

Examiners' Report Principal Examiner Feedback

Summer 2019

Pearson Edexcel GCSE In Japanese (1JA0) Paper 2H: Speaking in Japanese

Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications are awarded by Pearson, the UK's largest awarding body. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information visit our qualifications websites at www.btec.co.uk. Alternatively, you can get in touch with us using the details on our contact us page at www.edexcel.com/contactus.

Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Pearson aspires to be the world's leading learning company. Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for our commitment to high standards and raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: www.pearson.com/uk

Grade Boundaries

Grade boundaries for all papers can be found on the website at: https://qualifications.pearson.com/en/support/support-topics/results-certification/grade-boundaries.html

Summer 2019
Publications Code 1JA0_2H_1906_ER
All the material in this publication is copyright
© Pearson Education Ltd 2019

2019 is the first year of the new specification and it has become clear that teacher examiners should equip themselves with a full understanding of the administrative requirements of the Examination.

Teacher-Examiners and centres need to familiarise themselves with the new, and very different, requirements of the GCSE Japanese Speaking Exam. In particular centres and Teacher-Examiners will need to understand the **new tasks** and **timings** as set out in the new specification. It also needs noting that centres should carefully package memory sticks to avoid damage and should take the precaution of keeping a copy of their recording(s).

Task 1 This task is worth 10 marks.

In order to enable students to achieve their potential Teacher-Examiners should read their script exactly as it is written on the card. It is unfortunate, but necessary, that any changes the Teacher-Examiner makes to the script will mean that the student is penalised. The role play tasks do not need elongated responses and best practice is to keep answers to what is required in the bullet points. Unfortunately, where students gave overlong responses these sometimes contained material communication to be less clear. Students need to be encouraged to think around the instruction they have been given as there are usually several correct answers. Students need to think carefully about tenses and listen out for key word(s) on the unseen question. Many students struggled to form two questions and this is an area that must become a focus for teaching and learning as the requirements of the new specification filter down into the classroom.

Task 2 This task is worth 24 marks.

Once again, in order to enable students to achieve their potential Teacher-Examiners should read their **script exactly** as it is written on the card. It is unfortunate, but necessary, that any changes the Teacher-Examiner makes to the script will mean that the student is penalised. The most successful students were able to use a combination of visual clues, cultural knowledge and imagination to describe and narrate the scene in the photograph. Students need to be encouraged to develop responses, adapting language to narrate and inform in response to the stimulus question. Students achieving the highest marks employed a range of strategies including naming people in the photograph; giving opinions; justifying opinions making deductions; using three tenses and relating the photograph to a past event they had experienced with opinions and justification.

Task 3 This task is worth 36 marks.

This task was completed successfully by the overwhelming majority of students. The presentation (where students chose to give one) was generally well prepared and incorporated a wide variety of vocabulary and structures. The follow up questions were generally answered with enthusiasm, a wide range of opinions and a variety of tenses.

Some students struggled to answer questions starting with どのぐらい、どうして and いつから、いつまで. Furthermore 前に、後でor いつごろからwere questions/concepts that many students found challenging. There was some confusion about questions with time frames including, for example, 週末、先月、and 来週. Tenses and time frames are clear areas where many students need to attach more focus.

A significant minority of Teacher-Examiners either asked a few closed questions or failed to ask any follow up questions. Clearly this not only prevented those students from demonstrating their true ability but also from achieving the higher/highest marks.

A good example of an open question is あなたの学校は、どんな学校ですか as this would allow a student to demonstrate a wide range of vocabulary and grammar. This task was most successful where Teacher-Examiners tried to elicit the widest range of Japanese vocabulary and structure which allowed students to express, and justify, opinions.

Equally, Teacher-Examiners maximised their students' ability to achieve high marks where followed up with a suitable question giving students an opportunity to respond in past, present and future tenses or reworded questions (as a sympathetic native speaker would do).

Overall, students were confident at expressing positive opinions in the present tense. There were some inaccuracies with the past tense and negative opinions. Successful students were able to use $< \tau$ the τ form and t り t りwith confidence. However, Students seemed to find it hard to use the t form accurately. For example, with a question such as 1 トに行ったことがありますかfew students were able to respond withはい、行ったことがあります/いいえ、行ったことがありません。