

General Certificate of Secondary Education
History A (Schools History Project)
Development Study with Elizabethan England
Specimen Paper

A951/11

Morning/Afternoon

Time: 2 hours

Candidates answer on the question paper.
Additional materials: Answer booklet (8 pages)

INSTRUCTIONS TO CANDIDATES

- Write your name, Centre number and Candidate Number on the answer booklet.
- Read each question carefully and make sure you know what to do before you start each answer.
- Write your answers, in black ink only, in the answer booklet.
- There are two sections in this paper:

Section A (Development Study)

Choose **one** of these options:

Either (a) Medicine Through Time (Pages 2–4)

Or (b) Crime and Punishment Through Time (Pages 6–8)

Then answer **Question 1** and **one** other question from the option you have chosen

Section B (Depth Study)

Answer **Question 5** and **one** other question.

- Write the numbers of the questions you have answered in the box on the front of the answer booklet.

INFORMATION FOR CANDIDATES

- The number of marks for each question is given in brackets [] at the end of each question or part of question.
- The total number of marks for this paper is **75**.
- You will be awarded marks for quality of written communication in the following questions:
 - Development Study, Questions 2(c), 3(c) and 4(c);
 - Depth Study, Questions 6(c) and 7(c).

This document consists of **11** printed pages and **5** blank pages.

Section A: Development Study

In this section, answer questions from **EITHER**:

(a) Medicine Through Time **OR** (b) Crime and Punishment Through Time

(a) Medicine Through Time

Some of the questions require you to use sources. In these questions, you will need to use your knowledge of the topic to interpret and evaluate the sources. When you are asked to use specific sources you must do so, but you may also use any of the other sources within the question if they are relevant.

You are advised to spend about 1 hour on this section.

Answer Question 1 and ONE other question.

- 1 Study the sources carefully and then answer the questions which follow.

Source A

When the cautory iron is heated, bring it down upon the marked place with one downward stroke using gentle pressure. If you see that some bone is exposed, then take away the cautory iron; otherwise repeat with the same cautory iron till the bone is exposed. Then take a little salt in water, soak some cotton in it and apply to the place, then leave for three days.

An eleventh-century description of how to use cauterisation to treat a diseased wound.

Source B

An illustration of a man having his leg amputated around 1800.

Source C

Everything was soaked in carbolic, including hands, instruments and patients' skin. The whole area of the operation was covered in carbolic spray, which got into every part of the wound. Our faces and coat-sleeves often dripped with it.

It was a relief to us all when the spray was abandoned. It was costly and cumbersome and often broke down. Carbolic acid made sad work with our hands which were always rough and cracked.

An account of Lister's carbolic spray in use. The author was a doctor who worked with Lister in the 1870s.

1

(a) Study Source A.

Would Pare have approved of the method described in Source A? Use the source and your knowledge to explain your answer. **[5]**

(b) Study Source B.

Study Source B. What dangers faced patients during and after operations at the beginning of the nineteenth century? Use the source and your knowledge to explain your answer. **[5]**

(c) Study Sources A and C.

Do these sources prove that by the 1870s surgery had improved little since the time of Pare? Use the sources and your knowledge to explain your answer. **[5]**

Choose ONE of the following three questions.

You must answer ALL parts of the question you choose.

Remember to explain your answer as fully as possible and support it with specific detail.

- 2** Ancient societies like those of the Egyptians and the Greeks made more progress in medicine than people in the Middle Ages.
- (a)** Briefly describe the medical progress made by the Ancient Egyptians. **[5]**
 - (b)** Explain why bloodletting was widely used in the Middle Ages. **[7]**
 - (c)** Why did the Ancient Greeks make more progress in medicine than people in the Middle Ages? Explain your answer **[8]**
- 3** Both religion and chance have had an important impact on the development of medicine.
- (a)** Briefly describe the impact of religion on Ancient Greek medicine. **[5]**
 - (b)** Explain ways in which chance has had an impact on the development of medicine. **[7]**
 - (c)** 'Since Roman times religion has hindered, rather than helped, medical progress.' Explain how far you agree with this statement. **[8]**
- 4** Since the time of the Ancient Greeks there have been many ideas about the cause and the prevention of disease.
- (a)** Briefly describe how the Romans tried to prevent disease. **[5]**
 - (b)** Explain why there was so much opposition to smallpox vaccination throughout the nineteenth century. **[7]**
 - (c)** 'Between the time of the Ancient Greeks and the end of the nineteenth century there has been more continuity than change in ideas about the causes of disease.' Explain how far you agree with this statement. **[8]**

Section A total: [35]

BLANK PAGE

SPECIMEN

Section A: Development Study**(b) Crime and Punishment Through Time**

Some of the questions require you to use sources. In these questions, you will need to use your knowledge of the topic to interpret and evaluate the sources. When you are asked to use specific sources you must do so, but you may also use any of the other sources within the question if they are relevant.

You should spend about 1 hour on this section.

Answer Question 1 and ONE other question.

- 1 Study the sources carefully and then answer the questions which follow.


Source A

Cutting down growing trees.

Being out at night with a blackened face.

Stealing from a rabbit warren.

A list of some of the crimes that could be punished by the death penalty in the late eighteenth century.

Source B

An engraving, published in 1748, about the Hawkhurst Gang of smugglers. The engraving is called 'The Bloody and Inhuman Smugglers throwing down stones on the dying Body of Daniel Chater [an informer], whom they had flung into Lady Holt Well'.

Source C

The authorities had difficulty in convincing criminals in England that Australia was a terrible place to go. There was the idea that you might be better off there than in England. This idea may have come from prisoners sending letters home playing down their sufferings to soothe the anxieties of their wives and children. Also, those prisoners who were destroyed by the system did not write home; those who prospered sometimes did.

From a book about transportation published in 1987.

1**(a) Study Source A.**

Are you surprised that these crimes could be punished by the death penalty? Use the source and your knowledge to explain your answer.

[5]**(b) Study Source B.**

How far does this source give an accurate impression of eighteenth-century smugglers? Use the source and your knowledge to explain your answer.

[5]**(c) Study Source C.**

Does this source prove that transportation was a failure? Use the source and your knowledge to explain your answer.

[5]

Choose ONE of the following three questions.

You must answer ALL parts of the question you choose.

Remember to explain your answer as fully as possible and support it with specific detail.

- 2** Some attitudes about crime and punishment have changed over the years.
- (a)** Briefly describe ways in which the Romans punished criminals. **[5]**
 - (b)** Explain why the story of Robin Hood was popular in the Middle Ages. **[7]**
 - (c)** 'The treatment of criminals in the nineteenth century was different from their treatment in the Middle Ages.' Explain how far you agree with this statement. **[8]**
- 3** Preventing lawlessness and disorder has always been a major concern of the authorities.
- (a)** Briefly describe how criminals were caught in the Middle Ages. **[5]**
 - (b)** Explain why Sir Robert Peel was able to set up a police force in the late 1820s. **[7]**
 - (c)** Were the Middle Ages more lawless and violent than the nineteenth century? Explain your answer. **[8]**
- 4** There have been many different ways of breaking the law over the centuries.
- (a)** Briefly describe the Gunpowder Plot of 1605. **[5]**
 - (b)** Explain why the Rebecca Riots took place in the 1830s and 1840s. **[7]**
 - (c)** How far were crimes committed in the twentieth century different from those committed in earlier periods? Explain your answer. **[8]**

Section A total: [35]

BLANK PAGE

SPECIMEN

Section B: Depth Study

Elizabethan England

Some of the questions require you to use sources. In these questions, you will need to use your knowledge of the topic to interpret and evaluate the sources. When you are asked to use specific sources you must do so, but you may also use any of the other sources within the question if they are relevant.

You should spend about 1 hour on this section.

Answer Question 5 and ONE other question.

- 5 Study the sources carefully and then answer the questions which follow.

