numbers in the table. A large number commented that the median could not be 5 as there were no 5s in the table. In part (c) the correct answer was rarely seen with candidates unable to combine the two sets of data. A number of candidates did correctly identify that 25 was the maximum value but then combined it with 2 (the minimum value from Seema's data).

Question 7

There were many correct answers to the labelling of the probability scale but a number of candidates marked two points for white and five points for yellow. The incorrect positioning of white at $\frac{3}{8}$ was also frequent. Some candidates did not read the question carefully for part (b) and gave the probability that the spinner **does** land on red. Candidates were often unable to give a clear explanation of why Jenny was wrong in part (c). Many commented that the spinner was more likely to land on yellow as there were more yellow sections.

Question 8

The value of 15 was frequently seen but only the more able candidates were able to appreciate the scaling factor required. A large number of candidates worked out versions of fx alongside the table.