

GCSE

History A (Schools History Project)

General Certificate of Secondary Education

Unit A951/11: Study in Development and Study in Depth

Mark Scheme for June 2012

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA) is a leading UK awarding body, providing a wide range of qualifications to meet the needs of candidates of all ages and abilities. OCR qualifications include AS/A Levels, Diplomas, GCSEs, OCR Nationals, Functional Skills, Key Skills, Entry Level qualifications, NVQs and vocational qualifications in areas such as IT, business, languages, teaching/training, administration and secretarial skills.

It is also responsible for developing new specifications to meet national requirements and the needs of students and teachers. OCR is a not-for-profit organisation; any surplus made is invested back into the establishment to help towards the development of qualifications and support, which keep pace with the changing needs of today's society.

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and students, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which marks were awarded by examiners. It does not indicate the details of the discussions which took place at an examiners' meeting before marking commenced.

All examiners are instructed that alternative correct answers and unexpected approaches in candidates' scripts must be given marks that fairly reflect the relevant knowledge and skills demonstrated.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the published question papers and the report on the examination.

OCR will not enter into any discussion or correspondence in connection with this mark scheme.

© OCR 2012

Any enquiries about publications should be addressed to:

OCR Publications PO Box 5050 Annesley NOTTINGHAM NG15 0DL

Telephone: 0870 770 6622 Facsimile: 01223 552610

E-mail: publications@ocr.org.uk

SECTION A: CASE STUDY (MEDICINE THROUGH TIME)

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
1 (a)	Target: AO 1, 2 and 3 Level 1 Unsupported assertions or everyday empathy Eg 'Yes, I am surprised they are using things like this. I don't think they would work at all. They wouldn't make people better. So I am surprised.'	1	Study Sources A and B Are you surprised that these treatments were used at that time? Use the sources and your knowledge to explain your answer.
	Valid answers that fail to say whether surprised or not. These answers will provide a valid answer from Levels 2–4 but will fail to say whether surprised or not. Level 2 Identifies a valid reason for being surprised/not surprised. Reasons might include: natural, supernatural treatments, fall of Roman Empire. Eg 'I am very surprised by these treatments because Source B is a natural treatment but I thought they used supernatural treatments in those days.' Level 3 Contextual explanation of why surprised or not surprised.	1 2 3-4	

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
	Level 4 Contextual explanation of why not surprised and why surprised. Eg 'I am not surprised they are using these treatments because Source B is blood letting based on the theory of the Four Humours which came from the Greeks. The Romans used a lot of the Greek ideas and the Anglo-Saxons came just after the Romans and used a lot of their ideas. However, I am surprised they are using supernatural methods as well. Source A is based on religious ideas. If they had natural ideas like in Source B, why use supernatural ideas?'	5	

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
(b)	Target: AO 1, 2 and 3 Level 1 General answers that fail to recognise the plague doctor, or describe the source or answers that dismiss the source. Eg 'I don't think this source is useful at all. It's just a man wearing a funny hat. I cannot see what this tells you about medicine.'	1	Study Source C Explain how this source is useful to a historian studying seventeenth-century medicine. Use the source and your knowledge to explain your answer.
	Level 2	2	
	Answers that identify the plague doctor.		
	Such answers will identify the figure as a plague doctor but will not develop their answers any further.		
	General answers about clothing protecting from disease	2	
	Level 3	3–4	
	Answers that make a valid inference from the plague doctor. NB These inferences must be clearly from the plague doctor. These inferences might include: what they believed about the causes or the spread of the plague, why he is dressed this way, what his job was, what he tells us about how they reacted to the plague.		
	Eg 'This is useful because he is a plague doctor and he had to treat the sick You can see from the way he is dressed that they thought the plague was spread through the air. This is why he is dressed like this – to protect him.'		
	Level 4	5	
	Answers that make more than one inference from the plague doctor. NB These inferences must be clearly from the plague doctor.		

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
(c)	Target: AO 1, 2 and 3 Level 1	1	Study Source D Why was this source published at that time? Use the source and your knowledge to explain your answer.
	General but valid answers lacking understanding of the source or contextual knowledge.		
	Eg 'I think this source was published then to tell people what was going on. It must have been very useful because otherwise people would not know.' 'I think this was published because people are getting their drinking water from a water pump. But I don't know why there is a skeleton there.'		
	Level 2	2	
	Answers that identify/explain the context.		
	These answers will use the context as the reason for why it was published. This context must be connected to eg poor public health, dirty drinking water.		
	Eg 'This source was published then because that was when people died because they could not get clean drinking water. Conditions were terrible for people.'		
	Valid sub-message(s)	2	
	Level 3	3	
	Answers that identify/explain the big message of the source.		
	Eg 'This source was published to tell people that if they would die if they kept on drinking dirty water. It is telling them that the water is dirty.'		