Source A

She had the ability of winning men's loyalties despite the most outrageous and most foolish deeds. Of her famous beauty her surviving portraits provide little evidence. She was passionate, intelligent, given to violent moods of joy and depression, and entirely without commonsense.

An historian's description of Mary, Queen of Scots, written in 1955.

Source B



A portrait of Mary, Queen of Scots, painted in 1578. The words in the top left-hand corner describe her as 36 years old, the Queen of Scotland, widow of the King of France, and a prisoner in England.

Source C

Queen Elizabeth expresses her joy to the Queen of Scots at her delivery from captivity, and orders the Queen of Scots' subjects in Scotland to obey their Queen. If she is content to accept Queen Elizabeth's advice in her effort to win back her throne in Scotland without seeking help from France, she shall receive all aid from Queen Elizabeth.

From a message to Mary, Queen of Scots, from Elizabeth, 1568, a few days after Mary fled from Scotland to England.

Source D

She has wickedly challenged the crown of England. She has tried to withdraw the Duke of Norfolk from his natural obedience to Queen Elizabeth. She has tried to cause new rebellion within this realm.

We, your true and obedient subjects, do most humbly ask your Majesty to punish all the treasons and wicked attempts of the said Mary.

Extracts from the charges against Mary that Parliament drew up in 1572.

5

(a) Study Sources A and B.

How far does the portrait support the historian's description of Mary in Source A? Use the sources and your knowledge to explain your answer. [6]

(b) Study Source C.

How far would people in England in 1568 have agreed with what Elizabeth says about Mary in this source? Use the source and your knowledge to explain your answer. [7]

(c) Study Source D.

'Source D proves that in 1572 the decision to have Mary executed was an easy one for Elizabeth to make.' Use the source and your knowledge to explain how far you agree. [7]

Choose ONE of the following two questions.

You must answer ALL parts of the question you choose.

Remember to explain your answer as fully as possible and support it with specific detail.

- 6** Amongst the most difficult problems facing Elizabeth were religion, poverty and vagrancy.
- (a)** What were the main ideas of the Puritans during Elizabeth's reign? **[5]**
- (b)** Explain why many people were poor during Elizabeth's reign. **[7]**
- (c)** Who posed the greater danger to Elizabeth, vagrants or Catholics? Explain your answer. **[8]**
- 7** Sea power was very important for Elizabethan England.
- (a)** Briefly describe the problems faced by English sailors on voyages of exploration. **[5]**
- (b)** Explain why the Spanish Armada failed. **[7]**
- (c)** Which was more important to Elizabethan England, the voyages of exploration or the defeat of the Spanish Armada? Explain your answer. **[8]**

Section B total: [40]

BLANK PAGE

SPECIMEN

BLANK PAGE

SPECIMEN

BLANK PAGE

SPECIMEN

Copyright Acknowledgements:

Medicine Through Time:

Source A: From *The Development of Medicine for OCR GCSE* by Colin Shephard, Hodder 2003, p 74

Source B: From *The Development of Medicine for OCR GCSE* by Colin Shephard, Hodder 2003, p 142

Source C: From *The Development of Medicine for OCR GCSE* by Colin Shephard, Hodder 2003, p 151

Crime and Punishment Through Time:

Source B: From *Crime and Punishment: A Study Across Time* by Roger Whiting, Stanley Thornes 1986, p77

Source C: From *Crime and Punishment: Investigations* by C. Shephard & R. Rees, Hodder Murray 2005, p 40

Elizabethan England:

Source A: From *England under the Tudors* by G. R. Elton, Methuen 1955, p 279

Source B: Unknown, Mary, Queen of Scots, Scottish National Portrait Gallery

Source C: From *Elizabethan England: Schools Council History 13-16 Project*, Holmes McDougall, 1977, p89

Source D: From *Elizabethan England: Schools Council History 13-16 Project*, Holmes McDougall, 1977, p 94

Permission to reproduce items where third-party owned material protected by copyright is included has been sought and cleared where possible. Every reasonable effort has been made by the publisher (OCR) to trace copyright holders, but if any items requiring clearance have unwittingly been included, the publisher will be pleased to make amends at the earliest opportunity.

OCR is part of the Cambridge Assessment Group. Cambridge Assessment is the brand name of University of Cambridge Local Examinations Syndicate (UCLES), which is itself a department of the University of Cambridge.

Unit A951/11: Study in Development with Elizabethan
England

Specimen Mark Scheme

The maximum mark for this paper is **75**.

SPECIMEN

INSTRUCTIONS TO EXAMINERS

GENERAL POINTS

- 1 This mark scheme has been designed to assess candidates' understanding of the key concepts in this course and their ability to use source material, as well as their contextual knowledge.
- 2 Candidates' contextual knowledge is important but it is usually only rewarded. It is used to support the demonstration of conceptual understanding or the interpretation and evaluation of source material.
- 3 This mark scheme is constructed to reward attainment in relation to the Assessment Objectives.
- 4 The mark scheme identifies the levels of skill or understanding that candidates are expected to reach. If a candidate reaches a particular level, s/he must be rewarded from the mark band for that level. A response which corresponds with a level description but which is a weak example of that level must not be placed in a lower level.
- 5 When you first read a response your first task is to match it to the appropriate level in the mark scheme. Only when you have done this should you start to think about the mark to be awarded.

If you are undecided between two levels always place the answer in the higher of these levels.

- 6 There are different ways of reaching a high level. Good candidates will often go straight to a high level. Other candidates will gradually climb their way there by working their way through lower levels first. However, to be awarded a high level candidates do not have to have reached all of the lower levels.
- 7 Exhaustive examples of factual support are not given. There will usually be a wide choice of factual support which a candidate may choose to deploy. Examiners should use their knowledge and discretion as to whether this is valid. Examiners who are in doubt should contact their Team Leader immediately.
- 8 Examples of responses given in the mark scheme are only examples. There will be many alternative ways of reaching each level. Do not try to match the words of a candidate's answer to those of the examples. Rather, match the level of understanding/skill in the answer with that indicated in the level description.

If you come across an answer that does not appear to match any of the level descriptions try and make a 'best match' with one of the level descriptions or identify a level description that indicates an equivalent level of skill/understanding. If you are not sure, contact your Team Leader.

- 9 It is important to remember that we are rewarding candidates' attempts at grappling with challenging concepts and skills. Do not be punitive if candidates show a lack of understanding. Reward candidates for what they understand, know and can do. Be positive. Concentrate on what they can do, not on what they cannot do. Never deduct marks for mistakes.

SPECIFIC POINTS

- 1 Always mark in red.
- 2 Half marks are never used.
- 3 Do not transfer marks from one part of a question to another. All questions, and sub-questions, are marked separately.
- 4 Where a band of marks is allocated to a level specific instructions are sometimes provided about using these marks. When there are no such instructions you should:
 - in a 2 mark band - award the higher mark unless the answer is so weak that you had doubts whether it should be in that level at all;
 - in a 3 mark band - award the middle mark unless the answer is particularly strong or weak.

NB. See comments below about the assessment of written communication.

- 5 Please note on the script (in the right hand margin at the end of the answer) the level and the mark awarded for each part of the question (e.g 3/4 indicated Level 3, 4 marks). It will help your Team Leader if you indicate which part of the answer led to that level and mark being awarded. At the end of a complete question write down the total mark for that question and ring it. On the front of each script write the marks the candidate has scored for the four questions, and then the grand total (e.g. 10=10=12=9 = 41).
- 6 At first, your marking will proceed slowly because it takes time to learn the mark scheme. One way to hasten this process is to first mark question by question, or even sub-question by sub question. Marking about twenty Q1(a)s together is an excellent way of getting to learn the mark scheme for that question. Eventually you will be able to mark the entire Section A in one go.
- 7 Remember that we are trying to achieve two things in the marking of the scripts:
 - (i) to place all the candidates in the correct rank order. This means that it is essential you mark to the agreed standard. Once you have mastered the mark scheme;
 - (ii) to use the full range of marks. When they are merited do not worry about awarding top marks in levels, in sub-questions or even complete questions. You should also, where appropriate, not hesitate to award bottom marks or even no marks at all. Avoidance of awarding high marks in particular will lead to a bunching of the marks or to an unnatural depression of marks. This will lead to your marks having to be adjusted. It might even lead to your scripts having to be remarked.
- 8 Remember - YOUR TEAM LEADER IS AT THE OTHER END OF THE PHONE (OR INTERNET). IF THERE IS A QUESTION OR ANSWER YOU ARE NOT SURE ABOUT, CONTACT THEM.

