

Type: Web Site Publishing – standard range of skills		Total Marks Awarded: 19/40	
FEATURES of SOFTWARE USED: Web page creation; Text boxes; Enter & edit text; Font type and size; Importing and inserting graphic; Hyperlinks; Use of various views; Use of themes and HTML modification thereof; Import of graphic; External data source.			
GENERAL COMMENTS: A straightforward idea and a simply presented project. This project lacks depth but addresses some basic aspects of the coursework criteria. The project is suitable for marking in the extension range of marks but barely gains marks in the standard range. The identify section lacks the reasons for using ICT, discussion of possible software and comparisons. The analysis is weak. The design section covers the basics but lacks any real testing plan. The implementation section is gives a lot of detail but tests and error correction are weak or non-existent. The evaluation section is adequate.			
PROCESS	RANGE	EVIDENCE	COMMENTS
Identify 4 marks	0 – 5	A simple description of a problem and users has been indicated. Alternative methods of solving this problem have been mentioned. Some quantitative objectives have been stated.	The problem identified is not clear. This could have been expanded. However, a solution is already decided. No mention of the reasons why ICT is suitable. Statements comparing various types of software that could be used could have been made.
Analyse 3 marks	0 – 9	A mention of the hardware to be used is given. Basic statements are made about the different types of software to be used. Some detail of the data and inputs is indicated. Basic detail about security and backups is provided.	Excellent hardware detail but still gains one mark. A discussion of what data will appear on each web page and the structure of each web page could have been indicated. An idea of data flow could have been given. E.g. source, collection method and processing. Validation or verification issues could have been discussed. The outputs are web pages and layout issues could have been mentioned.

Design	0 – 9	<p>Detailed designs included.</p> <p>Very brief user feedback provided.</p> <p>Final designs shown but lack effect of user comments.</p> <p>Testing mentioned.</p> <p>Back-up mentioned.</p>	<p>Initial designs should be created first. Final designs should show effect of user comments and what features of the software are to be used to enable the creation of the system. Final designs can be hand drawn - screenshots should be avoided.</p> <p>Test plan should show how the hyperlinks will be tested, how data/content will be validated and how software feature solve the problem/ objectives.</p>
4 marks			
Implement	0 – 12	<p>Excellent detail of step-by-step production of web site.</p> <p>External data sources demonstrated. Some printouts of the final system.</p> <p>Weak testing and no annotation.</p> <p>A mention of some enhancements.</p>	<p>Fully annotated printouts showing tests, whether successful or unsuccessful should have been included.</p> <p>A description of the errors encountered and how they were corrected should have been included.</p> <p>HTML is not designed so it does not count as an extended feature.</p> <p>Comments from the user during tests would have been useful.</p>
5 marks			
Evaluate	0 – 5	<p>Discussion on the overall outcome of the solution based on the original objectives.</p> <p>User comments provided and a further discussion of how the system could be enhanced.</p>	<p>Real comments from the users in the form of a questionnaire/ survey would have been helpful for discussing improvements, critical review and whether the objectives were met.</p>
3 marks			
TOTAL 19			
<p><u>Comments on the Quality of Written Communication:</u> Good use of grammar, sentence structure and clear expression indicates a level 3. Possible use of spelling and grammar checker makes it difficult to comment on the original spelling and grammar of the candidate.</p>			