A951/11 Mark Scheme June 2012

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
	Level 4 Answers that identify/explain the purpose of publishing the source.	4	
	Eg 'This source was published to try and get people to do something about the state of the water people had to drink.' or Explains message in context Level 5 Answers that place the purpose of publishing the source in the context of the time.	4 5	

uestio	Answer	Marks	Guidance
(a)	Target: AO 1 1 mark for each valid example identified, 2–3 marks for any examples that are described or explained. Award a maximum of 1 mark to general answers lacking in specific contextual knowledge. Examples might include: Eg 'The work of Hippocrates led to the Theory of the Four	marito	Briefly describe important medical developments brought about by great individuals during the time of the Ancient Greeks.
		(a) Target: AO 1 1 mark for each valid example identified, 2–3 marks for any examples that are described or explained. Award a maximum of 1 mark to general answers lacking in specific contextual knowledge. Examples might include:	(a) Target: AO 1 1 mark for each valid example identified, 2–3 marks for any examples that are described or explained. Award a maximum of 1 mark to general answers lacking in specific contextual knowledge. Examples might include: Eg 'The work of Hippocrates led to the Theory of the Four

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
(b)	Target: AO 1 and 2 Level 1	1	Explain how chance has helped developments in medicine.
	General assertions.		
	Valid, but general answers. No specific contextual knowledge.		
	Eg 'Chance had helped a lot by letting new ideas be used in medicine. There are lots of examples of this so I think it has been a great help.'		
	Level 2	2–4	
	Identifies specific examples.		
	Specific contextual knowledge demonstrated but no explanation.		
	Eg 'Chance helped developments in medicine when it helped penicillin to develop. It also helped Paré develop new methods.'		
	or		
	Describes a relevant development or tells a story that does not include chance.	5–6	
	Level 3		
	Explains how chance led to one specific development.		
	Award 6 marks for example explained and another identified.		

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
	Eg 'Chance was very important when Pare found out it was better to use soothing ointments rather than burning oil for treating gunshot wounds. He was working for the army looking after soldiers who had been injured. He cauterised the wounds using burning oil. But he ran out of the oil and instead used a mixture made of egg yolks and oil of roses. This actually worked much better and the soldiers recovered well. But if he had not run out of oil he would not have discovered the new mixture so chance was very important.' Level 4	7	
	Explains how chance led to more than one specific development.		

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
(c)	Target: AO 1 and 2 * Written communication assessed in this question		'Governments have hindered rather than helped developments in medicine.' How far do you agree with this statement? Explain your answer.
	Level 1	1–2	
	General assertions.		
	Valid, but general answers. No specific contextual knowledge.		
	Eg 'I think this is wrong. There are lots of new developments that would not have taken place it wasn't for governments introducing them Governments have a lot of power and can introduce things like this.'		
	Level 2	3–4	
	Identifies examples of government helping and/or hindering.		
	Specific contextual knowledge demonstrated but no explanation of the role of government. 3 marks for helping or hindering, 4 marks for both.		
	Examples might include: helping – Roman public health, NHS, public health reforms in the 19th century; hindering – laissez faire policies over public health.		
	or		
	Describes events/tells the story – no explanation of role of government.		
	Award 3 marks for helping, 4 marks for hindering.		

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
	Level 3	5–6	
	Explains how government helped or hindered development(s).		
	These must be based on specific examples.		
	Eg 'I think government hindered more than it helped. In the nineteenth century there were people who wanted to improve the public health in towns where conditions were terrible and lots of people were dying. But lots of town governments as well as the main government were opposed to doing anything. They thought it was wrong to interfere in people's lives and they also thought that people should look after themselves and keep themselves clean. So for a long time they did nothing to help improve conditions.'		
	Level 4	7	
	Explains how government both helped and hindered development(s).		
	Level 5	8	
	Supports an argument about whether government helped more than hindered.		
	These answers do not have to be fully developed as far as the content examples are concerned. However there must be a supported argument about whether it helped or hindered more – allow original, unusual, but valid attempts.		

Q	uesti	on	Answer	Marks	Guidance
3	(a)		Target: AO 1 1 mark for each valid features identified, 2–3 marks for any features that are described or explained. Award a maximum of 1 mark to general answers lacking in specific contextual knowledge. Answers might include: getting humours back into balance, purging, bleeding, medicines made from plants, bezoar, sugar, ointments to cool the humours, use of Zodiac charts to decide when to bleed people. Eg 'Medieval doctors believed in the Four Humours and they would bleed people to get rid of excess blood.'		Briefly describe the treatments used by medieval doctors.

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
(b)	Target: AO 1 and 2 Level 1	1	Explain why developments in the Medical Renaissance had little impact on the methods used by doctors at that time.
	General assertions. Valid, but general answers. No specific contextual knowledge. Eg They didn't have much impact because the doctors went on using the same old methods because they thought they worked fine. So nobody bothered to make any changes.' Level 2 Identifies specific reasons. Specific contextual knowledge demonstrated but no explanation. Reasons might include: developments not practical, too theoretical, needed other things to be discovered before these developments could have any effect, Paré criticised because he had not studied at university, still did not know about how germs caused disease, still believed in the Four Humours. or Describes the new developments, tells the story about a development or describes the methods doctors continued	2–4	

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
	Level 3	5–6	
	Explains one specific reason why they had little impact.		
	Award 6 marks for one reason explained and another identified.		
	Eg 'These developments did not have much impact on what doctors did because there were still many things they did not know. Harvey discovered about the circulation of the blood in the body but this did not lead to blood transfusions because people did not know about blood groups.'		
	Level 4	7	
	Explains more than one specific reason why they had little impact.		