ASSESSMENT OF WRITTEN COMMUNICATION

Written communication covers: clarity of expression, structure of arguments, presentation of ideas, grammar, vocabulary, punctuation and spelling.

The quality of candidates' written communication will be assessed in part (c) of the structured essay questions (ie. once in the Development Study and once in the Depth Study).

In the marking of these questions the quality of the candidate's written communication will be one factor (other factors include the relevance and amount of supporting detail) that influences whether an answer is placed at the bottom, the middle, or the top, of a level.

The following points should be remembered:

- answers are placed in the appropriate level using the normal criteria, i.e. no reference is made at this stage to the quality of the written communication
- the quality of written communication must never be used to move an answer from the mark band of one level to another
- candidates already placed at the top of a level cannot receive any credit for the quality of their written communication; candidates already placed at the bottom of the level cannot receive any penalty for the quality of their written communication
- assessing the quality of written communication should be approached in a positive manner. It should be remembered that candidates whose written communication skills are poor have probably already been penalised in the sense that they will have been unable to show in writing their true understanding.

Section A Medicine Through Time		
Question Number		Mark
1(a)	<p>Study Source A. Would Pare have approved of the method described in Source A? Use the source and your knowledge to explain your answer. [5]</p> <p>Target: AO1, 2 & 3</p> <p>Level 0</p> <p>No evidence submitted or response does not address the question.</p> <p>Level 1 Yes or No, based on details in the source - no contextual knowledge but some common sense reasoning</p> <p><i>E.g. 'I think he would have approved because it is a very careful method. It is telling the doctor exactly what to do.' 'No I don't think he would have approved. What good will it do burning the skin like this. It is a daft thing to do.'</i></p> <p>or</p> <p>Unsupported assertions that Pare lived much later so methods would have improved or this is the kind of thing that Pare learned to do(1)</p> <p>or</p> <p>Describes work of Pare / fails to answer the question(1)</p>	[0]
	<p>Level 2 Yes and no based on details in the source -no contextual knowledge.</p> <p>Level 3 Uses contextual knowledge either to explain the purpose of cauterisation or to find similarities with Pare's soothing ointment</p> <p><i>E.g. 'Yes he would have approved of this because what was happening was that the infected parts were being burned away to stop the infection spreading further.' 'Yes he would have approved because the cotton and the salt sound very gentle and this is similar to the eggs and oil of roses that Pare used.'</i></p> <p>or</p> <p>Uses the fact that Pare lived at the time of the Medical Renaissance as a reason why he would not have approved/would have approved before he found a better method</p> <p><i>E.g. 'No he would not have approved because Pare lived during the Renaissance when lots of new methods were being used.'</i></p> <p>or</p> <p>Uses contextual knowledge to explain that Pare continued to use old methods so he would have approved</p> <p>or</p> <p>Identifies contextual reason why Pare would not have approved e.g. ligatures</p>	[1-2] [3]
	<p>Level 4 Explains he would not have approved either because of his use of ligatures or his use of soothing ointments</p> <p><i>E.g. 'Pare would not have approved because he knew that this method caused a lot of pain and fever and did not clear up the infection. Instead of cauterising he started to use an ointment made from eggs and oil of roses. This worked much better and more patients survived.'</i></p>	[4]
		[5]

Section A Medicine Through Time		
Question Number		Mark
1(b)	Study Source B. What dangers faced patients during and after operations at the beginning of the nineteenth century? Use the source and your knowledge to explain your answer. [5]	
	Target: AO1, 2 & 3	
	Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question.	[0]
	Level 1 Identifies danger(s) These include: pain, infection, loss of blood. E.g. <i>'Patients faced the danger of pain and the fact that they might die from losing a lot of blood.'</i>	[1-2]
	Level 2 One danger explained using the source or contextual knowledge E.g. <i>'One danger is pain. It looks like the operation is really painful. This is because they had no anaesthetics and so the patient had to put up with terrible pain. Some people died of the pain.'</i>	[3]
	Level 3 One danger explained using source and contextual knowledge	[4]
	Level 4 Two dangers explained using source and contextual knowledge (does not have to use both for both dangers)	[5]
1(c)	Study Sources A and C. Do these source prove that by the 1870s surgery had improved little since the time of Pare? Use the sources and your knowledge to explain your answer. [5]	
	Target: AO1, 2 & 3	
	Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question.	[0]
	Level 1 Answers using the sources to argue that there was little progress E.g. <i>'Yes, this shows that the carbolic acid that Lister developed was no good. It did not work. This shows there was little progress made.'</i>	[1]
	Level 2 Answers that identify examples of progress during the period or explain the problems that remained in the 1870s. Answers could include: progress - laughing gas, ether, chloroform as anaesthetics; problems - infection, blood loss.	[2]
	Level 3 Answers that use knowledge to compare the details in the two sources to argue there was progress E.g. <i>'I think there was lots of progress. In Source A there is a lot of pain and a great danger of infection. But Lister in Source C was trying to find a way of stopping infection. His carbolic spray did work and did cut down the death rate during operations so there was progress.'</i>	
	OR Answers that use contextual knowledge to explain advances that had been made These answers might explain the importance of, for example, the development of anaesthetics.	[3]
	Level 4 Both types of Level 3	[4]

Section A Medicine Through Time		
Question Number		Mark
1(c) cont'd	<p>Level 5 As for Level 4 but answer is qualified from contextual knowledge</p> <p>Qualifications could include: remaining problems with anaesthetics, the problem of loss of blood, carbolic replaced by boiling instruments, Lister operated in his ordinary clothes, Lister did not develop new operations.</p>	[5]
2(a)	<p>Briefly describe the medical progress made by the Ancient Egyptians. [5]</p> <p>Target: AO 1</p> <p>Level 0</p> <p>No evidence submitted or response does not address the question.</p> <p>1 mark for each valid example identified, 2-3 marks for any examples that are described or explained.</p> <p>Award a maximum of 1 mark to general answers lacking in specific contextual knowledge.</p> <p>Examples might include: knowledge of anatomy and physiology, close examination of patients, diagnosis, blockage theory, they had doctors, recorded treatments/diseases, keeping clean.</p> <p>E.g. <i>'The Egyptians made progress because they developed a natural theory about illness. They thought that you became ill because the channels in the body were blocked. They got this idea from their irrigation channels getting blocked.'</i></p>	[0]
2(b)	<p>Explain why bloodletting was widely used in the Middle Ages. [7]</p> <p>Target: AO1 & 2</p> <p>Level 0</p> <p>No evidence submitted or response does not address the question.</p> <p>Level 1 General assertions</p> <p>Valid, but general answers. No specific contextual knowledge.</p> <p>E.g. <i>'They used bloodletting a lot because it was a really good idea. It seemed to work and so people liked to use it a lot.'</i></p> <p>OR</p> <p>Level 1 Describes bloodletting</p> <p>Level 2 Identifies specific reasons</p> <p>Specific contextual knowledge demonstrated but no explanation. Examples include: the Greeks/Hippocrates/Galen used it, the Theory of the Four Humours, revival of Galen, Church support for Galen, fitted in with astrology, doctors were trained this way, could prevent illness</p> <p>Level 3 Explains one specific reason</p> <p>E.g. <i>'Bloodletting was popular because of the Theory of the Four Humours. This was accepted by everybody as the way to explain why people became ill. This was because the humours got out of balance. One way of getting the humours back into balance was to bleed people so that the excess blood was lost and the person became better.'</i></p> <p>Award 6 marks for one reason explained and another identified.</p> <p>Level 4 Explains more than one specific reason</p>	[5] [0] [1] [1] [2-3] [4-6] [7]

