## **Exemplar Coursework**

PROBLEM TYPE: Desktop Publishing using standard	Total Marks Awarded: 24/40
range of skills	

FEATURES of SOFTWARE USED: Text and image/graphic frames, Formatting issues, Import of pictures from scanner/Internet, Resizing of frames, Use of logos, Resize & positioning text/graphics on special size paper/card or other output.

GENERAL COMMENTS: A straightforward project with well structured but sometimes brief documentation. A well completed identify section but followed by a weaker analysis due to lack of depth with regard to the coursework criteria. The design section was precise and given the maximum allowable mark of 6. Further detail with regard to the features of DTP software to be used, and how they were used to solve the problem, could have helped achieve marks toward the maximum of 30.

to solve the problem, could have helped achieve marks toward the maximum of 30.					
PROCESS	RANGE	EVIDENCE	COMMENTS		
Identify	0 - 5	The problem has been given and	The candidate should have identified the		
		this has been expanded.	problem and chosen a real user.		
		A -1 - :	Condition could be considered at a few at a few at		
		A choice of software has been made and reasons for this have	Candidate could have made their choice		
		been stated.	after exploring possible solutions.		
		been stated.			
		Possible solutions have been			
		considered addressing both			
		manual and software alternatives.			
			Further manageable objectives could		
		Clear and quantifiable objectives	enable the candidate to extend the		
4 marks		stated.	project.		
Analyse	0 - 6	Hardware requirements are	Use of specific hardware equipment		
		indicated although these should	(why and how) should be described		
		include those required by the user.	further.		
		Software choice established.	The candidate could have explained how		
		Software choice established.	the other software was to be used.		
		Data inputs and sources	As the logo may have been new the user		
		identified.	would have supplied this data.		
			These are not necessarily related to the		
		Methods of validation/verification	problem.		
		have been stated but briefly.			
			Discussion regarding the input,		
		Some explanation of processing	processing and output of the data		
		of the solution provided but again	(graphics and text) should have been		
		this is brief. Data flowchart is	expanded.		
		good.	An idea of the amount of data to be		
		Outputs are discussed briefly.	backed up should have been stated.		
		outputs are discussed offerly.	bucked up should have been stated.		
5 marks		Security issued mentioned.			
Design	0-6	Initial ideas/designs accurately	Further discussion could have been		
		described. User comments made	included that clarified user comments.		
		on initial designs.			
		Good details of final designs			
		provided.			

		Outline of a test plan provided				
		which relate to original	Test plan could indicate data to be			
		objectives.	tested.			
5 marks						
Implement	0 – 8	Clear annotations of the hard copy	Some detail of the features of the			
		are included.	software used should have been stated to			
		Testing has been carried out and	show how objectives were implemented.			
		evidence is provided through a				
		testing summary and good	A final finished DTP document with			
		annotations.	sample details filled in should have been			
			provided as evidence of implementation.			
		Errors are highlighted and a				
		process of error correction is				
6 marks		pursued.				
Evaluate	0 - 5	The candidate has reviewed the	A letter from user could have been			
		objectives of the solution.	included to demonstrate a more formal			
			response and the candidate could have			
		Some user comments are	made further comments on the outcome.			
		included.				
			Some further critical comments by the			
		Further enhancements and	candidate could have also been included.			
		improvements have been				
		suggested.				
4 marks						
TOTAL 24						
Comments or	Comments on the Quality of Written Communication: Level 2					