Answer	Marks	Guidance
Target: AO 1 and 2 * Written communication assessed in this question Level 1	1–2	'The main reason why there was much progress in medicine during the Renaissance was the existence of great men like Paré and Vesalius.' How far do you agree with this statement? Explain your answer.
General assertions.		
Valid, but general answers. No specific contextual knowledge.		
Eg 'I think this is right. If it wasn't for great men then these discoveries would not have been made and things in medicine would not have got better.		
Level 2	3–4	
Identifies reason(s) why great men important and or/not important.		
Specific contextual knowledge demonstrated but no reasons explained. 3 marks for why important or other factors, 4 marks for both.		
Examples might include: Paré and ligatures, soothing ointments, artificial limbs, use of experiments (bezoar); Vesalius – structure of the body, writing and illustrating his book, questioning Galen; Harvey and circulation of the blood; other factors include work of artists, the general spirit of the age – questioning accepted ideas, the role of science and technology eg printing press.		
or		
	* Written communication assessed in this question Level 1 General assertions. Valid, but general answers. No specific contextual knowledge. Eg 'I think this is right. If it wasn't for great men then these discoveries would not have been made and things in medicine would not have got better. Level 2 Identifies reason(s) why great men important and or/not important. Specific contextual knowledge demonstrated but no reasons explained. 3 marks for why important or other factors, 4 marks for both. Examples might include: Paré and ligatures, soothing ointments, artificial limbs, use of experiments (bezoar); Vesalius – structure of the body, writing and illustrating his book, questioning Galen; Harvey and circulation of the blood; other factors include work of artists, the general spirit of the age – questioning accepted ideas, the role of science and technology eg printing press.	* Written communication assessed in this question Level 1 1—2 General assertions. Valid, but general answers. No specific contextual knowledge. Eg 'I think this is right. If it wasn't for great men then these discoveries would not have been made and things in medicine would not have got better. Level 2 3—4 Identifies reason(s) why great men important and or/not important. Specific contextual knowledge demonstrated but no reasons explained. 3 marks for why important or other factors, 4 marks for both. Examples might include: Paré and ligatures, soothing ointments, artificial limbs, use of experiments (bezoar); Vesalius — structure of the body, writing and illustrating his book, questioning Galen; Harvey and circulation of the blood; other factors include work of artists, the general spirit of the age — questioning accepted ideas, the role of science and technology eg printing press.

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
	Describes what great men did or describes other factors. Award 3 marks for great men or other factors, 4 marks for both.		
	Level 3	5–6	
	Explains why great men or other factors important.		
	Eg 'I don't think great men were that important. The important thing is that they were living at the right time. The Renaissance was a time when people were studying things for themselves and not just relying on the ideas of the Greeks and Romans. They found out things for themselves. This was happening in all kinds of areas like science and art, not just in medicine. So these great men like Paré were only able to make these discoveries because they were living in a time that allowed them to do that kind of work.'		
	Level 4	7	
	Explains why both great men and other factors important.		
	Level 5	8	
	Supports an argument about which is MORE important.		
	These answers do not have to be fully developed as far as the content examples are concerned. However there must be a supported argument about who which was more important – allow original, unusual, but valid attempts.		

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
4 (a)	Target: AO 1 1 mark for each valid point identified, 2–3 marks for any points that are described or explained. Award a maximum of 1 mark to general answers lacking in specific contextual knowledge. Points might include: 1848 Public Health Act – national Board of Health, it could force local councils to improve water supply and sewage and levy local rates, 1875 Public Health Act – compulsory to appoint sanitary inspectors and medical officer of health, councils could lay sewers and drains, Sale of Food and Drugs Act, Factory Acts shortening working hours, Artisans Dwellings Act, more use of soap, flushing lavatory, vaccinations. Eg 'A lot was done later in the nineteenth century. Slums were pulled down and people were encouraged to have a better diet and drink more milk.'		Briefly describe what was done in the nineteenth century to improve public health.

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
(b)	Target: AO 1 and 2 Level 1	1	Explain why Florence Nightingale is important in the history of medicine.
	General assertions. Valid, but general answers. No specific contextual knowledge. Eg 'I think she was very important because of all the reforms she introduced to make things much better for		
	people. She changed things more than anybody else.' Level 2 Identifies specific reasons why she is important.	2–4	
	Specific contextual knowledge demonstrated but no explanation. The reasons can come from her time or be based on her later impact. Reasons could include: improved care of wounded		
	soldiers in the Crimea, kept the hospital clean, made ordering of equipment more efficient, wrote Notes on Nursing, reformed training of nurses, set up a nursing school, introduced new ideas for the siting and design of hospitals, turned nursing into a proper profession with standards.		
	or Tells the story of the Florence Nightingale		

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
	Eg The Lady of the Lamp.		
	Level 3	5–6	
	Explains one specific reason why she is important.		
	Award 6 marks for one reason explained and another identified.		
	Eg 'Florence Nightingale was important in medicine because she set up a school for nursing and made sure that nurses were trained properly. Nurses were trained to be hygienic. This was important because before anybody could become a nurse and the standard was dreadful.'		
	Level 4	7	
	Explains more than one specific reason why she is important.		

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
(c)	Target: AO 1 and 2 * Written communication assessed in this question		'There was no major progress in surgery in the nineteenth century until the work of Lister.' How far do you agree with this statement? Explain your answer.
	Level 1	1–2	
	General assertions.		
	Valid, but general answers. No specific contextual knowledge.		
	Eg 'I think this is right. Very little was done before Lister. He was the key figure and his work was very important indeed.'		
	Level 2	3–4	
	Identifies reason(s) why Lister was/was not important or why other factors were important.		
	Specific contextual knowledge demonstrated but no reasons explained. 3 marks for why he was important; or why he was not, or other factors, 4 marks for both. Examples might include: antiseptic medicine, use of carbolic acid/spray, he was opposed, work of Simpson before him, death rate in surgery before and after him.		
	or		
	Describes what he did, what others did, the condition of surgery before him. Award 3 marks for Lister or other factors/conditions, 4 marks for both.		