Section A Medicine Through Time		
Question Number		Mark
2(c)	<p>Why did the Ancient Greeks make more progress in medicine than people in the Middle Ages? Explain your answer. [8]</p> <p>Target: AO1 & 2</p> <p>* Written communication assessed in this question</p> <p>Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question.</p> <p>Level 1 General assertions Valid, but general answers. No specific contextual knowledge. E.g. <i>'They made more progress because they were cleverer and they had more opportunities to experiment. They did not have the disadvantages that the people in the Middle Ages had.'</i></p> <p>Level 2 Identifies or describes specific progress of the Greeks and/or lack of progress of the Middle Ages - reasons for this are not explained Specific contextual knowledge demonstrated but no reasons explained. Examples of progress of Greeks might include – natural explanations of illness, the Four Humours, clinical observation; lack of progress of Middle Ages - supernatural ideas and treatments, astrology, examples of continuity e.g. bleeding, poor public health.</p> <p>Level 3 Identifies reasons for Greek progress or for lack of medieval progress Reasons might include: Greek philosophy, interest in the natural world, religious reasons, recorded examinations and treatments; fall of Roman Empire, ideas/books lost, role of Christian Church, dependence on Greeks/Galen.</p> <p>Level 4 Identifies reasons for Greek progress and for lack of medieval progress</p> <p>Level 5 Explains reasons for progress of the Greeks or for lack of medieval progress E.g. <i>'The Greeks made much more progress than the people in the Middle Ages because the Christian Church held things back in the Middle Ages. It taught that illness could be caused by devils or by God as a punishment. God had to be prayed to to make people better because he was the only one powerful enough to do this. People themselves could not cure illness. This led to people thinking they had to rely on God to cure them and so they lost interest in researching the causes of disease and investigating the structure of the body. This held back progress.'</i></p> <p>Level 6 Explains the reasons for progress of the Greeks and for lack of medieval progress</p>	<p>[0]</p> <p>[1]</p> <p>[2]</p> <p>[3]</p> <p>[4]</p> <p>[5-6]</p> <p>[7-8]</p>

Section A Medicine Through Time		
Question Number		Mark
3(a)	<p>Briefly describe the impact of religion on Ancient Greek medicine.[5] Target: AO1 Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question. 1 mark for each valid example identified, 2-3 marks for any features that are described or explained. Award a maximum of 1 mark to general answers lacking in specific contextual knowledge. Examples might include: belief in Ascepios and his daughters, aspects of temple medicine, praying to the God, patients visited by Asclepios and the snake, faith healing. Asclepion, human dissection banned. E.g. 'Religion had a great impact because the Greeks believed in the god Asclepios and thought that he visited people at his temple when they were asleep and cured them.'</p>	<p>[0]</p> <p>[5]</p>
3(b)	<p>Explain ways in which chance has had an impact on the development of medicine. [7] Target: AO1 & 2 Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question. Level 1 General assertions Valid, but general answers. No specific contextual knowledge. E.g. '<i>Chance has sometimes led to new discoveries being made. This was done completely by chance and without it the discoveries would not have been made</i>' Level 2 Identifies specific examples of chance having an impact Specific contextual knowledge demonstrated but no explanation. Examples include: Pare's discovery of new ways of treating gunshot wounds, Pasteur and chicken cholera, Fleming and penicillin. Level 3 Explains one specific example E.g. '<i>Chance had an impact on medicine when Pare ran out of oil in the middle of a battle. Usually boiling oil was used to treat soldiers with gunshot wounds but Pare ran out. He had to use whatever he had at hand which was things like egg yolks and oil of roses. From this he made a soothing ointment which actually worked much better than the boiling oil which caused pain and a lot of swelling.</i>' Award 6 marks for one reason explained and another identified. Level 4 Explains more than one specific example</p>	<p>[0]</p> <p>[1]</p> <p>[2-3]</p> <p>[4-6]</p> <p>[7]</p>

Section A Medicine Through Time		
Question Number		Mark
3(c)	<p>'Since Roman times religion has hindered, rather than helped, medical progress.' Explain how far you agree with this statement. [8]</p> <p>Target: AO1 & 2</p> <p>* Written communication assessed in this question</p> <p>Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question.</p> <p>Level 1 General assertions Valid, but general answers. No specific contextual knowledge. E.g. <i>'I think religion slowed progress down more than it helped. It stopped new discoveries being made and there was little development in medicine.'</i></p> <p>Level 2 Identifies examples of religion hindering or helping medical progress Specific contextual knowledge demonstrated but no explanation. Examples include: hindered - no point in finding cures if illness was a punishment from God, some of the religious treatments used for the Black Death (or other specific diseases) which did no good, Church's ban on dissection, Church's support for Galen which prevented new ideas, opposition by the Church to women becoming doctors, opposition to smallpox vaccination, opposition to anaesthetics; helping - Christianity taught that the sick should be cared for, the Church set up hospitals, contribution of monasteries to caring, public health and the preserving of classical writings on medicine e.g. Galen's.</p> <p>Level 3 Identifies examples of religion hindering and helping medical progress Specific contextual knowledge demonstrated but no explanation.</p> <p>Level 4 Explains example(s) of religion hindering or helping medical progress E.g. <i>'Religion slowed down medical progress in the Middle Ages. This was because they thought that disease was caused by God and so there was no point in finding out more about disease or the human body. Instead they did things like whipping themselves to clean themselves of their sins so God would take the Black Death away. This did no good and certainly did not speed up progress in medical development.'</i></p>	<p>[0]</p> <p>[1]</p> <p>[2-3]</p> <p>[4]</p> <p>[5-6]</p>

Section A Medicine Through Time		
Question Number		Mark
3(c) cont'd	<p>Level 5 Explains example(s) of religion hindering and helping medical progress</p> <p>Level 6 As for Level 5 but also explains a reason why the overall impact was more hindering or helping medical progress</p> <p><i>E.g. 'Religion did both. It slowed progress down because the Church supported Galens' ideas. This was because they fitted in with Christian ideas about there being one creator. They did not allow anyone to criticise or improve his ideas. So those of his ideas that were wrong were simply believed by everyone and no progress was made. However, the Church also built monasteries where there were really good system of public health. They piped in clean fresh water, had proper drains and even proper toilets. So monasteries were often very healthy places. Overall, religion slowed things up. Only a few people lived in monasteries and the benefits of their public health systems did not spread to the towns where conditions were awful. But stopping people investigating Galen's ideas stopped all progress in medicine for hundreds of years. There was no dissection of humans because people thought that Galen had described the body correctly. So the whole of medicine was brought to a full stop</i></p>	
4(a)	<p>Briefly describe how the Romans tried to prevent disease. [5]</p> <p>Target: AO1</p> <p>1 mark for each valid point identified, 2-3 marks for any points that are described or explained.</p> <p>Award a maximum of 1 mark to general answers lacking in specific contextual knowledge.</p> <p>Points might include: public baths, sewers, siting of towns, provision of clean, fresh water, aqueducts.</p> <p><i>E.g. 'The Romans tried to prevent disease by developing public health in their towns. They used aqueducts to provide clean water to towns bringing it from hundreds of miles away and they provided public baths so people could keep clean.'</i></p>	[5]
4(b)	<p>Explain why there was so much opposition to smallpox vaccination throughout the nineteenth century. [7]</p> <p>Target: AO1 & 2</p> <p>Level 0</p> <p>No evidence submitted or response does not address the question.</p> <p>Level 1 General Assertions</p> <p>Level 2 Identifies specific reasons for opposition</p> <p>Specific contextual knowledge demonstrated but no explanation. Examples include: inoculators feared for their jobs, didn't like the ideas of giving humans a disease from animals, Jenner could not explain how it worked, sometimes vaccination did not work, against compulsory vaccination, smallpox seen as a punishment from God, Jenner not a well known doctor.</p>	[0] [1] [2-3]