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
	Level 3	5–6	
	Explains how Lister led to progress, or why he did not, or why the work of others led to progress.		
	Eg 'I don't think Lister's work led to a lot of progress because he worked in his own ordinary clothes which could have been dirty. It was left to other people to develop and use rubber gloves and surgical gowns. He did not develop any new operations. So I think it is wrong to say that there was no major progress until him because this implies he did make major progress, but he didn't.'		
	Level 4	7	
	Explains both how Lister led to progress and why he did not or why the work of others led to progress.		
	Level 5	8	
	Supports an argument about how much progress Lister was responsible for.		
	These answers do not have to be fully developed as far as the content examples are concerned. However there must be a supported argument about how much progress there was before Lister and how much he was responsible for – allow original, unusual, but valid attempts.		

SECTION A: CASE STUDY (CRIME AND PUNISHMENT THROUGH TIME)

Q	uesti	on	Answer	Marks	Guidance
1	(a)		Target: AO 1, 2 and 3 Level 1	1	How similar are these two sets of laws? Use the sources and your knowledge to explain your answer.
			Surface descriptions of the details in the sources, or description of the provenance of the source(s) – no understanding of what they are really saying, no comparison.		
			Eg 'These sources are different. Source A is about wergilds and paying people.'		
			Level 2	2–3	
			Compares surface details – no understanding of what the differences between private and king's justice.		
			Eg 'They are very different. Source A is about servants being killed and people paying other people while Source B is about the sheriff and what his job was.'		
			or		
			Compares provenance of the sources.		
			Level 3	4	
			Valid explanation of one source but no valid comparison.		
			Eg 'I think these sources are very different because Source A tells us that law and order was a kind of private thing between people. If someone was killed then the person responsible had to pay compensation to the		

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
	victim's family. It was all a matter that was between the people involved.' Level 4	5	
	Valid explanation of at least one source plus attempt at comparison.		
	Eg 'I think these sources are very different because Source A tells us that law and order was a kind of private thing between people. If someone was killed then the person responsible had to pay compensation to the victim's family. It was all a matter that was between the people involved. However, Source B shows a very different system It shows that if someone did something wrong they were doing it against the king and he would punish them.'		

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
(b)	Target: AO 1, 2 and 3 Level 1 Answers based on unsupported assertions.	1	How useful is this source as evidence about law enforcement in the early part of the nineteenth century? Use the source and your knowledge to explain your answer.
	Eg 'I think this is really useful as it tells us a lot about law enforcement in those days. You can see what was really going on.'		
	Level 2	2–3	
	Answers based on surface details/description.		
	Eg 'I think this source is very useful because us that law enforcement was carried out by watchmen. They carried a lamp to see what was happening. There is also somebody climbing over the wall.'		
	or		
	Undeveloped claims about the source being biased/unrepresentative.		
	Level 3		
	Explains how the source is useful – based on inferences, about law enforcement, from the source.	4	
	Eg 'I think this is very useful because it shows you how useless law enforcement was at that time. It shows that the watchman is old and can hardly walk so he wouldn't be able to catch any criminals. It also shows that there are some criminals in the background but the watchmen cannot even see them.'		

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
	or Contextual explanation of doubts about usefulness of source in terms of its purpose.		
	or Limitations of source based on knowledge of law enforcement not in the source. Eg Bow Street Runners Level 4	4	
	Uses contextual knowledge to explain how the source is useful plus explains valid way in which the source is not useful	5	

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
(c)	Target: AO 1, 2 and 3 Level 1 Unsupported assertions or write about the source without addressing the question. Eg 'This source says about the police being raw lobsters and blue devils.'	1	Why was this poster published at that time? Use the source and your knowledge to explain your answer.
	Level 2 Answers that explain the poster was published to convey information. Answers are based on surface information. Eg 'I think this source was published to tell people what was happening. It tells us that people were buying clubs. It was also published so that people would know there was a police force.'	2	
	Level 3 Answers that understand the message of the poster. This might be eg the police are thugs, people need to defend themselves against the police. Any valid anti-police message.	3–4	
	Answers that focus on the context only. These answers will use contextual to explain the situation at the time (police just formed) or about attitudes towards the police at that time.		

A951/11 Mark Scheme June 2012

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
	Level 4	5	
	Both types of Level 3 – message in context.		
	These answers explain why somebody would want to convey that message at that time.		
	or		
	Answers that develop message into a valid purpose.		
	Eg to encourage people to oppose the police force.		

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
2 (a)	Target: AO 1 1 mark for each valid method identified, 2–3 marks for any methods that are described or explained. Award a maximum of 1 mark to general answers lacking in specific contextual knowledge. Answers might include: whipped till bloody, returned to birthplace, slavery, execution, burning of an ear, Houses of Correction, banishment. Eg 'Vagrants were punished in lots of different ways. For example they were whipped in public to put them in disgrace. They were also executed in they kept committing the offence.	WIGHTS	Briefly describe how vagrants were punished in the sixteenth century.