Section A Medicine Through Time		
Question Number		Mark
4(b) cont'd	<p>Level 3 Explains one specific reason E.g. <i>'In the middle of the nineteenth century vaccination against smallpox became compulsory and many people did not like this. They did not see why the government should force them to have their children vaccinated. This caused a lot of opposition against government interference in their lives.'</i></p> <p>Award 6 marks for one reason explained and another identified.</p> <p>Level 4 Explains more than one specific reason</p>	[4-6] [7]
4(c)	<p>Between the time of the Ancient Greeks and the end of the nineteenth century there has been more continuity than change in ideas about the causes of disease? Explain how far you agree with this statement. [8]</p> <p>Target: AO1 & 2 * Written communication assessed in this question</p> <p>Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question.</p> <p>Level 1 General assertions Valid, but general answers. No specific contextual knowledge. E.g. <i>'I think there has been much more change. This is because people in the nineteenth century did not believe the same as people in Greek times about disease.'</i></p> <p>Level 2 Identifies or describes examples of continuity and/or change Specific contextual knowledge demonstrated but no explanation. 2/3 marks for 1/2 examples of continuity or change. 4 marks for examples of both continuity and change. Examples might include: continuity - Four Humours, dirt, bad air; change - spontaneous generation, miasma, germ theory.</p> <p>Level 3 Explains example(s) of continuity or of change E.g. <i>'Continuity is more important because for most of that period ideas did not change much at all. The Greeks came up with the idea of the Four Humours. This said that you became ill because the humours in your body were out of balance. This was still believed even in the nineteenth century when many doctors still used bloodletting as a treatment.'</i></p>	[0] [1] [2-4] [5-6]

Section A Medicine Through Time		
Question Number		Mark
4(c) cont'd	<p>Level 4 Explains the importance/lack of importance of both Jenner and Pasteur</p> <p>Award 7 marks for explanation of long-term impact of one of them.</p>	[7]
	<p>Level 5 As for Level 4 and comes to a supported conclusion</p> <p>To get into this level reasons must be given to support the conclusion.</p> <p><i>E.g. 'I think there has been much more change than continuity. This is because although some beliefs have stayed the same for all this time for example the Romans believed that bad air spread disease. They always made sure they built towns away from swamps because they thought that the bad air and smells from swamps caused disease. At the beginning of the nineteenth century people still believed bad air caused disease. Even when John Snow showed that cholera was spread by water and not air a lot of people did not believe him. However, when Pasteur came along and proved that disease was spread by germs most people had to accept it. This was a revolution and opened up new kinds of treatments that are still used today like drugs and vaccinations. This is why I think change is more important because when there was this change it was so basic as to change the whole way that medicine worked.'</i></p>	[8]
Section A Total		[35]

Section A Crime and Punishment Through Time		
Question Number		Mark
1(a)	<p>Study Source A. Are you surprised that these crimes could be punished by the death penalty? Use the source and your knowledge to explain your answer. [5]</p> <p>Target: AO1, 2 & 3</p> <p>Level 0</p> <p>No evidence submitted or response does not address the question.</p>	[0]
	<p>Level 1 Answers based on everyday empathy</p> <p>E.g. <i>'Yes, I am surprised. It is quite ridiculous to punish people for stealing rabbits with death. This is far too harsh.'</i></p>	[1]
	<p>Level 2 Assertions of no surprise because punishments at that time were very harsh - no contextual explanation</p> <p>E.g. <i>'No I am not surprised because that is what things were like then. They did punish people very harshly.'</i></p>	[2]
	<p>Level 3 Contextual knowledge of the eighteenth century used to explain why punishments were harsh at that time</p> <p>E.g. <i>'No I am not surprised because this was the time of the Bloody Code when hundreds of minor crimes were punished by death. This is because people thought the crime rate was going up and something had to be done to stop it.'</i></p>	[3-4]
	<p>Level 4 Contextual knowledge of the eighteenth century used to explain why those particular offences were punished so harshly</p> <p>It is enough to explain just one of the offences at this level or to write about them generally - what matters is that the offences were against landed interests. Explanations will be based on the fact that these offences were threats/nuisances to the landed interests of the time.</p> <p>E.g. <i>'No I am not surprised because the laws in those days were passed by the landed classes. They wanted to protect their property. They regarded the rabbits on their land as their property and they saw poaching as theft. They did not want people making their faces black because this is what they did when they were poaching at night so they would not be seen.'</i></p>	[5]
1(b)	<p>Study Source B. How far does this source give an accurate impression of eighteenth-century smugglers? Use the source and your knowledge to explain your answer. [5]</p> <p>Target: AO1, 2 & 3</p> <p>Level 0</p> <p>No evidence submitted or response does not address the question.</p>	[0]
	<p>Level 1 Unsupported assertions</p> <p><i>'No it doesn't, these are only a few smugglers. They were not all like that.'</i></p>	[1]
	<p>Level 2 Answers based on surface detail</p> <p>These answers will not demonstrate specific contextual knowledge.</p> <p>E.g. <i>'This source shows that the smugglers were really nasty people. They are killing an informer in a nasty way and this shows what they were like.'</i></p>	[2]

Section A Crime and Punishment Through Time		
Question Number		Mark
1(b) cont'd	<p>Level 3 Uses contextual knowledge to explain either the source does or does not give an accurate impression of smugglers <i>E.g. 'This source doesn't give an accurate impression of smugglers. Smugglers were not murderers like this, they were just ordinary people who did not have enough to live on and needed to save some money by not paying duties. Many people did not regard smuggling as a crime and it was part of their everyday lives. They didn't all go round murdering people like in the picture.'</i></p>	[3]
	<p>Level 4 Evaluation of source through provenance</p>	[4]
	<p>Level 5 Uses contextual knowledge to explain that some smugglers were like that and some were not or Level 3 and Level 4(5)</p>	[5]
	<p>Level 3 and Level 4(5)</p>	[5]
1(c)	<p>Study Source C. Does this source prove that transportation was a failure? Use the source and your knowledge to explain your answer. [5] Target: AO1, 2 & 3</p>	
	<p>Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question.</p>	[0]
	<p>Level 1 Uses source to argue it was/was not a failure These answers will be restricted to information in the source. <i>E.g. 'This source does prove it was a failure because it says that prisoners thought Australia was a good place so it would not put them off breaking the law.'</i></p>	
	<p>or Evaluates source because of date / secondary</p>	[1-2]
	<p>Level 2 Uses source to argue that it was and it was not a failure These answers will be restricted to information in the source.</p>	[3]
	<p>Level 3 Contextual knowledge used to argue that it was/was not a failure <i>E.g. 'No this source doesn't prove it was a failure. As the source says those convicts who had a dreadful time in Australia did not write back home telling people about it. The conditions were terrible. They were put in prison camps like those on Tasmania where they were put to hard labour and were often whipped. They were often put into solitary confinement. So it was a not a failure, the convicts were punished.'</i></p>	[4]
<p>Level 4 Contextual knowledge used to argue that it was and it was not a failure.</p>	[5]	