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
(b)	Target: AO 1 and 2		Explain why the Gunpowder Plotters were punished so harshly.
	Level 1	1	naromy.
	General assertions.		
	Valid, but general answers. No specific contextual knowledge.		
	Eg 'This was because they were guilty of a very bad crime and it was decided they had to be made an example of. So they were punished a lot.'		
	Level 2	2–4	
	Identifies specific reasons.		
	Specific contextual knowledge demonstrated but no explanation. Specific reasons include: Importance of religion, tried to blow up Parliament, the king, the government, religious differences at the time, fear of Catholicism.		
	or		
	Describes the punishments or tells the story.		
	Level 3	5–6	
	Explains one specific reason.		
	Award 6 marks for one reason explained and another identified.		

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
	Eg 'They were punished harshly because they were Catholics and many people at the time were really afraid of Catholics and of Catholics taking over the country. They had to be punished harshly to show that Catholics would not be allowed to take over power.'	7	
	Level 4		
	Explains more than one specific reason		

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
(c)	Target: AO 1 and 2 * Written communication assessed in this question		Who was more of a problem for the authorities, highwaymen or smugglers? Explain your answer.
	Level 1	1–2	
	General assertions.		
	Valid, but general answers. No specific contextual knowledge.		
	Eg 'I think smugglers were much more of a problem because of what they did. They caused the government lots of trouble and were a much bigger problem than the highwaymen.'		
	Level 2	3	
	Identifies why/how highwaymen or smugglers were a problem.		
	Specific contextual knowledge demonstrated but no explanation.		
	Answers might include: highwaymen – a danger to travellers, disrupted trade, used guns; smugglers – violent, difficult to catch, difficult to convict, lost tax revenues.		
	Level 3	4	
	Identifies why/how highwaymen and smugglers were a problem Specific contextual demonstrated but no explanation.		

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
	Level 4	5–6	
	Explains why/how highwaymen or smugglers were a problem.		
	Eg 'I think that smugglers were much more a problem because it was difficult to get juries to find them guilty. Juries were often from the same community as the smugglers and benefited from what they did. Also they did not regard smuggling as a real crime. And so even when smugglers were caught, the juries would not convict them and send them to prison. So they just carried on smuggling.'		
	Level 5	7	
	Explains why/how highwaymen and smugglers were a problem.		
	Level 6	8	
	Supports an argument about 'how far'.		
	These answers do not have to be fully developed as far as the content is concerned. However there must be a supported argument about whether one was more of a problem than the other– allow original, unusual but valid attempts.		

Q	uestion	Answer	Marks	Guidance
3	(a)	Target: AO 1 1 mark for each valid example identified, 2–3 marks for any methods that are described or explained. Award a maximum of 1 mark to general answers lacking in specific contextual knowledge. Examples might include: wore top hats, blue coats, carried truncheons, drunkenness a problem, many dismissed, high turnover, poorly paid, many were ex-labourers or soldiers, called Peelers. Eg 'The police force were meant to be different from the army so they had a blue uniform and had truncheons instead of swords.'	Marks	Briefly describe the main features of Peel's new police force in 1829.

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
(b)	Target: AO 1 and 2		Explain why prisons were reformed in the nineteenth century.
	Level 1	1	Century.
	General assertions.		
	Valid, but general answers. No specific contextual knowledge.		
	Eg 'They were reformed to make them work better. They had been a disaster and so there had to be changes.'		
	Level 2	2–4	
	Identifies specific reasons.		
	Specific contextual knowledge demonstrated but no explanation.		
	Reasons include: work of individuals such as John Howard and Elizabeth Fry, reformers wanted to use prisons to reform prisoners, conditions were so awful, because they were used a lot more than in eighteenth century, to make prisoners used to hard work, to stop them communicating with each other, to deter them from returning.		
	Or		
	Describes the changes.		
	Level 3		
	Explains one specific reason.	5–6	
	Award 6 marks for one reason explained and another		

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
	identified.		
	Eg 'Prisons were reformed because some people thought that the point of sending people to prison was to reform them and turn them into good people so that when they left prison they would not commit any more crimes. John Howard believed this and thought that prisons should have running water and should be clean.'		
	Level 4	7	
	Explains more than one specific reason.	/	

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
(c)	Target: AO 1 and 2 * Written communication assessed in this question		Which had been the more successful development by the end of the nineteenth century, prison reform or the development of the police force? Explain your answer.
	Level 1	1–2	
	General assertions.		
	Valid, but general answers. No specific contextual knowledge.		
	Eg 'I think it was the police force because this became really good and caught lots of criminals. If they cannot be caught, they cannot go to prison. So the police are more important than the prisons.'		
	Level 2	3	
	Identifies reasons/examples of success/failure for prisons or the police.		
	Specific contextual knowledge demonstrated but no explanation. Answers might include: prisons – failing to reform prisoners, the silent system failed (high suicide rates); police – police became accepted and respected, quality of recruits improved, crime fell 1850–1900.		
	Level 3	4	
	Identifies reasons/examples of success/failure for prisons and the police.		
	Specific contextual knowledge demonstrated but no explanation.		