Section A Crime and Punishment Through Time		
Question Number		Mark
2(a)	<p>Briefly describe ways in which the Romans punished criminals. [5]</p> <p>Target: AO1</p> <p>1 mark for each valid point identified, 2-3 marks for any points that are described or explained.</p> <p>Award a maximum of 1 mark to general answers lacking in specific contextual knowledge.</p> <p>Points might include: burning at the stake, fighting in the arena, the death penalty, noblemen were exiled, whipping, confiscation of property, repaying cost of stolen goods, crucifixion.</p> <p>E.g. <i>'The Romans punished people harshly. If a shop keeper cheated customers by selling under-weight bread they would have to pay the customer the cost of the bread.'</i></p>	[1-5]
2(b)	<p>Explain why the story of Robin Hood was popular in the Middle Ages. [7]</p> <p>Target: AO1 & 2</p> <p>Level 0</p> <p>No evidence submitted or response does not address the question.</p> <p>Level 1 General assertions</p> <p>Valid, but general answers. No specific contextual knowledge.</p> <p>E.g. <i>'The story of Robin Hood was popular because Robin Hood was a hero to everybody and they liked hearing about his exciting adventures.'</i></p> <p>Level 2 Answers based on the surface features of the story</p> <p>These answers will be restricted to the surface features of the story</p> <p>E.g. - taking from the rich to give to the poor, outlaws, bad sheriffs, bad King John</p> <p>Level 3 Contextual knowledge used to explain one reason why it was popular</p> <p>These answers explain the significance of one feature of the story.</p> <p>These might include the unpopularity of forest laws, corrupt officials, over-mighty subjects, rich abbots, resistance to Normans.</p> <p>E.g. <i>'The story was popular because people hated the forest laws. These were laws that stopped anyone hunting or taking wood from the King's forests. People needed this timber and hunting deer would give them some meat to eat which they did not normally have. So the story of Robin was popular because liked to hear about someone breaking these laws.'</i></p> <p>Level 4 Contextual knowledge used to explain more than one reason for the story's popularity</p> <p>Award 6 marks for one example explained and another identified.</p> <p>Award 7 marks for two examples explained.</p>	[0] [1] [2-3] [4-6] [7]

Section A Crime and Punishment Through Time		
Question Number		Mark
2(c)	<p>'The treatment of criminals in the nineteenth century was different from their treatment in the Middle Ages. Explain how far you agree with this statement. [8]</p> <p>Target: AO1 & 2</p> <p>* Written communication assessed in this question</p> <p>Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question.</p> <p>Level 1 General assertions Valid, but general answers. No specific contextual knowledge. E.g. <i>'Treatment was very different. They were very cruel in the Middle Ages but gradually people became more understanding towards criminals.'</i></p> <p>Level 2 Identifies either differences or similarities in treatment Specific contextual knowledge demonstrated but no explanation e.g. 19C - transportation, increase in prisons, fewer executions, public executions banned, separate and silent systems, introduction of attempts to reform prisoners, fines introduced, physical punishments still used; MA - the wergild, executions and physical punishments, prisons not used as punishment, blood feud, outlawing, stocks and fines.</p> <p>Level 3 Identifies differences and similarities in treatment Specific contextual knowledge demonstrated but no explanation.</p> <p>Level 4 Explains differences or similarities in treatment E.g. <i>'The treatment was very different. In the nineteenth century they increased the number of prisons and people were sent there instead of being executed or being transported. Prisons were meant to reform the prisoners and to do this they introduced the separate system which stopped prisoners mixing and spreading bad habits. They would spend most of their time in their cells where they would work and would say their prayers This was different from the Middle Ages where prisons were not used as a punishment but just to hold someone while they waited for their trial.'</i></p> <p>Level 5 Explains differences and similarities in treatment</p> <p>Level 6 As for Level 5 but supports an overall conclusion about 'how far'</p>	<p>[0]</p> <p>[1]</p> <p>[2-3]</p> <p>[4]</p> <p>[5-6]</p> <p>[7]</p> <p>[8]</p>

Section A Crime and Punishment Through Time		
Question Number		Mark
3(a)	<p>Briefly describe how criminals were caught in the Middle Ages Target: AO1 [5] 1 mark for each valid method identified, 2-3 marks for any methods that are described or explained. Award a maximum of 1 mark to general answers lacking in specific contextual knowledge. Methods might include: tithings, hue and cry, sheriffs and constables, allow trial by ordeal. E.g. <i>'They caught criminals by using tithings. All the men in a village were divided into groups of ten. If one of them broke the law the others had to bring him to court.'</i></p>	[1-5]
3(b)	<p>Explain why Sir Robert Peel was able to set up a police force in the late 1820s. [7] Target: AO1 & 2 Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question.</p> <p>Level 1 General assertions Valid, but general answers. No specific contextual knowledge. E.g. <i>'He thought a police force was needed because nobody was catching the criminals. They were getting away with it and so something had to be done.'</i></p> <p>OR</p> <p>Level 1 Describes Peel's police force Level 2 Identifies specific reasons Specific contextual knowledge demonstrated but no explanation. Examples include: inefficiency of present systems like the watchmen and constables, industrialisation/rapid growth of towns, rise in crime, fear of riots/protest, greater involvement of government in everyday life, people getting used to paying taxes for things like this.</p> <p>Level 3 Explains one specific reason E.g. <i>'Peel was able to set up a police force because many people were afraid of popular protests and even revolution. This was just after the French Revolution and they were afraid the same thing would happen here especially after riots like the Luddites and Peterloo. Rich people thought that a police force would protect their property and wealth and keep law and order.'</i> Award 6 marks for one reason explained and another identified.</p> <p>Level 4 Explains more than one specific reason</p>	<p>[0]</p> <p>[1]</p> <p>[1]</p> <p>[2-3]</p> <p>[4-6]</p> <p>[7]</p>

Section A Crime and Punishment Through Time		
Question Number		Mark
3(c)	<p>Were the Middle Ages more lawless and violent than the nineteenth century? Explain your answer. [8]</p> <p>Target: AO1 & 2</p> <p>* Written communication assessed in this question</p> <p>Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question.</p> <p>Level 1 General assertions Valid, but general answers. No specific contextual knowledge. E.g. <i>'Yes the Middle Ages were more violent because that was how they settled things. They did not have much law and order.'</i></p> <p>Level 2 Identifies or describes examples of lawlessness and violence or of law and order Specific contextual knowledge demonstrated but no explanation. Answers might include: Middle Ages: methods such as tithings and hue and cry, new system set up by Normans and concept of King's peace, no police force, establishment of royal courts and JPs, work of manorial courts, outlaws ; nineteenth century - impact of industrialisation, popular protests like Peterloo, police at first ineffective, by end of century the police were accepted/respected, crime fell in second half of nineteenth century.</p> <p>Level 3 Identifies or describes examples of lawlessness and violence or of law and order Specific contextual knowledge demonstrated but no explanation.</p> <p>Level 4 Explains examples of lawlessness and violence or of law and order E.g. <i>'Although you might think the nineteenth century was better because it had a police force this was not necessarily the case. This is because at first the police were a failure - they were not respected. This was because the quality of men recruited was so poor and this was because the pay was bad. Many of them had been general labourers and were not educated. For this reason the public regarded them as no good and did not respect them at all. This made it very difficult for them to do their job well.'</i></p> <p>Level 5 Compares Middle Ages with nineteenth century</p>	<p>[0]</p> <p>[1]</p> <p>[2-3]</p> <p>[4]</p> <p>[5-6]</p> <p>[7]</p>

Section A Crime and Punishment Through Time		
Question Number		Mark
4(b) cont'd	<p>Level 2 Identifies specific reasons</p> <p>Specific contextual knowledge demonstrated but no explanation. Examples include: turnpike tolls, new tollgates, high rents for farmers, tithes (now paid in cash), poor harvests, farmers who had more than one farm, the poor law, English landowners buying up land.</p>	[2-3]
	<p>Level 3 Explains one specific reason</p> <p>E.g. <i>They took place in the 1830s because this was when the farmers were having a hard time. The people who owned the land were English and they increased the rents the Welsh farmers had to pay. They could do this because there were a lot of people wanting to rent the farms. However, the farmers were poor and could not make much money from their farms and so could not afford the increases in rent.</i></p> <p>Award 6 marks for one reason explained and another identified.</p> <p>Level 4 Explains more than one specific reason</p>	[4-6] [7]
4(c)	<p>How far were crimes committed in the twentieth century different from those committed in earlier periods? Explain your answer. [8]</p> <p>Target: AO1 & 2</p> <p>Written communication assessed in this question</p> <p>Level 0</p> <p>No evidence submitted or response does not address the question.</p>	[0]
	<p>Level 1 General assertions</p> <p>Valid, but general answers. No specific contextual knowledge.</p> <p>E.g. <i>'I think they were very different. There were lots of new inventions in the twentieth century and these led to new crimes.'</i></p>	[1]
	<p>Level 2 Identifies or describes examples of similarities or differences</p> <p>Specific contextual knowledge demonstrated but no explanation.</p> <p>Answers might include: similarities - candidates might state that the basic types of crime - against the person, against property, against authority have stayed the same; differences - crimes such as highway robbery, witchcraft have died out while there are new crimes such as identity theft, car theft.</p>	[2-3]
	<p>Level 3 Identifies or describes examples of similarities and differences</p> <p>Specific contextual knowledge demonstrated but no explanation.</p>	[4]
	<p>Level 4 Explains examples of similarities or differences</p> <p>E.g. <i>'Basically crimes have not changed. There are still lots of crimes against property. In the eighteenth century there were highway robbers like Dick Turpin. They became common because horses were cheap to buy and guns were easy to get. There were lots more stage-coaches and this gave the robbers more opportunities. In the twentieth century there are still crimes against property. A very common crime is stealing cars because there are so many cars around.'</i></p>	[5-6]

Section A Crime and Punishment Through Time		
Question Number		Mark
	<p>Level 5 Explains examples of similarities and differences</p> <p>Level 6 As for Level 5 but in addition reaches supported conclusion about 'how far'</p> <p><i>E.g. 'This is a difficult question. You could say that the basic types of crimes have stayed the same - most crimes are still against people or against property but there have obviously been changes because the way people live has changed. In the past crimes like poaching and highway robbery were common. Many people in the eighteenth century were poor and poached animals from landowners who were very rich. Highway robbery was common then because more people travelled by stage-coach and soldiers who were no longer needed became highwaymen. In the twentieth century life had changed. Most people owned cars and computers. This meant that car theft became a common crime as did stealing money by hacking into computers. These look like new types of crime because the technology is different but really they are not. They are still crimes against property like those hundreds of years ago. So overall I do not think crimes have changed although on the surface it might look as if they have.'</i></p>	<p>[7]</p> <p>[8]</p>
Section A Total		[35]

Section B Elizabethan England		
Question Number		Mark
5(a)	Study Sources A and B.	
	How far does the portrait support the historian's description of Mary in Source A? Use the sources and your knowledge to explain your answer. [6]	
	Target: AO1, 2 & 3	
	Level 0	
	No evidence submitted or response does not address the question.	[0]
	Level 1 Matching of details	
E.g. <i>'The portrait does support Source A. Source A says that she was not really beautiful and the portrait confirms this.'</i>	[1]	
Level 2 Identifies details in Source A that cannot be checked in Source B		
E.g. <i>'Source B does not support Source A. Source A says that Mary had no common sense and was foolish. It also says that men were very loyal to her. The portrait does not show any of this.'</i>	[2-3]	
Level 3 Levels 1 and 2		
	[4]	
Level 4 Explains that the portrait by its very nature cannot be used to check much of the kind of information in Source A – must give examples		
E.g. <i>'Source B cannot support the kind of things that are said in Source A. Source B is just a portrait and cannot tell us whether men were loyal to Mary or whether she had any commonsense. This is not the kind of information that portraits can give you.'</i>	[5]	
Level 5 Explains that Source B was painted during Mary's captivity and so may not give a fair impression of her		
E.g. <i>'Source B cannot be used to support Source A. This is because it is not trustworthy. It was painted in England when Mary was a prisoner. The painting even says on it that she was a prisoner. So the painting is biased and cannot be used to find out what she was really like.'</i>	[6]	
5(b)	Study Source C.	
	How far would people in England in 1568 have agreed with what Elizabeth says about Mary in this source? Use the source and your knowledge to explain your answer. [7]	
	Target: AO1, 2 & 3	
	Level 0	
	No evidence submitted or response does not address the question.	[0]

Section B Elizabethan England		
Question Number		Mark
5(b) cont'd	<p>Level 1 Unsupported assertions based on repeating the points in Source C</p> <p>E.g. 'Yes I think people would have agreed with Elizabeth. They would have wanted Mary to get her throne back in Scotland and would have wanted to help her.'</p>	[1]
	<p>Level 2 Contextual knowledge used to explain reasons for agreeing with Elizabeth or for not agreeing</p> <p>E.g. 'I don't think people would have agreed with Elizabeth. Mary wanted to be queen of England and she was a threat to Elizabeth as next in line to the throne. Catholics in England wanted her to be queen. So people would not have been pleased to see her like Elizabeth was.'</p>	[2-4]
	<p>Level 3 Contextual knowledge used to explain reasons for agreeing and not agreeing with Elizabeth</p>	[5]
	<p>Level 4 Explains how particular groups would or would not agree with Elizabeth</p> <p>E.g. 'I think Protestants would have agreed with Elizabeth. The last thing they wanted was a Catholic queen bringing back the Catholic religion in England. Mary was a Catholic and there were Catholics in England ready to plot to get her the throne. So the Protestants would have been glad to hear Elizabeth say that she would help Mary get her throne in Scotland back because this would get rid of Mary. They did not want her in England where she could cause trouble.'</p>	[6]
	<p>Level 5 Explains the views of more than one group</p>	[7]
5(c)	<p>Study Source D.</p> <p>'Source D proves that in 1572 the decision to have Mary executed was an easy one for Elizabeth to make.' Use the source and your knowledge to explain how far you agree. [7]</p> <p>Target: AO1, 2 & 3</p>	
	<p>Level 0</p> <p>No evidence submitted or response does not address the question.</p>	[0]
	<p>Level 1 Answers based purely on the information in Source D</p> <p>E.g. 'Yes it was obvious Mary should be executed. As the source shows Mary was guilty of treason and had tried to get a rebellion going against Elizabeth. It was an easy decision for Elizabeth.'</p>	[1]
	<p>Level 2 Uses contextual knowledge that it was easy</p> <p>E.g. 'Yes, Elizabeth should have had Mary executed. There were lots of reasons for this. Mary had been involved in plots against Elizabeth and wanted to take the throne from her. She was involved in the Northern Rebellion when some northern Catholic earls rebelled against Elizabeth and there were other plots like the Babington Plot. While Mary stayed alive she would continue to plot against Elizabeth and the only answer was to have her executed.'</p>	[2-3]

Section B Elizabethan England		
Question Number		Mark
5(c) Cont'd	<p>Level 3 Identifies reasons why it was not straightforward</p> <p>These might include: not wanting to execute a fellow monarch, Elizabeth's family feelings towards Mary, fear of upsetting Catholics, foreign powers, fear of weakening the institution of monarchy.</p>	[3-4]
	<p>Level 4 Uses contextual knowledge to explain reasons against executing Mary</p> <p>E.g. <i>'It was not a straightforward decision for Elizabeth. Mary might be a danger but there were dangers in executing her. Mary was a rightful queen and Elizabeth felt that it was wrong to execute a rightful queen. This would set a bad example and might mean that other people would think they could execute kings and queens. This might put Elizabeth in danger especially because there were some people who wanted her off the throne.'</i></p>	[5-6]
	<p>Level 5 Uses contextual knowledge to explain how it was a difficult decision because there were strong arguments on both sides</p>	[7]
6(a)	<p>What were the main ideas of the Puritans during Elizabeth's reign?</p> <p>Target: AO1 [5]</p> <p>1 mark for each valid idea/aim identified, 2-3 marks for any ideas/aims that are described or explained.</p> <p>Award a maximum of 1 mark to general answers lacking in specific contextual knowledge.</p> <p>Ideas\aims might include: wanted to reform the Church - no bishops, wanted the congregation to run the Church, wanted to remove paintings, statues; did not believe in transubstantiation; against the theatre, gambling, swearing,</p> <p>E.g. <i>'Puritans wanted to reform the Church and make it less like a Catholic Church. They wanted the clergy to wear plain gowns and they thought everybody could get to God by themselves through reading the bible.'</i></p>	[5]
6(b)	<p>Explain why many people were poor during Elizabeth's reign. [7]</p> <p>Target: AO1 & 2</p> <p>Level 0</p> <p>No evidence submitted or response does not address the question.</p> <p>Level 1 General assertions</p> <p>Valid, but general answers. No specific contextual knowledge.</p> <p>E.g. <i>'They were poor because they could not support themselves and they had no money.'</i></p> <p>Level 2 Identifies specific reasons</p> <p>Specific contextual knowledge demonstrated but no explanation.</p> <p>Examples include: inflation, rising population. bad harvests, enclosures, rack-renting, collapse of cloth trade, dissolution of the monasteries,</p>	[0] [1] [2-3]