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
	Level 4	5–6	
	Explains why/how the police or the prison reforms were/were not a success.		
	Eg 'The prisons reforms were not a success. They were meant to reform prisoners but they failed and this led prisons to become more harsh and things like hard labour, whipping and electric shocks were introduced. Reform failed, and so they tried to terrify prisoners instead.'		
	Level 5	7	
	Explains why/how the police and the prisons reforms were/were not a success.		
	Level 6	8	
	Supports an argument about 'how far'.		
	These answers do not have to be fully developed as far as the content is concerned However there must be a supported argument about which reforms were more successful – allow original, unusual but valid attempts.		

(Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
4	(a)	Target: AO 1 1 mark for each valid change identified, 2–3 marks for any that are described or explained. Award a maximum of 1 mark to general answers lacking in specific contextual knowledge. Answers might include: riot gear, CS gas, use cars, use of DNA samples, two-way radio, specialist crime squads eg Anti-Terrorist Squad, traffic/motoring work, use of computer records. Eg 'The police work in a very different way today. They now use computer records and use fast cars to catch criminals. They also use programmes on TV to tell people about crimes and identify criminals.'	marko	Briefly describe the main changes in the way the police worked in the twentieth century.

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
(b)	Target: AO 1 and 2		Explain why there was so much juvenile crime during the twentieth century.
	Level 1	1	
	General assertions.		
	Valid, but general answers. No specific contextual knowledge.		
	Eg 'This was because crime was going up everywhere. Everyone thought they could get away with it and so there was lots of crime.'		
	Level 2	2–4	
	Identifies specific reasons.		
	Specific contextual knowledge demonstrated but no explanation. Reasons include: cars, loss of community, drugs, lack of good parenting, materialism, unemployment, the media, punishment too soft, loss of discipline, the education system, poverty and inequality, punishment too slow.		
	or		
	Describes juvenile crime.		
	Level 3	5–6	
	Explains one specific reason.		
	Award 6 marks for one reason explained and another identified.		

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
	Eg 'One main reason is that there are lots of drug addicts. They need money to buy their drugs and so they mug people to get the money. A lot of crime to do with young people is drug-related.		
	Level 4	7	
	Explains more than one specific reason.		

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
(c)	Target: AO 1 and 2 * Written communication assessed in this question		Which had a greater impact on law and order in the twentieth century, wars or recessions? Explain your answer.
	Level 1	1–2	
	General assertions.		
	Valid, but general answers. No specific contextual knowledge.		
	Eg 'I think it was wars. This was why there was a lot of crime. It was one of the most important factors.'		
	Level 2	3	
	Identifies reasons/examples for wars or recessions.		
	Specific contextual knowledge demonstrated but no explanation. Answers might include: wars – creation of conscientious objectors as criminals, shortages led to black markets, looting; recessions – poverty, unemployment.		
	Level 3	4	
	Identifies reasons/examples for wars and recessions.		
	Specific contextual knowledge demonstrated but no explanation.		
	Level 4	5–6	
	Explains reasons/examples for wars or recessions.		

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
	Eg 'I think that wars were far more important. In the Second World War there was rationing and people didn't have many luxuries to eat. However, people would sell luxuries to those that could afford them on the black market. This was illegal and turned a lot of people into criminals as they were breaking the law.'		
	Level 5	7	
	Explains reasons/examples for wars and recessions.		
	Level 6	8	
	Compares the strengths of the two cases – wars and recessions.		
	These answers do not have to be fully developed as far as the content is concerned. However the reason for one factor having a greater impact than the other, or for them being equal, must be explained and valid – allow original, unusual but valid attempts.		

SECTION B: DEPTH STUDY (ELIZABETHAN ENGLAND)

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
S (a)	Target: AO 1, 2 and 3 Level 1 Answers based on everyday empathy. Eg 'I think it was popular because people liked reading about gory things like people being tortured.' or Answers that copy/paraphrase the information provided about Source A. Level 2 Answers that find it puzzling – why, in Elizabeth's reign, would they want to read about Protestants being persecuted? Better answers will have contextual explanations. Eg 'I do not really understand this. England was Protestant in Elizabeth's reign and very anti-Catholic, so I do not see why they want to read about Protestants being persecuted. You would think it was the other way round.'	1 2–3	Study Source A Why did Foxe's Book of Martyrs become so popular in Elizabethan England? Use the source and your knowledge to explain your answer.
	Or		
	Explains reactions of Catholics in Elizabeth's reign	2	
	Level 3		
	Uses the information provided about Source A to provide a valid answer – no contextual knowledge used.	4	

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
	Eg 'This was because it was put in every cathedral in the country so people were much more likely to read it because of this.'		
	Level 4		
	Answers that use contextual knowledge to explain motivation of authorities or why people wanted to read it.	7	
	These answers will use contextual knowledge/understanding to explain why the bishops wanted to have the book in every cathedral or why people wanted to read the book.		
	Eg 'This was popular because the bishops put the book in every cathedral to make sure that people read it. They thought that if they read about all the dreadful things the Catholics did in Mary's reign, this would make them hate Catholics even more.'		
	'People wanted to read the book because it convinced them how evil the Pope and the Catholics were. This made them happy that they were following the right religion in Elizabeth's reign and they convinced themselves that countries like Spain were evil. The book confirmed all their prejudices about Catholics.'		