Section B Elizabethan England		
Question Number		Mark
6(c)	soldiers returning home.	
	<p>Level 3 Explains one specific reason</p> <p>E.g. <i>'There were so many poor people because of inflation. This meant that prices went up, especially the price of food. But at the same time workers' wages did not go up as fast and so it was more difficult for people to afford the food they needed.'</i></p> <p>Award 6 marks for one reason explained and another identified.</p>	[4-6]
	<p>Level 4 Explains more than one specific reason</p>	[7]
	<p>Who posed the greater danger to Elizabeth, vagrants or Catholics? Explain your answer. [8]</p> <p>Target: AO1 & 2</p> <p>* Written communication assessed in this question.</p>	
	<p>Level 0</p> <p>No evidence submitted or response does not address the question.</p>	[0]
	<p>Level 1 General assertions</p> <p>E.g. <i>'I think it was vagrants because Elizabeth was really worried about them and they could have done a lot of damage to her. They were a real threat.'</i></p>	[1]
	<p>Level 2 Identifies or describes specific reasons for one group posing/not posing a danger</p> <p>Specific contextual knowledge demonstrated but no explanation.</p> <p>Examples might include: vagrants - growing numbers, wandered the country in groups, crimes, not organised, not a political threat; Catholics - loyal to the Pope, did not recognise Elizabeth as Queen, rebellions, Jesuits, many Catholics loyal to Elizabeth.</p>	[2-3]
	<p>Level 3 Identifies or describes specific reasons for both groups posing/not posing a danger</p> <p>Specific contextual knowledge demonstrated but no explanation.</p>	[4]
	<p>Level 4 Explains specific reasons for one group posing/not posing a danger</p> <p>E.g. <i>'I think the Catholics posed more of a danger to Elizabeth because they did not recognise her as Head of the Church or as Queen. Also, the Pope had told them they did not have to obey her and they should try and help to get rid of her. Many Catholics thought that Mary, Queen of Scots was the rightful queen rather than Elizabeth. All this led to Catholic rebellions in England that were aimed at overthrowing Elizabeth such as Babington's Plot in the 1580s. So the Catholics posed a much greater threat.'</i></p>	[5-6]
	<p>Level 5 Explains specific reasons for both groups posing/not posing a danger</p> <p>Level 6 As for Level 5 but in addition explains a reason why one group more of a danger than the other or why they posed equal dangers</p> <p>E.g. <i>'The Catholics were a much greater threat to Elizabeth. Vagrants did</i></p>	[7]
		[8]

Section B Elizabethan England		
Question Number		Mark
	<p><i>cause riots and crime sometimes but all they wanted was food to eat and some money. They did not want to overthrow Elizabeth. When harvests were better they stopped causing trouble. They were not interested in politics or in overthrowing governments. They were loyal to Elizabeth. However, the Catholics were different. They did not like Elizabeth because she was Protestant and had set up a Protestant Church. They obeyed the Pope who said that Mary Queen of Scots, not Elizabeth, was the rightful queen. There were many Catholic plots and rebellions like the Northern Rebellion. This was an attempt to put Mary on the throne. This was why the Catholics were more of a danger, because they wanted to overthrow Elizabeth while vagrants did not.'</i></p>	
7(a)	<p>Briefly describe the problems faced by English sailors on voyages of exploration. [7] Target: AO1 1 mark for each valid problem identified, 2-3 marks for any problems that are described or explained. Award a maximum of 1 mark to general answers lacking in specific contextual knowledge. Problems might include: the Spanish, scurvy, being attacked when landing, infection, shortage of food/water, getting lost. E.g. <i>'The main problem was that conditions on the ships were really bad. Their food was often rotten and they could run out of water.'</i></p>	[5]
7(b)	<p>Explain why the Spanish Armada failed. [7] Target: AO1 & 2 Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question. [0]</p> <p>Level 1 General assertions Valid, but general answers. No specific contextual knowledge. E.g. <i>'It failed because it was not strong enough and it was not able to conquer England.'</i> [1]</p> <p>Level 2 Describes what happened to the Armada OR Identifies specific reasons Specific contextual knowledge demonstrated but no explanation. Examples include: The English ships had more powerful guns, Spanish ships slower, poor planning, the wind, Spanish couldn't reload their guns quickly, use of firestorms, leadership/tactics of Howard. [2-3]</p> <p>Level 3 Explains one specific reason E.g. <i>'The Spanish Armada failed because they were defeated in battle by the English fleet. This was because the English had much more powerful guns than the Spanish. This meant that the English ships could fire at the Spanish fleet and cause a lot of damage but at the same time stay outside the range of most of the Spanish guns and so be safe.'</i></p> <p>Award 6 marks for one reason explained and another identified. [4-6]</p> <p>Level 4 Explains more than one specific reason [7]</p>	

Section B Elizabethan England		
Question Number		Mark
7(c)	<p>Which was more important to Elizabethan England, the voyages of exploration or the defeat of the Spanish Armada? Explain your answer. [8]</p> <p>Target: AO1 & 2</p> <p>* Written communication assessed in this question.</p> <p>Level 0 No evidence submitted or response does not address the question. [0]</p> <p>Level 1 General assertions E.g. <i>'The defeat of the Spanish Armada was far more important. If the Spanish had won this would have caused real problems for Elizabeth.'</i> [1]</p> <p>Level 2 Identifies or describes specific reasons why the voyages or defeat of the Armada were/were not important Specific contextual knowledge demonstrated but no explanation. Reasons include: voyages - help make England a great power, built up the navy, colonies, defence improved, wealth from trade; defeat of the Armada - England would have been invaded, Elizabeth would have been overthrown, Catholicism would have been restored, England part of Spanish Empire. [2-3]</p> <p>Level 3 Identifies or describes specific reasons why the voyages and the defeat of the Armada were/were not important Specific contextual knowledge demonstrated but no explanation. [4]</p> <p>Level 4 Explains specific reasons why the voyages or the defeat of the Armada were/were not important E.g. <i>'The defeat of the Armada was more important. This was because Philip of Spain wanted to conquer England, get rid of Elizabeth and make England Catholic. The Armada was meant to pave the way for an invasion when all of this would then have been done. These would have been massive changes and most of the people in the country did not want to be Catholic again so it would have caused lots of trouble. This was why the defeat of the Armada was so important, because it meant the invasion could not take place and none of this could happen. England was saved.'</i> [5-6]</p> <p>Level 5 Explains specific reasons why the voyages and the defeat of the Armada were/were not important [7]</p> <p>Level 6 As for Level 5 but in addition explains a reason why one was more important to Elizabethan England than the other [8]</p>	
Section B Total		[40]
Paper Total		[75]

Assessment Objectives Grid (includes QWC)

Question	AO1	AO2	AO3	Total
1(a)	1	2	2	5
1(b)	2	1	2	5
1(c)	1	2	2	5
2/3/4 (a)	5	0	0	5
2/3/4 (b)	3	4	0	7
2/3/4 (c)	3	5	0	8
5(a)	1	2	3	6
5(b)	2	2	3	7
5(c)	1	3	3	7
6/7(a)	5	0	0	5
6/7(b)	3	4	0	7
6/7 (c)	3	5	0	8
Totals	30	30	15	75

SPECIMEN