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
(b)	Target: AO 1, 2 and 3 Level 1	1	Study Source B Why did the Puritans try to introduce these reforms at that time? Use the sources and your knowledge to explain your answer.
	Unsupported assertions.		explain your answer.
	Eg 'I think they did this because these were Puritan		
	things that they wanted. This is how they wanted religion to be run.'		
	Level 2	2	
	Paraphrases the content of the source, little or no explanation of content.		
	Eg 'I think they wanted these reforms because they wanted the minister looking at the people in the church. They thought this was a good thing. They also didn't like any superstition in their services.'		
	Level 3	3-4	
	Answers based on context only.		
	Eg 'They wanted these reforms then because this was at the start of the reign when religions was still being sorted out. This was the Puritans best chance to get the things they wanted. If they left it until later it would be too late. At this time Elizabeth was still trying to decide how Catholic and how Protestant religion should be.'		
	Answers that explain Puritan demands/beliefs in general but not related to content of source.		

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
	Level 4	5–6	
	Contextual explanation of why they wanted THESE reforms or of the significance of THESE reforms.		
	Contextual explanation of one reform is enough.		
	Eg 'They wanted these reforms because this is what they believed in. As Puritans they wanted to get away from Catholic ideas as much as possible. They wanted the service to be read because they believed people had a right to hear and read what was in the bible. They thought this was more important than the minister himself.'		
	Level 5	7	
	Levels 3 and 4.		

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
(c)	Target: AO 1, 2 and 3 Level 1	1	Study Source C Are you surprised by this source? Use the source and your knowledge to explain your answer.
	Describes the source, writes about it, but fails to address the issue of surprise.		
	Level 2	2	
	Valid answers that contains reasons for being surprised or not surprised, but fails to say if surprised or not.		
	Eg 'The Jesuits were Catholic priests who came to England to try and convert people to the Catholic religion. If they were caught in England they were tortured and executed.'		
	Level 3	3	
	Surprised because of everyday empathy.		
	Eg 'I am very surprised by this because what they are doing is really horrible. I did not realise that they were so cruel then.'		
	Or		
	Asserts not surprised because by a Catholic.	3	
	Or		
	Asserts not surprised because Elizabeth did not like Jesuits.	3	

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
	Level 4	4–5	
	Contextual explanation – not surprised by the treatment of the Jesuits.		
	Eg 'I am not surprised that they are torturing and killing Jesuits. They were very afraid of the Jesuits in England because they were trying to convert people to Catholicism. People really feared the Catholic religion coming back because they could remember what happened in Mary's reign when Protestants were killed. So they wanted to wipe out the Jesuits as quickly as they could.'		
	Level 5	6	
	Contextual explanation – based on the production/publication of the drawing by Catholics.		
	Eg 'I am not surprised that this was published in Rome. The Pope regarded England as an enemy because it was a Protestant country. He had already excommunicated Elizabeth and wanted her to be overthrown. This means that he would want this drawing to be published so that people like the King of Spain could see what Elizabeth was doing to Catholics. Maybe he wanted to encourage an invasion of England.'		

C	uestion	Answer	Marks	Guidance
6	(a)	Target: AO 1 1 mark for each valid example identified, 2–3 marks for any examples that are described or explained. Award a maximum of 1 mark to general answers lacking in specific contextual knowledge. Examples might include: wanted her executed, thought she was plotting against Elizabeth, thought that as Elizabeth's heir she would stir up English Catholics, they feared her because of the Bull of excommunication.	Marks	Guidance Briefly describe Parliament's attitude towards Mary when she first arrived in England.
		Eg 'Parliament was very hostile towards Mary, Queen of Scots. They were afraid she would be a threat to Elizabeth.'		

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
(b)	Target: AO 1 and 2		Explain why Mary plotted against Elizabeth.
	Level 1	1	
	General assertions.		
	Valid, but general answers. No specific contextual knowledge.		
	Eg 'She plotted against her because she did not like her. She was her enemy and she had lots of supporters saying that she should plot against Elizabeth.'		
	Level 2	2–4	
	Identifies specific reasons.		
	Specific contextual knowledge demonstrated but no explanation. Reasons include: had been overthrown as queen in Scotland, a prisoner in England, Elizabeth's heir, wanted England to be Catholic, encouraged by Catholic nobles, had support of France, believed she was appointed by God to be Queen.		
	Level 3	5–6	
	Explains one specific reason.		
	Award 6 marks for one reason explained and another identified.		
	Eg 'I think she did this because she was encouraged by Catholic nobles to be involved in plots. The Duke of Norfolk who wanted to marry Mary got her involved in the		

A951/11 Mark Scheme June 2012

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
	Ridolfi Plot which involved a Spanish army coming to England.'		
	Level 4	7	
	Explains more than one specific reason.		

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
(c)	Target: AO 1 and 2 * Written communication assessed in this question.		'The Armada was the greatest threat faced by Elizabeth and her governments.' How far do you agree with this statement? Explain your answer.
	Level 1	1–2	
	General assertions.		
	Eg 'Yes, I think this is true. The Armada could have finished Elizabeth as Queen of England. It was a very dangerous threat.'		
	Level 2	3	
	Identifies specific reasons for agreeing or for disagreeing.		
	Specific contextual knowledge demonstrated but no		
	explanation. Disagreeing might involve claiming the Armada was never a real threat or looking at other threats. Reasons might include: agreeing – aim was to depose Elizabeth, could join up with English Catholics, size of the Armada, the preparations made in England shows how serious it was; disagreeing – English Catholics would not have joined, the Armada was smaller than first planned, Medina Sidonia was not a sailor, English ships were faster and could fire faster, the use of fireships, other threats – Puritans, vagrancy and disorder.		
	Level 3	4	
	Identifies specific reasons for agreeing and for disagreeing.		
	Disagreeing might involve claiming the Armada was never a real threat or looking at other threats.		

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
	Specific contextual knowledge demonstrated but no explanation. Level 4	5–6	
	Explains specific reasons for agreeing or disagreeing. Disagreeing might involve explaining why the Armada was never a real threat or explaining other threats. Eg 'I think that the Armada was never a dangerous threat. The whole thing was badly planned and the person in charge of the Armada had hardly ever sailed. Philip did not have enough money to put a large Armada together and the troops that were meant to meet with the Armada at Calais were late. The thing was a shambles and was never a threat to England.'		
	Level 5	7	
	Explains specific reasons for agreeing and for disagreeing. Disagreeing might involve explaining why the Armada was never a real threat or explaining other threats. Level 6	8	
	As for Level 5 but in addition explains why on balance one should agree or disagree more. These answers do not have to be fully developed as far as the content on each side is concerned. However the final conclusion must be supported and valid – allow original, unusual but valid attempts.		

A951/11 Mark Scheme June 2012

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
7 (a)	Target: AO 1 1 mark for each valid example, 2–3 marks for any examples that are described or explained. Award a maximum of 1 mark to general answers lacking in specific contextual knowledge. Examples might include: drunkenness, fights, pick-pocketing, prostitution. Eg 'There was a lot of thieving going on. For example, they used to cut people's purses so they could steal their money.'	Marks	Briefly describe the behaviour of the audiences and crowds at Elizabethan theatres.

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
(b)	Target: AO 1 and 2	4	Explain how playwrights and actors tried to solve the problems of putting on plays in an Elizabethan theatre.
	Level 1	1	
	General assertions.		
	Valid, but general answers. No specific contextual knowledge.		
	Eg 'They had lots of difficult problems to solve and they did their best. The problems were very different from theatres today.'		
	Level 2	2–4	
	Identifies specific solutions.		
	Specific contextual knowledge demonstrated but no explanation. Reasons include: playwrights had to mark the beginning of the play, they had to use sub-plots, words used to describe scenery or say whether night or day, beauty of female characters had to be described, plays contained humour, music, dancing, sub-plots.		
	Level 3	5–6	
	Explains one specific solution.		
	Award 6 marks for one reason explained and another identified.		
	Eg 'Women were not allowed to act because it was regarded as immoral. So men had to take women's parts. This meant that the playwright had to describe the beauty		

A951/11 Mark Scheme June 2012

Q	uestion	Answer	Marks	Guidance
		of a woman character because they wouldn't look very beautiful.		
		Level 4	7	
		Explains more than one specific solution.		

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
(c)	Target: AO 1 and 2 * Written communication assessed in this question.		'Theatres and their plays were the greatest achievement of the Elizabethan period.' How far do you agree with this statement? Explain your answer.
	Level 1	1–2	
	General assertions.		
	Eg 'I don't agree with this. I think there were much more important achievements that made Elizabethan England a great country. A few plays is not really important at all.		
	Level 2	3	
	Identifies specific reasons for agreeing or disagreeing.		
	Specific contextual knowledge demonstrated but no explanation. Disagreeing might involve claiming the theatre was a problem not an achievement or by looking at other achievements. Reasons include: agree – Shakespeare's and Marlowe's plays, the popularity of the theatre; disagree – the theatres caused problems – disorder, plays could be used for political messages, the Church of England, overseas conquests/trade, defeat of the Armada, were greater achievements.		
	Level 3	4	
	Identifies specific reasons for agreeing and disagreeing.		
	Specific contextual knowledge demonstrated but no explanation. Disagreeing might involve claiming the theatre was a problem not an achievement or by looking at other achievements.		

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
	Level 4	5–6	
	Explains specific reasons for agreeing or disagreeing.		
	Disagreeing might involve explaining why the theatre was a problem not an achievement or by explaining other achievements.		
	Eg 'I think that there were much more important achievements. The most important was sorting out the question of religion. For years England had been divided between Catholics and Protestants and kept moving backwards and forwards between the two. Elizabeth sorted this out for good by setting up the Church of England. This still lasts today. It made England Protestant but it also allowed the Catholics a chance to worship as they wanted. This turned out to be along term solution.'		
	Level 5	7	
	Explains specific reasons for agreeing and disagreeing.		
	Disagreeing might involve explaining why the theatre was a problem not an achievement or by explaining other achievements.		
	Level 6	8	
	As for Level 5 but in addition explains whether on balance they agree more than they disagree.		
	These answers do not have to be fully developed as far as the content on each side is concerned. However the final conclusion must be supported and valid – allow original, unusual but valid attempts.		

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations) 1 Hills Road Cambridge **CB1 2EU**

OCR Customer Contact Centre

Education and Learning

Telephone: 01223 553998 Facsimile: 01223 552627

Email: general.qualifications@ocr.org.uk

www.ocr.org.uk

For staff training purposes and as part of our quality assurance programme your call may be recorded or monitored

Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations is a Company Limited by Guarantee Registered in England Registered Office; 1 Hills Road, Cambridge, CB1 2EU Registered Company Number: 3484466 **OCR** is an exempt Charity

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations) Head office

Telephone: 01223 552552 Facsimile: 01223 552553



